OTAY WATER DISTRICT
ENGINEERING, OPERATIONS & WATER RESOURCES COMMITTEE MEETING
and
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

2554 SWEETWATER SPRINGS BOULEVARD
SPRING VALLEY, CALIFORNIA
Board Room

TUESDAY
May 17, 2016
12:00 P.M.

This is a District Committee meeting. This meeting is being posted as a special meeting in order to comply with the Brown Act (Government Code Section §54954.2) in the event that a quorum of the Board is present. Items will be deliberated, however, no formal board actions will be taken at this meeting. The committee makes recommendations to the full board for its consideration and formal action.

AGENDA

1. ROLL CALL

2. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION – OPPORTUNITY FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO SPEAK TO THE BOARD ON ANY SUBJECT MATTER WITHIN THE BOARD'S JURISDICTION BUT NOT AN ITEM ON TODAY'S AGENDA

DISCUSSION ITEMS

3. REVIEW OF THE OTAY WATER DISTRICT'S 2015 URBAN WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN (UWMP) UPDATE AND APPROVE RESOLUTION NO. 4306 APPROVING THE DISTRICT'S UWMP UPDATE (P1210) (COBURN-BOYD) [5 min]

4. AWARD TWO (2) PROFESSIONAL AS-NEEDED ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES CONTRACTS TO PSOMAS AND RICK ENGINEERING, EACH IN AN AMOUNT NOT-TO-EXCEED $500,000. THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF THE TWO CONTRACTS WILL NOT EXCEED $500,000 DURING FISCAL YEARS 2017 AND 2018 (ENDING JUNE 30, 2018) (MARCHIORO) [5 min]

5. ADOPT ORDINANCE NO. 554 AMENDING SECTION 0, DEFINITIONS AND MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS OF THE DISTRICT'S CODE OF ORDINANCES; AND ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 4307 AMENDING POLICY NO. 26, DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION OF REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENTS, OF THE DISTRICT'S CODE OF ORDINANCES (MARTIN) [5 min]

6. THIRD QUARTER OF FISCAL YEAR 2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM UPDATE REPORT (MARTIN) [5 minutes]

7. SAN DIEGO COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY UPDATE (WATTON) [10 minutes]

8. ADJOURNMENT

BOARD MEMBERS ATTENDING:
Tim Smith, Chair
Gary Croucher

All items appearing on this agenda, whether or not expressly listed for action, may be deliberated and may be subject to action by the Board.

The Agenda, and any attachments containing written information, are available at the District's website at www.otaywater.gov. Written changes to any items to be considered at the open meeting, or to any attachments, will be posted on the District's website. Copies of the Agenda and all attachments are also available through the District Secretary by contacting her at (619) 670-2280.

If you have any disability that would require accommodation in order to enable you to participate in this meeting, please call the District Secretary at 670-2280 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting.

Certification of Posting

I certify that on May 13, 2016 I posted a copy of the foregoing agenda near the regular meeting place of the Board of Directors of Otay Water District, said time being at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting of the Board of Directors (Government Code Section §54954.2).

Executed at Spring Valley, California on May 13, 2016.

/s/ Susan Cruz, District Secretary

AGENDA ITEM 3

STAFF REPORT

TYPE MEETING:	Regular Board	MEETING DATE:	June 1, 2016		
SUBMITTED BY:	Lisa Coburn-Boyd Environmental Compliance Specialist Bob Kennedy Engineering Manager	CIP./G.F. NO:	P1210-026000	DIV. NO.	All
APPROVED BY:	☒ Rod Posada, Chief, Engineering ☒ German Alvarez, Assistant General Manager ☒ Mark Watton, General Manager				
SUBJECT:	Public Hearing for the Otay Water District's 2015 Urban Water Management Plan and Approve Resolution No. 4306 Approving the District's 2015 Urban Water Management Plan				

GENERAL MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That the Otay Water District (District) Board of Directors (Board), after holding a public hearing on the District's 2015 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP), approve Resolution No. 4306 approving the District's 2015 UWMP.

COMMITTEE ACTION:

Please see Attachment A.

PURPOSE:

That the Board, after holding a public hearing on the District's 2015 UWMP, approve Resolution No. 4306 (Attachment B) approving the District's 2015 UWMP (Attachment C).

ANALYSIS:

Since 1984, California's Urban Water Management Planning Act (Act) has required each urban water supplier in the State to

prepare an UWMP. The requirement applies to each urban water supplier that provides water for municipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3,000 customers or supplies more than 3,000 acre-feet (AF) of water annually. These agencies must update their UWMP at least once every five years on or before December 31st in years ending in five and zero. The deadline for submittal of the 2015 UWMP for retail water purveyors was extended to July 1, 2016 as a result of recent legislation. Sections 10610 through 10657 of the California Water Code detail the information that must be included in these plans. In accordance with the Act, the District is required to update and adopt its plan for submittal to the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) by July 1, 2016.

The District utilized DWR's "Guidebook to Assist Urban Water Suppliers to Prepare a 2015 Urban Water Management Plan" dated March 2016 and Senate Bill 7-7 (SBX 7-7) to prepare the UWMP. SBX 7-7 was passed in November 2009 with the goal of reducing California's urban per capita water use by 10 percent by December 31, 2015 and by 20 percent by December 31, 2020. Each urban water supplier is also required to meet SBX 7-7 goals, which are discussed in the UWMP. The UWMP includes projections of the District's future demands and supplies, based on estimates of future growth in the District's service area. It also discusses the steps the District has taken to promote water conservation and ensure water is being used wisely. The strategies outlined in UWMP are intended to allow the District to continue to provide a safe and reliable water supply to its customers.

An UWMP is also required in order for a water supplier to receive DWR administered State grants, loans, and drought assistance. In addition, the UWMP is the reference document cited in Water Supply Assessment and Verification Reports mandated in 2001 by Senate Bill 610 and Senate Bill 221 for new developments.

As required by the Act, the public hearing was noticed in newspapers of general circulation including the San Diego Union Tribune, the Star News, and the East County Californian. In addition, the notice of the hearing with a link to the draft UWMP was posted on the District's webpage. The District also noticed and solicited comments from the Water Authority, the City of Chula Vista, the City of San Diego, the County of San Diego, and local planning groups. Any relevant comments received will be incorporated into the final document.

The District's 2015 UWMP is consistent with the Water Authority's 2015 UWMP. Demand and population estimates, along with service reliability, are consistent with those used by the Water Authority. The table below outlines the projected population and the total potable and recycled water demand, including water savings from passive and active conservation, for the District through 2040.

	2020	**2025**	**2030**	**2035**	**2040**
Service Area Population	243,845	255,040	257,278	277,429	285,340
Annual Demand (AF/year)	42,720	48,430	50,891	51,071	57,582

FISCAL IMPACT: ☒ Joe Beachem, Chief Financial Officer

An approved UWMP is required in order for the District to receive DWR administered grants, loans, and to receive drought assistance.

STRATEGIC GOAL:

This project supports the District's Mission statement, "To provide high value water and wastewater services to the customers of the Otay Water District in a professional, effective, and efficient manner" and the District's Strategic Goal, in planning for infrastructure and supply to meet current and future potable water demands.

LEGAL IMPACT:

In accordance with the Act, the District will meet its legal requirement if its 2015 UWMP is approved by its Board by July 1, 2016 and copies of the UWMP are submitted to DWR by July 30, 2016.

P:\WORKING\CIP 00210 WMP & PEIR\2015 UWMP Update\Staff Report\BD 06-01-16 Staff Report 2015 UWMP, (LCB-BK).docx
BK/LCB:jf
Attachments: Attachment A – Committee Action
Attachment B – Resolution No. 4306
Attachment C – Otay Water District 2015 UWMP

ATTACHMENT A

SUBJECT/PROJECT: P1210-026000	Public Hearing for the Otay Water District's 2015 Urban Water Management Plan and Approve Resolution No. 4306 Approving the District's 2015 Urban Water Management Plan

COMMITTEE ACTION:

The Engineering, Operations, and Water Resources Committee (Committee) reviewed this item at a meeting held on May 17, 2016. The Committee supported Staff's recommendation.

NOTE:

The "Committee Action" is written in anticipation of the Committee moving the item forward for Board approval. This report will be sent to the Board as a Committee approved item, or modified to reflect any discussion or changes as directed from the Committee prior to presentation to the full Board.

ATTACHMENT B

RESOLUTION NO. 4306

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF THE OTAY WATER DISTRICT ADOPTING THE
2015 URBAN WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

WHEREAS, the Otay Water District (District) has completed the 2015 Urban Water Management Plan pursuant to the requirements of the California Water Code section 10610 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, the plan is the formal document to discuss past, current, and projected water demands; current and alternative water conservation measures; water supply deficiencies; and future management practices.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Directors of the Otay Water District approves and adopts the plan entitled "2015 Urban Water Management Plan" for the Otay Water District; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the General Manager of the District is authorized and directed to implement the water

conservation measures included in the plan as the District's part in the local and regional water conservation effort.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of the Otay Water District at a regular meeting this 1st day of June, 2016.

_____
President

ATTEST:

_____
District Secretary

ATTACHMENT C

2015 Urban Water Management Plan Update

Prepared for

Otay Water District

2554 Sweetwater Springs Boulevard
Spring Valley, California 91978

MAY 2016

CH2M HILL Engineers, Inc
402 W. Broadway
Suite 1450
San Diego, CA 92101

Contents

Section Page

Acronyms and Abbreviations vii

Introduction 1-1
1.1 Changes to the California Urban Water Management Planning Act 1-1
1.2 Senate Bills 610 and 221 1-2
1.3 Senate Bill 7 of the Seventh Extraordinary Session of 2009 1-2
1.4 Resource Maximization 1-3

Plan Preparation 2-1
2.1 Basis and Approach for Preparing the UWMP 2-1
2.2 Level of Planning and Compliance 2-1
2.3 Agency Coordination and Outreach 2-3
2.3.1 Wholesale and Retail Coordination 2-3
2.3.2 Coordination with Other Agencies and the Community 2-3

Service Area 3-1
3.1 Service Area Description 3-1
3.2 Service Area Population 3-3
3.2.1 Other Demographic Factors 3-3
3.3 Climate Data 3-3

Water Use 4-1
4.1 Existing Water Use by Customer Class 4-1
4.2 Projected Future Water Use 4-2
4.2.1 Approach / Methodology 4-2
4.2.2 Projected Potable Demands 4-3
4.2.3 Projected Recycled Demands 4-5
4.2.4 Projected Total Demands 4-5
4.3 Service Area Sales and System Losses 4-6
4.4 Estimating Future Water Savings 4-6
4.5 Lower Income Water Demand Projections 4-7
4.6 Climate Change 4-7
4.6.1 Potential Impacts 4-8
4.6.2 Water Authority Adaption and Mitigation 4-8

SB X7-7 Baselines and Targets 5-1
5.1 Baselines and Targets 5-1

Service Area Supplies 6-1
6.1 Water Resources 6-1
6.1.1 Potable Water 6-1
6.1.2 San Diego County Water Authority 6-1
6.1.3 City of San Diego 6-1
6.1.4 Emergency Supplies 6-2
6.2 Groundwater 6-2
6.3 Surface Water 6-2
6.4 Stormwater 6-2
6.5 Wastewater and Recycled Water 6-3

Section Page

6.5.1 Recycled Water Coordination 6-3
6.5.2 Wastewater Collection & Treatment 6-3
6.5.3 Recycled Water System 6-4
6.5.4 Recycled Water Beneficial Uses 6-4
6.5.5 Proposed Actions to Encourage Use of Recycled Water 6-5
6.6 Desalinated Water Opportunities 6-6
6.6.1 Water Purchase Agreement -- Rosarito Desalination Plant 6-6
6.7 Exchanges or Transfers 6-6
6.7.1 Cadiz Valley Water Conservation, Recovery, and Storage Project 6-6
6.8 Future Water Supplies 6-7
6.8.1 Rancho del Rey Groundwater Well 6-8
6.8.2 Middle Sweetwater River Basin Groundwater Well Project 6-9
6.8.3 Otay Mesa Lot 7 Groundwater Well 6-10
6.8.4 North District Recycled Water Concept Project 6-11
6.9 Summary of Existing and Planned Sources of Water 6-11

Water Service Reliability 7-1
7.1 Reliability of Supply 7-1
7.2 Projected Normal Year Supply and Demand 7-3
7.3 Projected Single Dry Year Supply and Demand Comparison 7-4
7.4 Projected Multiple Dry Year Supply and Demand Comparison 7-5

Water Shortage Contingency Planning 8-1
8.1 Stages of Action 8-1
8.2 Prohibitions on End Uses 8-2
8.3 Penalties, Charges, Other Enforcement of Prohibitions 8-5
8.4 Consumption Reduction Methods 8-5
8.5 Determining Water Shortage Reductions 8-6
8.6 Revenue and Expenditure Impacts 8-6
8.7 Resolution or Ordinance 8-7
8.8 Catastrophic Supply Interruption 8-7
8.9 Estimate of Minimum Supply for the Next Three Years 8-8

Demand Management Measures 9-1
9.1 Water Conservation Programs and Water Efficiency Practices 9-1
9.2 Determination of DMM Implementation 9-2

Plan Adoption, Submittal and Implementation 10-1
10.1 Notice of Public Hearing 10-1
10.2 Plan Submittal 10-2

Appendices

Appendix A Urban Water Management Plan Act Text

Appendix B Adoption Resolution and Related Documentation

Appendix C AWWA Water Loss Worksheet

Appendix D SBX7-7 Detailed Calculations

Section Page

Appendix E City of San Diego Agreement for Otay WTP

Appendix F City of San Diego Agreement for SBWRP

Appendix G District Code of Ordinance Section 26 Water Recycling Plan and Implementation Procedures

Appendix H Water Authority Documentation of Supply Reliability

Appendix I District Code of Ordinance Section 39 Water Shortage Response Plan

Appendix J District Code of Ordinance Section 72 Penalties and Damages

Appendix K BMP Reports 2011-2014

Table(s)

Table 2-1 Public Water Systems 2-1

Table 2-2: Plan Identification 2-2

Table 2-3: Agency Identification 2-2

Table 2-4: Water Supplier Information Exchange 2-3

Table 2-5. Coordination with Appropriate Agencies 2-4

Table 3-1. Population - Current and Projected 3-3

Table 3-2. Climate Data 3-4

Table 4-1. Demands for Potable Water - Actual 4-1

Table 4-S1: Summary of Unit Use Adjustment Factors 4-3

Table 4-S2. District Anticipated Near-Term Annexations 4-4

Table 4-2. Demands for Potable Water - Projected 4-5

Table 4-3: Total Water Demands 4-5

Table 4-4: Water Loss Summary Most Recent 12 Month Period Available 4-6

Table 4-5: Inclusion in Water Use Projections 4-6

Table 4-6: Projected Future Conservation Savings - District 4-7

Table 5-1 Baselines and Targets Summary 5-2

Table 5-2: 2015 Compliance 5-2

Table 5-3: 2010 Census Population and Water Use for Baseline Demand Calculations 5-3

Table 6-1: Groundwater Volume Pumped 6-2

Table 6-2: Wastewater Collected Within Service Area in 2015 6-3

Table 6-3 Wastewater Treatment and Discharge within Service Area in 2015 6-4

Table 6-4. Current and Projected Recycled Water Use within Service Area 6-5

Section Page

Table 6-5. 2010 UWMP Recycled Water Use Projection Compared to 2015 Actual 6-5

Table 6-6 Retail: Methods to Expand Future Recycled Water Use 6-5

Table 6-7: Expected Future Water Supply Projects or Programs 6-8

Table 6-8: Water Supplies — Actual 2015 6-11

Table 6-9: Water Supplies — Projected 6-12

Table 7-1: Basis of Water Year Data 7-3

Table 7-2: Normal Year Supply and Demand Comparison 7-3

Table 7-3: Single Dry Year Supply and Demand Comparison 7-4

Table 7-4: Multiple Dry Years Supply and Demand Comparison 7-6

Table 8-1: Stages of Water Shortage Contingency Plan 8-2

Table 8-2 Restrictions and Prohibitions on End Uses 8-3

Table 8-3: Stages of WSCP - Consumption Reduction Methods 8-5

Table 8-4 Retail: Minimum Supply Next Three Years 8-8

Table 10-1: Notification to Cities and Counties 10-1

Figure(s)

Figure 3-1. Water Service Area 3-2

Figure 3-2. Temperature and Precipitation Distribution 3-5

Acronyms and Abbreviations

20x2020	20 percent reduction goal
Act	Urban Water Management Act
ADWF	average dry weather flow
AF	acre-feet
AFG	accelerated forecasted growth
AFY	acre-feet per year
AWE	Alliance for Water Efficiency
AWWA	American Water Works Association
BMPs	Best Management Practices
CII	Commercial, Industrial, and Institutional
CIMIS	California Irrigation Management Information System
CNAP	California Nevada Applications Program
CUWCC	California Urban Water Conservation Council
District	Otay Water District
DMP	Drought Management Plan
DWR	Department of Water Resources
EIR	Environmental Impact Report
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
ERP	Emergency Response Plan
ESP	Emergency Storage Project
ETo	Evapotranspiration
FY	Fiscal Year
GDP	General Development Plan
GHG	greenhouse gas
GIS	geographical information system
gpcd	gallons per capita per day
gpd	gallons per day
gpf	gallons per flush
gpm	gallons per minute
Guidebook	Guidebook to Assist Urban Water Suppliers to Prepare a 2015 Urban Water Management Plan
IID	Imperial Irrigation District
IRP	Integrated Water Resources Plan
LMSE	La Mesa-Sweetwater Extension
LOPS	Lower Otay Pump Station
Master Plan	District 2015 Water Facilities Master Plan
Metropolitan	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
MFR	Multi-Family Residential
mgd	million gallons per day
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MWWD	City of San Diego Metropolitan Wastewater Department
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
OMCDSP	Otay Mesa Conveyance and Disinfection System Project
Pipeline No. 4	Water Authority Pipeline Number 4

PS	Pump Station
RISA	Regional Integrated Science and Assessments
RWCWRF	Ralph W. Chapman Water Recycling Facility
SANDAG	San Diego Association of Governments
SBWRP	South Bay Water Reclamation Plant
SBX7-7	Senate Bill 7 as part of the Seventh Extraordinary Session
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
Second Aqueduct	Second San Diego Aqueduct
Series 13	SANDAG Series 13: 2050 Regional Growth Forecast
SFR	Single Familty Residential
SVSD	County of San Diego Spring Valley Sanitation District
UWMP or Plan	Urban Water Management Plan
Water Authority	San Diego County Water Authority
Water Code	California Water Code
WD	Water District
WSCP	Water Shortage Contingency Planning
WSRP	Water Shortage Response Plan
WTP	Water Treatment Plant
WUCA	Water Utility Climate Alliance
WWTP	wastewater treatment plant

SECTION 1

Introduction

Since 1984, California's Urban Water Management Planning Act (Act) has required each urban water supplier in the state to prepare an urban water management plan (UWMP). The requirement applies to each urban water supplier that provides water for municipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3,000 customers or supplies more than 3,000 acre-feet (AF) of water annually. These agencies must update their UWMP at least once every five years on or before December 31, in years ending in five and zero. The deadline for submittal of the 2015 UWMP for retail water purveyors is July 1, 2016 as a result of recent legislation. Sections 10610 through 10657 of the California Water Code (Water Code) detail the information that must be included in these plans. In accordance with the Act, the Otay Water District (District) is required to update and adopt its plan for submittal to the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) by July 1, 2016. Appendix A contains the text of the Act.

1.1 Changes to the California Urban Water Management Planning Act

Major amendments made to the Act since preparation of the District's 2010 UWMP Plan include the following:

- Water Code Section 10631 (f) (1) and (2), Assembly Bill 2067 (2014) - Requires water suppliers to provide narratives describing their water demand management measures, as provided. Retail water suppliers are required to address the nature and extent of each water demand management measure implemented over the past 5 years and describe the water demand management measures that the supplier plans to implement to achieve its water use targets.
- Water Code Section 10621 (d), Assembly Bill 2067 (2014) – Extended the submittal deadline and requires each urban water supplier to submit its 2015 UWMP to DWR by July 1, 2016.
- Water Code Section 10644 (a) (2), Senate Bill 1420 (2014) - Requires the plan, or amendments to the plan, to be submitted electronically to DWR.
- Water Code Section 10644 (a) (2), Senate Bill 1420 (2014) - Requires the plan, or amendments to the plan, to include any standardized forms, tables, or displays specified by DWR.
- Water Code Section 10631 (e) (1) (J) and (e) (3) (A) and (B), Senate Bill 1420 (2014) - Requires the UWMP to quantify and report on distribution system water loss.
- Water Code Section 10631 (e) (4), Senate Bill 1420 (2014) - Provides for water use projections to display and account for the water savings estimated to result from adopted codes, standards, ordinances, or transportation and land use plans, when that information is available and applicable to an urban water supplier.
- Water Code Section 10631.2 (a) and (b), Senate Bill 1036 (2014) - Provides for an urban water supplier to include certain energy-related information, including, but not limited to, an estimate of the amount of energy used to extract or divert water supplies.
- Water Code Section 10632 (b), Assembly Bill 2409 (2010) - Requires urban water suppliers to analyze and define water features that are artificially supplied with water, including ponds, lakes, waterfalls, and fountains, separately from swimming pools and spas.

1.2 Senate Bills 610 and 221

Senate Bills (SB) 610 and 221 are the common names for Water Code Sections 10910 through 10914 and Government Code Sections 65867.5, 66455.3, and 66473.7. These bills amended state law to improve the link between water supply planning and land use decisions made by cities and counties. SB 610 requires that the water purveyor of the public water system prepare a water supply assessment to be included in the environmental documentation of certain large proposed projects. SB 221 requires a written verification from the water purveyor that sufficient water supplies are available for certain large residential subdivisions prior to approval of a tentative map. The District's UWMP provides information that will be used in future assessments and verifications prepared to meet the requirements of these bills.

1.3 Senate Bill 7 of the Seventh Extraordinary Session of 2009

In addition to changes in the Act listed above, the state Legislature passed Senate Bill 7 as part of the Seventh Extraordinary Session (SBX7-7) on November 10, 2009, which became effective February 3, 2010. This new law was the water conservation component to the Delta legislation package, and seeks to achieve a 20 percent statewide reduction in urban per capita water use in California by December 31, 2020. Specifically, SBX 7-7 from this Extraordinary Session requires each urban retail water supplier to develop urban water use targets to help meet the 20 percent reduction goal by 2020 (20x2020), and an interim water reduction target by 2015.

The SBX 7-7 target setting process includes the following: (1) baseline daily per capita water use; (2) urban water use target; (3) interim water use target; (4) compliance daily per capita water use, including technical bases and supporting data for those determinations. In order for an agency to meet its 2020 water use target, each agency can increase its use of recycled water to offset potable water use and also step up its water conservation measures. The required water use targets for 2020 and an interim target for 2015 are determined using one of four "Target" methods – each method has numerous methodologies. The 2020 urban water use target may be updated in a supplier's 2015 UWMP. **Appendix A** also contains the text of SBX 7-7.

Four methods are stipulated for calculating the water use target. Three of the methods are listed in Water Code Section 10608.20(a)(1). The fourth method was developed by DWR at the end of 2010. The four methods are:

- Method 1 – Eighty percent of the water supplier's baseline per capita potable water use
- Method 2 – Per capita daily water use estimated using the sum of performance standards applied to indoor residential use, landscape area water use, and commercial, industrial, and institutional uses
- Method 3 – Ninety-five percent of the applicable state hydrologic region target as stated in the state's 20x2020 Water Conservation Plan.
- Method 4 – An approach developed by DWR and reported to the Legislature in February 2011

The District's 2010 UWMP presented the 2015 and 2020 water use targets using Method 1. In the 2015 UWMP, the District is required to report interim compliance followed by actual compliance in 2020. Baseline, target, and compliance-year water use estimates are required to be reported in gallons per capita per day (gpcd). For consistent application of the Act, DWR produced Methodologies for Calculating Baseline and Compliance Urban Water Per Capita Use in October 2010. Discussion on the District's baseline and target 2020 demands are discussed in more detail in Section 5.

Failure to meet adopted targets will result in the ineligibility of a water supplier to receive grants or loans administered by the State unless one (1) of two (2) exceptions is met. Exception one (1) states a water supplier may be eligible if they have submitted a schedule, financing plan, and budget to DWR for approval to achieve the per capita water use reductions. Exception two (2) states a water supplier may be eligible if an entire water service area qualifies as a disadvantaged community.

1.4 Resource Maximization

The District's commitment to maximizing resources is reflected in its mission statement, which is,

> *"…to provide safe, reliable water and wastewater services to our community with innovation, in a cost-efficient, water-wise and environmentally responsible manner."*

For many years, the District has worked to reduce its reliance on imported water, and in particular treated imported water. On August 3, 1994, the District's Board of Directors established a goal of being able to meet 40 percent of annual demands from local water sources when water is unavailable from the San Diego County Water Authority (Water Authority). The District and the Water Authority entered into an agreement in 2006 to provide the District with treated water from the Helix Water District (Helix WD) in addition to the District's normal treated water supply from the Water Authority. A similar arrangement made with the City of San Diego in 1999 provides the District access to treated water from the City of San Diego's Otay Water Treatment Plant (WTP). The District has also aggressively developed its recycled water distribution system and has entered into an agreement to purchase recycled water from the City of San Diego. These water sources are further discussed in Section 6.0.

Water conservation is an important component of the District's commitment to reducing reliance on imported water. In partnership with the Water Authority, the City of Chula Vista, and residential and commercial developers, the District's water use efficiencies and conservation efforts are expected to grow and expand. The District is also committed to continuing investigations of local groundwater, additional recycled water, desalination, and other potential water resources that could further reduce the District's reliance on imported water.

SECTION 2

Plan Preparation

This section provides the basis and approach that the District used to update its UWMP. It also includes the data reporting period (calendar vs. fiscal year) and units of measure used by the District to report water volumes. This section also includes the details of the coordination and outreach activities conducted by the District during the preparation of this UWMP.

2.1 Basis and Approach for Preparing the UWMP

The District submitted its first UWMP in 2000 in compliance with the Act. The District has submitted the last update to the UWMP in 2010. The normal cycle requires that the UWMP be submitted in December of years ending in five and zero. Recent changes in the Plan requirements have necessitated the need for State law to extend the 2015 deadline to July 1, 2016. However, this UWMP is referred to as the 2015 Plan to retain consistency with the five-year submittal cycle.

In accordance with CWC, urban water suppliers with 3,000 or more service connections or supplying 3,000 or more AF of water per year are required to prepare an UWMP every five years. As an urban water supplier, the District is required to update and submit its 2015 plan to the department by July 1, 2016. The District has served 48,651 municipal connections and supplied volume of 30,298 AF in 2015 (Table 2-1).

The District utilized DWR's "Guidebook to Assist Urban Water Suppliers to Prepare a 2015 Urban Water Management Plan" (Guidebook) and SBX 7-7 to prepare this plan. SBX 7-7 was passed in November 2009 with the goal of reducing California's urban per capita water use by 20 percent by December 31, 2020 with an incremental goal of reducing per capita water use by 10 percent by December 31, 2015. Each urban water supplier is also required to meet SBX 7-7 goals, which are discussed later in this report. This report includes projections of the District's future demands and supplies, based on estimates of future growth in the District's service area. It also discusses the steps the District has taken to promote water conservation and ensure water is being used wisely. The strategies outlined in this report are intended to allow the District to continue to provide a safe and reliable water supply to its customers.

The District prepared this UWMP with the assistance of its consultant, CH2M, as permitted by Section 10620 (e) of the Act.

Table 2-1 Public Water Systems

Public Water System Number	Public Water System Name	Number of Municipal Connections 2015	Volume of Water Supplied 2015
CA 3710034	Otay Water District	48,651	30,299

Source: District CWA Data Report for FY15

2.2 Level of Planning and Compliance

The District joined the Water Authority as a member agency in 1956. The Water Authority is responsible for the supply of imported water into the San Diego County through its membership in Metropolitan

Water District of Southern California (Metropolitan). The District receives imported potable water from the aqueduct systems owned and operated by the Water Authority and Metropolitan. Together these agencies work to ensure a diverse and reliable supply for the San Diego region.

Effective water planning for the Water Authority and its member agencies requires consistent projections of supply and demand. The Water Authority facilitated an Urban Water Management Plan Working Group made up of staff from the Water Authority and its member agencies. This group provided a forum for exchanging demand and supply information. In addition, DWR and the Water Authority hosted a special workshop to review the requirements of the Act. The District participated in several workshops and meetings, providing water supply and demand information as well as recycled water opportunities.

The District's potable demands are currently met with imported water provided through the Water Authority and Metropolitan. Much of the supply discussion in this report is based on the supply planning performed by these regional agencies. The District is engaged in regional water supply planning and coordinates with regional partners. However, this is an individual UWMP that reports on water demands and supplies in the District's service area (as noted in Table 2-2).

The District maintains its records of water use on a fiscal year (FY) that runs from July 1 through June 30 (Table 2-3). For example, FY 2015 runs from July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015. In this document, projections of water demand over the course of a year are reported on a fiscal year basis. For estimates that are based on an instantaneous value and not a year-long accumulation (for example, the service area population), values are assumed to be valid on January 1 of the corresponding year.

Table 2-2: Plan Identification

X	Individual UWMP
	Regional UWMP (RUWMP)

NOTES:

Table 2-3: Agency Identification

Type of Agency	
	Agency is a wholesaler
X	Agency is a retailer
Fiscal or Calendar Year	
	UWMP Tables Are in Calendar Years
X	UWMP Tables Are in Fiscal Years
If Using Fiscal Years Provide Month and Day that the Fiscal Year Begins	
1	July
Units of Measure Used in UWMP	
X	Acre Feet (AF)
	Million Gallons (MG)
	Hundred Cubic Feet (CCF)

NOTES:

2.3 Agency Coordination and Outreach

2.3.1 Wholesale and Retail Coordination

The District has coordinated the preparation of its plan with other appropriate agencies in the area, including its wholesaler, i.e., the Water Authority (Table 2-4). Since the District relies upon the water supply from the Water Authority, the District has worked with the Water Authority and shared information for water supply and demand projections.

Table 2-4: Water Supplier Information Exchange

The retail supplier has informed the following wholesale supplier(s) of projected water use in accordance with CWC 10631.
Wholesale Water Supplier Name
San Diego County Water Authority

NOTES:

2.3.2 Coordination with Other Agencies and the Community

The District has coordinated the preparation of its plan with other appropriate agencies in the area, including other water suppliers that share a common source, water management agencies, and public agencies (Table 2-5). In accordance with the Act, the District provided a 60-day notice to the Water Authority (its wholesale water supplier) and the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG), the City of San Diego, City of Chula Vista, and County of San Diego that they were reviewing and considering amendments or changes to the UWMP and that they would be holding a public hearing prior to the adoption of the UWMP. A copy of the 60-day notice is included in **Appendix B**.

Also, in accordance with the Act, the District notified the land use jurisdictions (City of Chula Vista, City of San Diego and County of San Diego) within its service area that it was preparing the 2015 UWMP.

Prior to adoption, the District will make its Draft 2015 UWMP available to stakeholders including the Water Authority, the City of Chula Vista, the County of San Diego, and the City of San Diego.

The notice and instructions for downloading the Draft 2015 UWMP from the District's website was also made available to interested parties as listed in Table 2-5. The Draft 2015 UWMP was first presented at a Water Resources Committee Meeting of the District's Board of Directors. A Public Hearing regarding the 2015 UWMP will held on June 1, 2016. Notices of the Public Hearing will be published in the San Diego Union-Tribune (May 5, 2016), The Star News (May 6, 2016), The East County Californian (May 12, 2016), and The Alpine Sun (May 5, 2016). These coordination efforts are summarized in Table 2-5 and copies of the public notices are included in **Appendix B**.

Table 2-5. Coordination with Appropriate Agencies

Agency	Sent Notification Letter	Participated in UWMP Development	Commented on Draft UWMP	Attended Public Meetings	Received copy of Draft of Notice of Availability	Sent Notice of Intention to Adopt UWMP
Wholesale Water Supplier						
San Diego County Water Authority	✓	✓				
Other Coordination						
City of San Diego	✓	✓				
City of Chula Vista	✓	✓				
Helix Water District	✓	✓				
County of San Diego	✓					
Chula Vista Planning Commission						
San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG)	✓	✓				
San Diego LAFCO	✓					
Sweetwater Authority	✓					
Crest/Dehesa Planning Group						
Jamul/Dulzura Planning Group						
Otay Mesa Planning Group						
Spring Valley Community Planning Group						
Sweetwater Planning Group						
Valley de Oro Planning Group						
Constituents of the District						
Local Libraries						

Notes:

SECTION 3

Service Area

This section of the report provides an overview of the District's service area, its current water supply, an analysis of available demographics, population growth projections, and climate data to provide a basis for estimating future water requirements.

The District's service area has experienced some growth in the past five years, and the service area population is expected to be approximately 285,340 people by 2040.

3.1 Service Area Description

The District is located in the southern half of San Diego County and was created in 1956 by a small group of private citizens, ranchers and landowners who were concerned about the declining quality and quantity of water from their rural wells. The District joined the Water Authority as a member agency in the same year. The Water Authority is the agency responsible for the supply of imported water into the San Diego County through its membership in Metropolitan.

The District is a California special district authorized under the provisions of the Municipal Water District Law of 1911 and is revenue neutral, i.e., each end user pays their fair share of costs for capital improvements, water acquisition, and the operation and maintenance of facilities. Its elected Board of Directors sets the District ordinances, policies, taxes, and rates for providing wastewater, potable water, and recycled water services.

The District's water service area is generally located within the south central portion of San Diego County and includes approximately 126 square miles. The topography of the service area is diverse, consisting of a variety of valleys, hills, mountains, mesas, lakes and rivers. The service area includes both urban and rural development. The major transportation arteries serving the area include State Highway 94 in the north, Interstate 805 in the southwest and the newly constructed State Route 125 to the east. Interstate 905 and State Highway 11 are in the process of being constructed in the Otay Mesa area.

The District serves a wide spectrum of communities including southern El Cajon, La Mesa, Rancho San Diego, Jamul, Spring Valley, Bonita, eastern City of Chula Vista, East Lake, Otay Ranch and Otay Mesa areas. The water purveyors that border the District include Padre Dam Municipal Water District (Padre Dam MWD) on the north, Helix WD on the northwest, and the Sweetwater Authority, and the City of San Diego on the west. The southern boundary of the District is the international border with Mexico.

A map showing the regional jurisdictional boundaries within the District is shown on Figure 3-1.

There is currently no adjacent water purveyor located to the east of the District, which provides an opportunity for service for future land uses, such as the Otay Ranch General Development Plan (GDP) Area of Influence.



Source: District Draft 2015 Water Facilities Master Plan, Figure 6-1 Water Service Area

Figure 3-1. Water Service Area

3.2 Service Area Population

The District's service area population has grown from approximately 48,300 in 1980 to a 2015 population of 217,339. Data on the future rate of growth within the District were obtained from the SANDAG Series 13: 2050 Regional Growth Forecast (Series 13) adopted by SANDAG's Board of Directors in October 2013. The Series 13 forecast integrates 2010 Census counts and an economic outlook on regional growth and lower water demands over the long-term planning horizon. SANDAG serves as the regional, intergovernmental planning agency and provides estimates of population and housing up to the year 2050.

SANDAG has prepared forecasts of population, housing and employment in each census tract in 5-year increments between 2010 and 2040. For this analysis, the District assumed that the population within each census tract was uniformly distributed around the tract. The census tract data were intersected with the District's service area boundary using geographical information system (GIS) software. The estimated service area population is shown in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1. Population - Current and Projected

	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
Population Served	217,339	243,845	255,040	257,278	277,429	285,340

Source: District 2015 Water Facilities Master Plan Update, Appendix C and SANDAG Series 13 forecast

3.2.1 Other Demographic Factors

The District's long-term historic growth rate has averaged around 4 percent. In recent years, growth has occurred at a reduced rate due to a slowdown in economic conditions. The SANDAG forecast shows an average annual growth rate of 6 percent through 2040. The growth rate is expected to slow as the inventory of developable land is diminished.

The water service planning area comprises three distinct land use planning agencies: the City of San Diego, the City of Chula Vista, and the County of San Diego. Documents that govern land use planning in these jurisdictions consist of the City of San Diego General Plan, the City of Chula Vista General Plan, and the County of San Diego's General Plan. All three include various sub-regional and community level plans within the District.

Approximately 90 percent of the District's customers are single-family residences. Much of the anticipated development in the District's service area is also single-family residential. The relative composition of the District's customers is expected to remain consistent. The other customer sectors, such as commercial, industrial, and institutional are expected to grow at the same rate to support the residential development.

3.3 Climate Data

Climatic conditions within the service area are characteristically Mediterranean near the coast, with mild temperatures year round. Inland areas are both hotter in summer and cooler in winter, with summer temperatures often exceeding 90 degrees and winter temperatures occasionally dipping to below freezing. Most of the region's rainfall occurs December through March. Total average annual rainfall is approximately 10.08 inches per year.

Historic climate data were obtained from the Western Regional Climate Center for Station 042706 (El Cajon) for fiscal years 2011 through 2015. This station was selected because its annual temperature

variation is representative of most of the District's service area. While there is a station in Chula Vista, the temperature variation at the Chula Vista station is more typical of a coastal environment than the conditions in most of the District's service area.

Evapotranspiration data were obtained from the California Irrigation Management Information System (CIMIS) for fiscal years 2011 through 2015. Because conditions in the service area vary from coastal areas to inland areas, evapotranspiration (ETo) data are reported for two stations: 147 (Otay Lakes), and 153 (Escondido SPV). The evapotranspiration data are based on historical data since 1999 and the long-term averages provided by CIMIS. Climate and evapotranspiration data are summarized in Table 3-2. The typical annual distribution for temperature and precipitation are shown in Figure 3-2.

Table 3-2. Climate Data

	Monthly Average Evapotranspiration (inches)		El Cajon (042706)	
Month	**Otay Lakes No. 147**	**Escondido SPV No. 153**	**Average Monthly Total Rainfall (inches)**	**Average Temperature (Fahrenheit)**
January	2.46	2.59	0.75	57.00
February	2.79	2.73	1.59	57.29
March	4.16	4.20	1.48	61.10
April	4.91	5.18	0.57	63.30
May	5.69	6.00	0.46	66.47
June	6.17	6.80	0.05	70.05
July	6.05	6.79	0.00	74.14
August	6.09	6.72	0.06	76.19
September	5.04	5.29	0.08	75.57
October	3.70	3.71	0.84	68.02
November	2.74	2.69	1.21	60.90
December	2.02	1.87	2.99	55.70
Annual Total	**51.82**	**54.58**	**10.08**	**65.48**

Source: CIMIS for Stations 147 (Otay Lakes), and 153 (Escondido SPV) and Western Regional Climate Center for Station 042706 (El Cajon).



Figure 3-2. Temperature and Precipitation Distribution

SECTION 4

Water Use

As part of the UWMP, California regulation requires water suppliers to quantify past and current water use and to project the total water demand for the water system, including calculations of its baseline (base daily per capita) water use and interim and urban water use targets. Projections of future water demand allow a water supplier to analyze if future water supplies are adequate, as well as help the agency when sizing and staging future water facilities to meet water use targets. Projected water use, combined with population projections, provide the basis for estimating future water requirements.

This chapter provides the District's current water use and water use projections through the year 2040. In this chapter, the term "water use" and "water demand" has been used interchangeably. Recycled water is addressed comprehensively in Chapter 6, but a summary of recycled water demand is included in this section (in Table 4-3) as well.

4.1 Existing Water Use by Customer Class

The District maintains records of its water consumption and its number of customers by customer type. The breakdown of consumption between customer types in FY 2010 compared to FY 2015 is summarized in Table 4-1. The water demand data include losses in the District's system and unaccounted for water use. The District used the American Water Works Association's method to calculate the water losses.

Table 4-1. Demands for Potable Water - Actual

Use Type	Level of Treatment When Delivered	2015 Actual Volume	2010 Actual Volume
Single Family	Drinking Water	16,228	17,165
Multi-Family	Drinking Water	3,460	3,605
Commercial	Drinking Water	2,592	2,243
Industrial	Drinking Water	309	
Institutional	Drinking Water	2,052	1,867
Landscape/Irrigation	Drinking Water	4,079	3,732
Losses	Drinking Water	1,500	607
Other	Drinking Water	78	
Total		**30,299**	**29,270**

In 2014, drought conditions required the District to impose mandatory conservation. This resulted in FY 2015 water use decreasing by 16 percent from FY 2010 levels due to ongoing drought conditions and conservation measures.

4.2 Projected Future Water Use

4.2.1 Approach / Methodology

The District projected future water demands as part of its 2015 Water Facilities Master Plan (Master Plan) update. The Master Plan forecasts future water demands using existing demands as a base, and scales these based on the net effects of growth, conservation, and other factors. The forecast methodology is outlined below.

a) **Existing baseline unit demands.** The Master Plan uses actual unit use factors for calendar year 2013 as the baseline normal condition demands for the forecast period. 2013 demands are sufficiently distant from the Water Use Alert conditions in effect in most of the County during 2009-10. 2013 was moderately dryer than normal, which would tend to increase use, but this increase is offset by below-normal economic activity as the economy continued to recover from the Great Recession.

b) **New development.** New development demands are generated using the baseline unit use factors, and the SANDAG Draft Series 13 projections for the District at the pressure zone level of spatial resolution.

- Residential: Single Family Residential (SFR) and Multi-Family Residential) MFR usage is scaled upwards proportionate to housing unit counts for each category, and adjusted for projected changes in Persons Per Household rates.
- Public / Commercial: Commercial, industrial, and governmental usage is scaled upwards from existing use proportionate to employment projections.
- Irrigation: Usage is scaled upward as a weighted average of the change in SFR, MFR, and commercial usage.
- Temporary Meters: TEMP account usage is custom entered to reflect levels consistent with the building activity reflected in the Series 13 forecasts, based on past TEMP account usage from 2000 to 2007.



Demand forecast components. The forecast methodology starts with existing baseline demands, and adjusts for growth from new development, and for changes in per account usage due to conservation efficiencies and other effects.

c) **Reduced demands due to additional conservation efficiencies and other factors.** The Master Plan projects unit use rates will continue to decline over time in response to increased water rates, conservation education, and shifting landscape preferences. These factors are summarized in Table 4-S1.

Table 4-S1: Summary of Unit Use Adjustment Factors

FACTORS DRIVING UNIT USE REDUCTIONS	
1) Landscape Ordinances	As required by State law from 2010 and as amended by the State Water Resources Control Board in 2015, all land use jurisdictions have adopted landscape ordinances limiting new landscape construction water use to 55 percent ETo for residential construction, and 45 percent for non-residential construction. The state requirements also limit turf utilization in all types of construction and in and streetscape uses. As a result, new construction in the District will feature less grass, and be lower water using in comparison to pre-2010 construction.
2) Weather-Based Irrigation Controllers	Newer landscape irrigation controllers can automatically adjust irrigation schedules consistent with actual climate conditions and plant water needs, reducing unnecessary use due to over-irrigation. The use of these controllers will become increasingly common during the planning horizon.
3) Turf Retirement	Metropolitan and the Water Authority are providing financial incentives to customers who replace grass with low water use landscapes, and customer landscape preferences are shifting away from turf. Existing residential landscapes in the District service area are dominated by grass; over the course of the planning horizon this will begin to shift as customers opt to remove turf.
4) High-efficiency clothes washers	Newer clothes washing machines, in particular front-loading versions, are more water efficient than older traditional-style washers.
5) High-efficiency toilets	California regulations enacted in 2011 require new toilets to operate with a maximum of 1.28 gallons per flush (gpf), compared to 1.6 gpf per the previous 1992 requirements. This will reduce water use at new SFR and MFR construction.
6) MFR Submetering	Future MFR construction will be subject to requirements that individual units be submetered and billed by usage. The direct price signal to the consumer results in reduced water use.
7) Increasing Real Prices / Behavioral Changes	Retail water rates may continue to increase at a rate faster than inflation, driven by increases in wholesale rates. Customers respond by reducing use.
FACTORS DRIVING UNIT USE INCREASES	
8) Growth located in warmer inland areas	New development in the District is moving further and further inland. The warmer climate of inland areas results in increased unit irrigation demands. The average ETo rate for the geographic center of the District is approximately 47 inches (per CIMIS station data, see San Diego County Landscape Design Manual, App. A). The average ETo at the eastern edge of the District at Lower Otay Reservoir is 50 inches, 6 percent higher than the geographic center.
9) Climate Change	Per the Water Authority's most recent climate change analysis (2013 Water Facilities Master Plan, Appendix E), the median predicted climate change will increase average ETo in the District service area 1.9 percent by 2035, and approximately 3 percent by 2050.

4.2.2 Projected Potable Demands

Water demands in the District service area will increase over time relative to existing demands, but at a slower rate than the underlying growth in population and employment. The Master Plan projects future demands will be approximately one-third lower than those forecast in the District's 2010 UWMP, and that potable demands will not again reach their 2006 peak levels until sometime beyond 2035.

Near-Term Annexation Demands

The District anticipates that additional lands will annex to its service area and anticipates these Near-Term Annexations may include those listed below:

- Otay Ranch Village 13 - The portion of the Proctor Valley Parcel (part of the Otay Ranch GDP) outside the District's boundary is known as the Resort Parcel, or Village 13. The land use mix will

include approximately 1,738 SFR dwelling units, 200 MFR dwelling units, 29.3 acres of commercial, 26.0 acres of parks, and a 10.1-acre school site. Village 13 is anticipated to be annexed into the District to receive water service.

- Sycuan Reservation - Demand of 400 AF consisting of existing uses for homes, office buildings, medical center and casino, and future demands to include expansion of a casino, medical center, and hotel.
- Peaceful Valley Ranch - 152.4 acres for a proposed estate residential development proposed to develop 52 estate residential units, and equestrian uses and amenities.
- Stoddard Parcel - 8-acre lot with existing home, adjacent to the District boundary and the proposed Peaceful Valley Ranch annexation.
- San Ysidro Mountains Parcel, Planning Area 17 - The land areas included within the 5,555-acre San Ysidro Mountains Parcel, also part of the Otay Ranch GDP, includes Village 15 and Planning Area 17. Since the 2002 Water Resources Master Plan, Village 15 has been recorded as open space preserve. According to the District, a conservatory now owns the land with the intent to remain open space. Planning Area 17 is planned to include 296 single-family units over 800 acres. Over 85 percent of the parcel is designated as open space. The entire parcel lies outside of the District boundary, but within the Area of Influence.
- Annexations East of Village 13 - The current land use will change slightly with the new San Diego County 2020 Update. It will be Semi Rural based on the average slope of the property (1 dwelling unit for every 2, 4, or 8 Acres). The density on the 1,776 acres available will generate 468 units at 320 gallons per unit or 150,000 gallons per day (gpd). Since this is rural land, some irrigation is included. This brings the total to 151,800 gpd. Most of the remaining area is assumed to be non-irrigated open space.

The District projects water demands for these project will be as summarized in Table 4-S2:

Table 4-S2. District Anticipated Near-Term Annexations

Potential Annexation	Estimated Annual Demands (AFY)	
	2020	2020 - 2040
Otay Ranch Village 13	1,961	1,961
Sycuan Reservation	392	392
Peaceful Valley Ranch	70	70
Stoddard Parcel	2	2
San Ysidro Mt. Parcel Village 17 (296 SFR units)	148	148
Annexations East Of Village 13	400	400
Total	**2,973**	**2,973**

The District's projected potable water demands, including near term annexations, are summarized in Table 4-2.

Table 4-2. Demands for Potable Water - Projected

Use Type	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
Single Family	17,072	19,806	20,752	20,649	23,224
Multi-Family	5,557	6,732	7,342	7,585	8,837
Commercial					
Industrial	6,577	7,949	8,653	8,923	10,378
Institutional					
Landscape/Irrigation	4,400	4,600	4,700	4,900	5,200
Near Term Annexations	2,973	2,973	2,973	2,973	2,973
Other	470	470	470	470	470
Total	**37,050**	**42,530**	**44,891**	**45,501**	**51,082**

Source: District 2015 Water Facilities Master Plan Update, Appendix B and Water Authority Member Agency Level Projections

4.2.3 Projected Recycled Demands

The Master Plan projected recycled water demands using methodologies similar to those described previously for the potable demand forecasts. The recycled demand forecast is based on the assumption that recycled water service will be available only in the Central system area, as reviewed in the Master Plan.

Within the Central system area, the forecast assumes that almost all new development will utilize recycled water for irrigation uses, with the only exceptions being those areas that are within the drainage basin of Lower Otay Reservoir and therefore not allowed to use recycle water. The forecast assumes those existing irrigation meters currently on the potable system will remain as potable demands and not convert to recycled service, consistent with the District's experience with the high costs of retrofitting customer systems for recycled use.

Projected recycled water demands are presented in Table 4-3.

4.2.4 Projected Total Demands

The District's total projected demands, inclusive of baseline potable and recycled demands are summarized in Table 4-3 and include water savings from passive and active conservation efforts.

Table 4-3: Total Water Demands

	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
Potable Water Demand	37,050	42,530	44,891	45,501	51,082
Recycled Water Demand	5,670	5,900	6,000	6,200	6,500
Total Water Demand	**42,720**	**48,430**	**50,891**	**51,701**	**57,582**

NOTES:

Accelerated Forecasted Growth Demand

The Water Authority's 2015 UWMP long-range water demand forecast incorporates a small demand increment associated with potential accelerated forecasted growth (AFG). This demand increment is intended to account for land-use development included in SANDAG's growth forecast and projected to occur beyond year 2040, but not yet accounted for in local jurisdictions' general land use plans.

The AFG demand increment was included in the Water Authority's 2015 UWMP to assist member agencies with general plan amendments that rely on the Water Authority's demand forecast to comply with laws linking water availability and land-use approvals, and intended to ensure the Water Authority is adequately planning supplies for potential growth within the service area during the 2015 UWMP planning horizon. As a member agency of the Water Authority, the District has access to the Water Authority's regional supply associated with AFG ,in conjunction with supplies identified in the District's 2015 UWMP, to document the availability of water supplies to serve proposed projects when preparing a Water Supply Assessment (Water Code Section 10912 (a)). Additionally, the Water Authority will track demands associated with member agency projects requesting a portion of the AFG demand increment, to demonstrate that adequate supplies exist for each new development.

4.3 Service Area Sales and System Losses

The District does not sell any water to other agencies.

Distribution system water losses (also known as "real losses") are the physical water losses from the water distribution system and storage facilities, up to the point of customer delivery. The District used the American Water Works Association (AWWA) Method and Guidebook (Appendix L) worksheet to report and calculate system losses. For FY 2015, the District's reported losses were 265 acre-feet per year (AFY). The worksheet is provided as Appendix C and will be submitted electronically to DWR.

Table 4-4: Water Loss Summary Most Recent 12 Month Period Available

Reporting Period Start Date (Month/Year)	Loss
July/2014	265

NOTES:

4.4 Estimating Future Water Savings

The potable water demand projections presented in this UWMP include active and passive water conservation savings. Future water conservation was estimated by the Water Authority for its member agencies, using the Alliance for Water Efficiency (AWE) conservation tracking tool listed in DWR's 2015 UWMP Guidebook as an application to assist water purveyors in developing savings estimates. The projected active conservation savings are based on the continuation of conservation incentives and rebate programs.

Table 4-5: Inclusion in Water Use Projections

Future Water Savings Included	Yes
If "Yes" to above, state the section or page number where citations of the codes, ordinances, etc utilized in demand projections are found.	Location in UWMP: Section 4.4, Water Authority UWMP Section 2.4.2
Lower Income Residential Demands Included	Yes

NOTES:

Table 4-6: Projected Future Conservation Savings - District

	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
Active Conservation	2,111	1,844	1,585	1,538	1,587
Passive Conservation	2,497	4,497	5,489	6,040	6,744
Total	**4,608**	**6,341**	**7,074**	**7,578**	**8,331**

4.5 Lower Income Water Demand Projections

The requirements for the 2015 UWMP call for projections of water demands for low-income customers. The District reviewed the Housing elements from the City of Chula Vista, City of San Diego, and County of San Diego's General Plans, which forecast projections to 2030. Demands for the projected low-income housing projects were estimated using the District's planning demand criteria in its 2015 Master Plan for high density multi-family residential units. Projected water demands were then distributed equally throughout 2015 and 2040. These demands have been assumed as part of the general growth within the District and have been included in the District's potable water demand projections.

4.6 Climate Change

Climate change adds its own new uncertainties to the challenges of planning. Changes in weather significantly affect water supply planning, irrespective of the debate associated with the sources and cause of increasing concentrations of greenhouse gasses. Typically, water supplies that are dependent on natural hydrology are vulnerable to climate change, especially if the water source originates from mountain snow pack. The most vulnerable water sources subject to climate change impacts are the District's imported water supplies from the Water Authority. In addition to water supply impacts, changes in local temperature and precipitation are expected to alter water demand patterns. The District is committed to performing its due diligence with respect to climate change.

The vulnerability of the water resources in California to climate change stems from a modified hydrology that affects the frequency, intensity, and duration of extreme events, which, in turn, affect water quantity, quality, and infrastructure. The California Climate Science and Data for Water Resources Management (DWR, June 2015) report summarizes the latest climate change indicators, implications and strategies for water managers in California under key climate-induced factors.

As the climate change continues to impact the State's water resources, the District is continuously reviewing and updating new strategies and reevaluating existing policies, regulations, facilities, and funding priorities to mitigate effects of climate on water resources. Some of the considerations of mitigation and adaptation strategies include:

- Exploring the use of local groundwater supplies.
- Promoting recycled water use.
- Developing long-term plans that utilize climate change adaptation components.
- Promoting water use efficiency for urban, agricultural, commercial, and industrial water users.
- Increasing investments in infrastructure that promote adaptation strategies and mitigate the loss of existing supplies that are susceptible to climate change impacts.

The summer of 2015 was recently highlighted as having a record-breaking heat wave in nearly 40 years, but this influence on water demand is not currently known. Currently, the California Urban Water Conservation Council (CUWCC) is working on a weather normalization model (weather model) to use

and share with DWR. Once complete, the District will run its water usage figures through the weather model and at that point, the District can determine the full impacts of weather patterns on District-wide water use.

4.6.1 Potential Impacts

While uncertainties remain regarding the exact timing, magnitude, and regional impacts of these temperature and precipitation changes, researchers have identified several areas of concern for California water planners. These include:

- Reduction in Sierra Nevada snowpack;
- Increased intensity and frequency of extreme weather events; and
- Rising sea levels resulting in increased risk of damage from storms, high-tide events, and the erosion of levees.

Other important issues of concern due to global climate change include:

- Effects on local supplies such as groundwater;
- Changes in urban and agricultural demand levels and patterns;
- Impacts to human health from waterborne pathogens and water quality degradation;
- Declines in ecosystem health and function; and
- Alterations to power generation and pumping regimes.

Typically, water supplies that are dependent on natural hydrology are vulnerable to climate change, especially if the water source originates from mountain snow pack. The most vulnerable water sources subject to climate change impacts are the District's imported water supplies from the Water Authority.

4.6.2 Water Authority Adaption and Mitigation

The Water Authority is an active and founding member of the Water Utility Climate Alliance (WUCA). WUCA consists of ten of the nation's largest water providers collaborating on climate change adaptation and greenhouse gas (GHG) mitigation issues. As part of this effort, WUCA pursues a variety of activities on multiple fronts. WUCA monitors development of climate change-related research, technology, programs, and federal legislation. Activities to date include such things as:

- Letter of support for the California Nevada Applications Program (CNAP) branch of the Regional Integrated Science and Assessments (RISA) team under the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)
- Provided comments on U.S. Global Change Research Program, National Global Change Research Plan
- Regular communication and consultations with federal agencies on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Climate Ready Water Utility Working Group
- Participation in the International Water and Climate Forum, December 2015

The Water Authority has made great strides in implementing GHG mitigation programs and policies for its facilities and operations. To date, these programs and policies have focused on the following:

- Pursuing water supply/energy relationships and opportunities to increase efficiencies to lower GHG emissions; including the 40-megawatt Lake Hodges Pumped Storage project in operation and a potential 500-megawatt San Vicente Pumped Storage project

- Participating in the Climate Registry; the Water Authority developed its initial baseline GHG inventory from calendar year 2009 and refined its inventory calculation in an update conducted in 2014.
- Reducing the number of vehicles in the fleet and replacing vehicles with hybrids when possible
- Generating solar power at three Water Authority sites, including the Twin Oaks Valley WTP, the Escondido Operations Center, and the San Diego Headquarters; cumulatively generating an estimated 2.9 million kilowatt-hours each year

SECTION 5

SB X7-7 Baselines and Targets

The state Legislature passed Senate Bill 7 as part of the Seventh Extraordinary Session, referred to as SBX7-7, on November 10, 2009, which became effective February 3, 2010. This law was the water conservation component to the Delta legislation package, and seeks to achieve a 20 percent statewide reduction in urban per capita water use in California by December 31, 2020. The law requires each urban retail water supplier to develop urban water use targets to help meet the 20 percent goal by 2020, and an interim water reduction target by 2015.

For the 2015 UWMP, the District is required to adjust the baseline and target per capita water use, and compare 2015 per capita water use with set targets. Detailed calculations in compliance with DWR requirements are provided in Appendix D. Water use is typically discussed based on per capita use and is presented in gallons per capita daily (gpcd)

The 2010 UWMP described how the District calculated its baseline and targets, following the technical methods and methodologies described in DWR's Methodologies for Calculating Baseline and Compliance Urban Per Capita Water Use For the Consistent Implementation of the Water Conservation Bill of 2009. Background information and the approach used to develop baselines and targets were also to be included. A description of each of these elements follows:

- Baseline daily per capita water use — how much water is used within an urban water supplier's distribution system area on a per capita basis. It is determined using water use and population estimates from a defined range of years.

- Urban water use target — how much water is planned to be delivered in 2020 to each resident within an urban water supplier's distribution system area, taking into account water conservation practices that currently are and plan to be implemented.

- Interim urban water use target — the planned daily per capita water use in 2015, a value halfway between the baseline daily per capita water use and the urban water use target.

5.1 Baselines and Targets

In the 2010 UWMP, the District was required to develop a baseline per capita water use. Per DWR requirements, the District estimated a 10-year (1999 to 2008) and a 5-year (2004 to 2008) baseline per capita water use.

Table 5-1 presents the adjusted baseline per capita water using the adjusted 2010 Census population data. The District targets are based on Method 1. Since the District's recycled water use is greater than 10 percent of 2008 retail water delivery, a 10 to 15-year baseline period that ends no earlier than December 31, 2004 was used. A 10-year period from 1999 to 2008 provided a baseline of 191 gallons per capita per day (gpcd) and a 2020 target of 153 gpcd as shown in Table 5-1. No adjustment was required since the 10-year baseline target is less than 95 percent of the 5-year baseline.

For reference purposes, the 2010 UWMP presented the 10-year and 5-year baseline per capita water use as 190 gpcd and 191 gpcd, respectively.

Table 5-1 Baselines and Targets Summary

Baseline Period	Start Year	End Year	Average GPCD	2015 Interim Target	Confirmed 2020 Target
10 year	1999	2008	191	174	153
5 Year	2004	2008	192	--	--

Notes:

The District's recent per capita water use has been declining to the point where current water use already meets the 2020 target for Method 1. In 2015, water use within the District was 124 gpcd. This recent decline in per capita water use is largely due to water use restrictions, increased water costs, and poor economic conditions. However, the District's effective water use awareness campaign and enhanced conservation mentality of its customers will likely result in some long-term carryover of these reduced consumption rates beyond the current drought period. Table 5-2 shows the District's compliance with the 2015 interim target.

Table 5-2: 2015 Compliance

2015 Actual GPCD	2015 Interim Target GPCD	Did Supplier Achieve Targeted Reduction for 2015
124	172	Yes

Notes:

The baseline per capita water use estimates in the 2010 UWMP were based on preliminary 2010 Census population data. For the 2015 UWMP, DWR requires that the baseline estimates be recalculated with the formal 2010 Census population data. SANDAG Series 13 forecast data incorporates the final 2010 Census data into its analysis. DWR approved the use of Series 13 population data for the 2015 UWMP calculations, finding that their population estimates and projections were thorough and addressed the requirements of the Water Code. Population and water use data used to recalculate the baseline are shown in Table 5-3. Additional SBX7-7 calculation tables are included as Appendix D, including the SANDAG population estimates and the persons per connection calculations from DWR's Population tool as a reference.

Table 5-3: 2010 Census Population and Water Use for Baseline Demand Calculations

Year	Population	Water Demand (AFY)	Gallons per Capita Day
1998	120,091	22,874	170
1999	127,429	25,442	178
2000	134,686	29,901	198
2001	144,219	30,002	186
2002	154,936	35,182	203
2003	163,925	34,536	188
2004	173,279	39,579	204
2005	180,704	37,678	186
2006	186,119	41,258	198
2007	191,032	41,909	196
2008	194,791	38,045	174
2009	197,705	34,971	158
2010	200,704	31,175	139

Source: SANDAG Series 13 population forecast based on 2010 Census

SECTION 6

Service Area Supplies

6.1 Water Resources

This section discusses the water sources available to the District.

6.1.1 Potable Water

The District currently meets all its potable demands with imported treated water from the Water Authority. The Water Authority, as a wholesale agency, imports both raw water and treated water for delivery to its member agencies in San Diego County. The Water Authority's current and future supply portfolio is discussed in more detail in its draft 2015 UWMP.

Existing potable water supply sources available to the District include purchases from the Water Authority, and back-up purchases from the City of San Diego's Otay WTP on an as-needed and as-available basis. The supplies are further described below.

6.1.2 San Diego County Water Authority

The District receives potable water from the Water Authority via Pipeline Number 4 (Pipeline No. 4) of the Second San Diego Aqueduct (Second Aqueduct), and from the 36-inch Jamacha Pipeline. The latter pipeline was placed in to operation in 2010, replacing the former La Mesa-Sweetwater Extension (LMSE) supply pipeline. Both of these conveyance facilities are owned and operated by the Water Authority.

Pipeline No. 4 delivers potable water treated at one of three facilities: the Metropolitan Skinner WTP located in Riverside County, the Water Authority's Twin Oaks Valley WTP in San Marcos, and the Water Authority's Carlsbad Seawater Desalination Facility. The Jamacha Pipeline delivers water treated at the Helix WD's Levy Filtration Plant.

The Water Authority does not have contractual agreements with its member agencies to guarantee flow rates or hydraulic gradients at its various flow control facility connections. Generally, if the Water Authority cannot obtain sufficient treated and/or raw water, or has delivery limitations for the water requests of its 24 member agencies, the Water Authority will attempt to allocate the water delivery shortfall to its member agencies on a proportional basis.

6.1.3 City of San Diego

Through a 1999 agreement with the City of San Diego, the District may obtain up to 10 million gallons per day (mgd) of supply from the City's Otay WTP. The Otay WTP was originally constructed in 1940, and has a current rated capacity of 34.4 mgd. The City of San Diego's typical demand for treated water from the Otay WTP is less than 20 mgd. Under the terms of the agreement, the City's obligation to supply treated water to the District is contingent upon it having surplus capacity available, beyond what the City needs for its own area system.

The agreement also provides the District with the option of funding an expansion of the Otay WTP in return for additional capacity rights. Although in the past the City has planned for expansion of the plant to a capacity of 60 mgd, the City currently has no committed plans or budget for expansion of the plant.

The District does not currently have permanent facilities in place to take delivery of water from the Otay WTP; instead a temporary "Lower Otay Pump Station (PS)" conveys flows to an Otay WD Interconnect. The District has completed the design of a permanent Lower Otay PS (LOPS), but has deferred construction of the facility.

The District considers the supply of water from the Otay WTP to be an alternative source for use in the South District area system when the Water Authority Pipeline No. 4 is out of service.

The agreement with the City of San Diego for potable water from the Otay WTP is included in **Appendix E**.

6.1.4 Emergency Supplies

The District has established a goal to sustain a 10-day outage of supply from the Water Authority Pipeline No. 4 at any time of the year without a reduction in service level. The District seeks to obtain this level of supply reliability through the development of alternative water supplies, through agreements with neighboring water districts, and through treated water storage.

For emergency events longer than the 10-day aqueduct shutdowns noted previously, the District will utilize emergency supplies developed by the Water Authority's Emergency Storage Project (ESP). The ESP is designed to provide treated water service to all Water Authority member agencies during a two-month interruption in service of imported water deliveries into San Diego County. The ESP is sized to deliver up to 75 percent of each agency's peak two-month summer demand. The key facilities of the ESP include the Olivenhain Dam and Conveyance System, the Lake Hodges Interconnect, the San Vicente-Miramar Pipeline, and the expansion of San Vicente Reservoir.

6.2 Groundwater

The District currently does not obtain any of it supply from groundwater. The District has studied possible local groundwater development projects, but none to date have advanced to development.

Both the geology and the semi-arid hydrologic conditions of the region limit groundwater supplies within the service area. Narrow river valleys with shallow alluvial deposits are characteristic of some of the more productive groundwater basins. Additionally, irrigation with imported water and over-pumping has led to excessive salinity in many of the most promising basins. Outside of these alluvial basins, much of the geology consists of fractured crystalline bedrock and fine-grained sedimentary deposits that are generally capable of yielding only small amounts of groundwater to domestic wells.

The District is continuing to investigate local groundwater opportunities as a means of reducing its dependence on imported water. Possible concept-level future groundwater projects are discussed in more detail in Section 6.8 (Future Water Supplies).

Table 6-1: Groundwater Volume Pumped

X	None

6.3 Surface Water

The District does not have any local surface supplies of its own.

6.4 Stormwater

The District does not currently utilize stormwater to augment its water supply.

6.5 Wastewater and Recycled Water

6.5.1 Recycled Water Coordination

The District has two sources of recycled water supply: Recycled water produced locally at the District's Ralph W. Chapman Water Recycling Facility (RWCWRF) and a recycled water supply produced at the City of San Diego's South Bay Water Reclamation Plant (SBWRP). The RWCWRF is located near the intersection of Campo Road/Highway 94 and Singer Lane within the Middle Sweetwater River basin.

The agencies that participate in recycled water planning for the District's service area are as follows:

- Otay Water District - Owns and operates RWCWRF and the recycled water distribution network
- City of San Diego Metropolitan Wastewater Department (MWWD) - Owns and operates regional interceptors, SBWRP, and Point Loma Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP)

6.5.2 Wastewater Collection & Treatment

Wastewater flows treated by the District originate in the Middle Sweetwater River basin, also known as the Jamacha Basin. A majority of these flows are collected by the District, with the remainder being collected by the Spring Valley Sanitation District (SVSD), which is operated by the County of San Diego. Current wastewater collection system flows for both agencies total approximately 1.84 mgd average dry weather flow (ADWF), of which approximately 1.3 mgd is diverted to the RWCWRF. Approximately 0.92 mgd is collected from District customers and 0.62 mgd is collected from SVSD customers.

Wastewater collection and treatment within the District service area is summarized in **Table 6-2**:

Table 6-2: Wastewater Collected Within Service Area in 2015

Name of Wastewater Collection Agency	Wastewater Volume Metered or Estimated?	Volume of Wastewater Collected in 2015	Name of Wastewater Treatment Agency Receiving Collected Wastewater	Treatment Plant Name	Is WWTP Located Within UWMP Area?
Otay Water District	Metered	1,029	Otay Water District	RWCWRF	Yes
San Diego County Sanitation District	Metered	691	City of San Diego/Metro JPA	RWCWRF	Yes
City of Chula Vista	Estimated	11,500	City of San Diego/Metro JPA	Point Loma WWTP	No
City of San Diego	Estimated	900	City of San Diego/Metro JPA	Point Loma WWTP	No
Total Wastewater Collected from Service Area in 2015:		14,120			

The RWCWRF has the ability to produce approximately 1.3 mgd of recycled water meeting Title 22 requirements. The RWCWRF is a scalping treatment facility: wastewater that is not treated by the facility for beneficial reuse continues to flow in the Rancho San Diego Outfall Facilities to the City of San Diego Metropolitan Wastewater System. Some of this wastewater is treated by MWWD at its SBWRP at the secondary level, and the remainder is sent to the Point Loma WWTP for treatment at the advanced primary level and disposed through an ocean outfall. At RWCWRF, tertiary treatment of the 1.3 mgd has the ability to reliably produce approximately 1,100 AFY of recycled water.

The RWCWRF provides tertiary treatment that meets the State of California's Title 22 requirements for reuse. Effluent from the plant is pumped to lined and covered reservoirs in the District's property located north of Proctor Valley Road adjacent to the Rolling Hills Ranch Development project.

The estimated annual treated flow is shown in Table 6-3.

Table 6-3 Wastewater Treatment and Discharge within Service Area in 2015

Wastewater Treatment Plant	Treatment Level	Volume Treated	Volume Discharged	Volume Recycled Water Served
RWCWRF	Tertiary	1,167	138	1,029

Table Notes

6.5.3 Recycled Water System

The District operates and maintains over 93 miles of recycled water transmission and distribution pipelines, pump stations and reservoirs, making it one of the largest recycled water systems in San Diego County. The District's mandatory reuse ordinance, land development conditions, and public outreach has resulted in a stakeholder acceptance of recycled water as a viable local water supply for irrigation, especially during these recent drought conditions. The District continues to successfully serve recycled water to customers within its central service area, south of the Sweetwater Reservoir and west of the Otay Lakes Reservoirs. The majority of the area represents the Otay Ranch GPD area within the City of Chula Vista.

In order to serve the District's existing demand for recycled water, the District entered into an agreement to purchase recycled water from the City of San Diego's SBWRP. The SBWRP has a rated capacity of 15 mgd and is located at Monument and Dairy Mart Roads near the international border, adjacent to the Tijuana River. The SBWRP receives wastewater flow from the Grove Avenue Pump Station that scalps flow from the existing interceptor system that conveys flow northward to the Point Loma Treatment Plant for treatment and ocean outfall disposal. The existing interceptor system flows are thereby reduced, freeing up additional capacity for future growth in the South Bay region. The SBWRP in essence is a scalping plant and is designed for a relatively constant flow rate depending upon recycled water demands and interceptor capacity limitations.

The agreement between the District and the City of San Diego for purchase of recycled water from the SBWRP was finalized on October 20, 2003. In accordance with the agreement, the City of San Diego will provide an annual amount of at least 6 mgd of recycled water to District. The term of the agreement is 20 years from January 1, 2007. The agreement with the City of San Diego for water from the SBWRP is included in **Appendix F**. The City of San Diego has agreed to meet all applicable federal, state and local health and water quality requirements for recycled water produced at the SBWRP to the point of delivery. The point of delivery is located at the intersection of Dairy Mart Road and Camino de la Plaza. As part of the agreement, the District has constructed a 30-inch transmission main to deliver the recycled water from the point of delivery to the District. The City of San Diego has retained 1 mgd of capacity in this transmission pipeline that runs through the City of San Diego's system.

6.5.4 Recycled Water Beneficial Uses

The District's service area is still experiencing growth and development in the geographic area where recycled water is approved for use. Expansion of the District's recycled water system is critical to reducing demands on imported water. The area with the greatest potential for expansion is the existing Central service area. The District anticipates maximizing the use of recycled water by continuing to require new developments within the District to use recycled water, wherever feasible.

The District's 2015 Master Plan Update included an assessment of current and future demand for recycled water based on approved Subarea Master Plans or water studies. For areas without development plans, the projected water demand for the District at ultimate development was determined by applying irrigated area percentages and recycled water irrigation duty factors. Most of the currently identified uses are for outdoor irrigation.

The District currently serves recycled water to approximately 700 customers. In 2015, approximately 4,225 AF of recycled water was supplied to recycled water users. Current uses consist primarily of commercial landscape irrigation, golf course irrigation, and irrigation of public places like parks, streetscapes, schools, highway medians, and open space areas. The Olympic Training Center facility in Chula Vista also uses recycled water to irrigate practice fields and landscape common areas.

The District's 2015 recycled water use and future projections are presented in Table 6-4.

Table 6-4. Current and Projected Recycled Water Use within Service Area

Beneficial Use Type	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
Landscape irrigation (excluding golf courses)	4,070	5,385	5,600	5,685	5,870	6,155
Golf course irrigation	270	285	300	315	330	345
Total	4,340	5,670	5,900	6,000	6,200	6,500

Notes

Recycled water use in FY2015 compared to the projections presented in the 2010 UWMP is shown in Table 6-5.

Table 6-5. 2010 UWMP Recycled Water Use Projection Compared to 2015 Actual

Use Type	2010 Projection for 2015	2015 Actual Use
Irrigation	4,400	4,340

6.5.5 Proposed Actions to Encourage Use of Recycled Water

The District made the commitment and commenced its wastewater recycling efforts over 15 years ago. A major component of the commitment to recycle was to enact an ordinance that requires recycled water be used for any and all appropriate and approved non-potable uses. The requirement continues today, with all new applications for water service being reviewed for opportunities to use recycled water. The District's Code of Ordinance Section 26 (**Appendix G)** details the requirements for the use of recycled water whenever feasible.

Table 6-6 Retail: Methods to Expand Future Recycled Water Use

Name of Action	Planned Implementation Year	Expected Increase in Recycled Water Use
Park and greenbelt irrigation	2020-2040	400
Multi-family common area landscape and streetscape irrigation	2020-2040	400
Total		800

6.6 Desalinated Water Opportunities

A portion of the District's existing potable supply from the Water Authority originates at the Water Authority's Carlsbad Seawater Desalination Facility. During winter periods, supply from the facility may constitute more than 50 percent of the District's supply.

In addition, the District is also evaluating an option to enter into a water purchase agreement for supply from a proposed seawater desalination facility in Rosarito, Mexico, as described further below.

6.6.1 Water Purchase Agreement -- Rosarito Desalination Plant

The District is currently investigating the feasibility of purchasing water from a seawater desalination plant that is planned to be located in Rosarito Beach, Baja California, Mexico. The District's CIP (P2451) is known as the Otay Mesa Conveyance and Disinfection System Project (OMCDSP).

The treatment facility will be designed, constructed, and operated in Mexico through a public-private partnership agreement between the state of Baja, California and a private company. The District would purchase the desalinated water under the terms of a water purchase agreement. The plant and conveyance system are primarily intended to supply the Tijuana regional area and if an agreement can be negotiated, the conveyance would be extended to the International Border to serve the District. The current plan is to build a 100 mgd plant. Based upon the most recent demand forecasts in this master plan, the District would purchase up to an average of approximately 20 mgd ultimately.

The District has completed a draft of the preliminary design report for the pipeline from the Border to Roll Reservoir. The need for a pump station has not yet been determined and the disinfection requirements have not been finalized and therefore the preliminary design for these facilities has not been started. The District is preparing a combined Environmental Impact Report (EIR)/ Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) with the Department of State serving as the lead federal agency. A first draft of the EIR/EIS is expected in May 2016. The cost of the OMCDSP facilities in the United States is estimated at $30 million.

The project remains subject to various uncertainties, and is not sufficiently advanced in its planning for the District to characterize the project as a verifiable future supply. Nevertheless the District intends to continue project planning efforts with the goal of making this supply a reality at a future date.

6.7 Exchanges or Transfers

At the wholesale level, the Water Authority has engaged in a transfer with the Imperial Irrigation District (IID), and a portion of the District's supply from the Water Authority derives from this transfer arrangement. Under this agreement, water conserved by IID will be transported by Metropolitan through the Colorado River Aqueduct and delivered to the Water Authority. Further information about this agreement can be found in the Water Authority's 2015 UWMP.

At the retail level, the District does not have any transfer or exchange supplies of its own; however, the District is evaluating an option to transfer stored water from the Cadiz Valley aquifer project, as described further below.

6.7.1 Cadiz Valley Water Conservation, Recovery, and Storage Project

The Cadiz Valley Water Conservation, Recovery and Storage Project is a two part project wherein Cadiz Inc. would construct a wellfield on the Cadiz Valley property in the Mojave Desert. Recovered groundwater would be conveyed to participating water providers from the wellfield via a 43 mile pipeline to the Colorado River Aqueduct. Participating water providers will also have the ability to

decrease or forego their water delivery in certain years, such as wet years, and carry it over to future years when it may be needed. This carry-over water would be stored in the aquifer system at Cadiz Valley. Ultimately, Cadiz Inc. projects 50,000 AFY to be made available for purchase. At this time, the District conceptually anticipates purchasing 5,000 AFY.

6.8 Future Water Supplies

In 2015, the District started the update of their Integrated Water Resources Plan (IRP) which examines potential, future supply options and its performance with regard to long-term, comprehensive water resource objectives. The 2015 IRP provides a comprehensive and defensible implementation strategy to meet the District's water supply objectives, while allowing flexibility in adapting to anticipated changes in the water industry, market and regulatory conditions. The IRP proposes a phased implementation of projects from now to 2030 to meet growing future water demands, while making adjustments as necessary to respond to changing technology, supply levels, regulations, market conditions, costs, and partnership opportunities.

The overall implementation strategy developed in the IRP is intended to assist the District in addressing uncertainties surrounding future water supply by reducing dependence on imported sources. The IRP concludes that implementation of the plan will support the District in attaining its multiple objectives of achieving reliability, maintaining affordability, increasing flexibility, increasing diversity, and addressing environmental and institutional constraints.

The IRP identified six (6) supply options, including water conservation, groundwater development, additional imported water alternatives, ocean desalination, recycled water, and the expansion of treatment agreements with local agencies. Within these six (6) source categories, the IRP assessed 13 individual water supply project options in terms of costs and non-monetary factors. The District selected these options for an implementation path or roadmap to keep the District on track in accomplishing long-term goals, while strategically making investments only if and when necessary.

In evaluating the availability of sufficient water supply, new development project proponents who anticipate a water demand that exceeds what was planned for that area are required to acquire a water supply source and/or participate in the development of alternative water supply projects to offset the anticipated additional demand. These new water supply projects are in response to the regional water supply issues related to the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and the current ongoing western states drought conditions. They are in various states of the planning process and are intended to increase water supplies to serve new development project water supply needs. It is anticipated that future Water Management Plans prepared by the District, the Water Authority, and Metropolitan will include any increases in water demand associated with adopted changes in land use, as well as any new local water supply resources, and will provide the planning tools necessary to ensure a water supply plan to meet the needs of future planned development. However, until those plans are adopted, it is necessary to address the current regional water supply shortage by offsetting projected increases in water demands with local water supply offset projects. The following future water supply projects summarized in Table 6-7 have been proposed and the current status of each is described in the paragraphs below.

Table 6-7: Expected Future Water Supply Projects or Programs

Name of Future Projects or Programs	Description	Status	Planned Implementation Year	Expected Increase in Water Supply to Agency
Rosarito Desalination Plant	Seawater Desalination	Planned	2025	15,100
Rancho del Rey GW Well	Brackish Groundwater	Planned	2030	500
Middle Sweetwater River Basin GW Well	Groundwater Recovery	Conceptual	2030	1,000
Otay Mesa Lot 7 GW Well	Groundwater Recovery	Conceptual	2030	400
North District Recycled System	Tertiary	Conceptual	2030	800

NOTES:

6.8.1 Rancho del Rey Groundwater Well

In 1991, the McMillin Development Company drilled the Rancho del Rey Groundwater Well to augment grading water supplies for its Rancho del Rey development projects. Although the well was considered a "good producer," little was known regarding its water quality and sustainable yield because the water was used solely for earthwork (i.e. dust control and soil compaction). The well was drilled to 865 feet, with a finished depth of 830 feet and produced approximately 400 AFY of low quality water for four years until its use was discontinued in April 1995 when the well was no longer needed. McMillin notified the District of its intent to sell off the groundwater well asset.

In 1997, the District purchased an existing 7-inch well and the surrounding property on Rancho del Rey Parkway from the McMillin Company with the intent to develop it as a source of potable water. Treatment was required to remove salts and boron, among other constituents, using reverse osmosis membranes and ion exchange.

In 2000, having received proposals for the design and construction of a reverse osmosis treatment facility that far exceeded the allocated budget, the Board of Directors instructed staff to suspend the project until such time as it became economically viable.

In January 2010, citing the rising cost of imported water and the District's interest in securing its own water source for long-term supply reliability, the Board authorized Phase 1 for drilling and development of the Rancho del Rey Well.

In September 2010, a new 12-inch production well was drilled to 900 feet through the groundwater formation and into fractured bedrock. Testing showed the long-term yield of the new well to be 450 gallons per minute (gpm), higher than previous studies had estimated. Separation Processes, Inc., a highly qualified membrane treatment firm, was hired to conduct a detailed economic feasibility study to confirm that the annualized unit cost of the new water source was economically competitive with other sources. The economic study estimated the unit cost of water to be $1,510 per AF for an alternative that utilizes a seawater membrane for treating both salts and boron. When compared with the current imported treated water rate from the Water Authority, and with the knowledge that this rate will

continually increase as Metropolitan and the Water Authority raise its rates, the Rancho del Rey Well project appears to be economically viable.

The District is continuing to pursue the Rancho del Rey groundwater well opportunity with due consideration of the recommendations of the existing reports and plans to develop a groundwater well production facility to extract approximately 500 AFY. For water planning purposes, production of groundwater from the Rancho del Rey well is considered "additional planned" for local supplies. The District contracted for the design of the wellhead treatment facilities and has prepared the project environmental analysis. At the present time, the project is on hold until the economics are more favorable.

6.8.1.1 San Diego Groundwater Basin Description

The Rancho Del Rey well is located within the Lower Sweetwater Hydrologic Area (HA 909.10), and develops supply from what is believed to be the eastern edge of the San Diego Formation. The San Diego Formation is comprised of thick semi-consolidated and unconsolidated older sediments that underlie a large portion of San Diego, National City, and Chula Vista. The Formation extends to depths of 1000 feet or more, and is characterized by complex geology with extreme vertical and horizontal non-uniformity. The San Diego Formation extends eastward to the Rose Canyon and La Naçion faults, but the western, northern, and southern boundaries of the aquifer are less well documented.

Little is known about the recharge sources of the San Diego Formation, and overdraft and seawater intrusion implications are yet to be assessed. In 2001, the U.S. Geological Survey, City of San Diego, the District, Sweetwater Authority, and San Diego County Water Authority engaged in a long-term, multi-phase study of the San Diego Formation aimed at: (1) achieving better understanding of the San Diego Formation hydrogeology; and, (2) assessing the potential for additional groundwater extraction and recharge.

Both the Sweetwater Hydrologic Area and the San Diego Formation are unadjudicated and neither has an adopted groundwater management plan. Sweetwater Authority in 2001, however, adopted an interim groundwater management plan for the San Diego Formation that limits groundwater production to prevent seawater intrusion and land subsidence.

6.8.2 Middle Sweetwater River Basin Groundwater Well Project

The Middle Sweetwater River Basin Groundwater Well is an additional water supply project that was thoroughly studied and documented in the 1990s. The Middle Sweetwater River Basin is located within the Sweetwater River watershed and that reach of the river extends from Sweetwater Reservoir to the upstream Loveland Reservoir. The next step in development of the Middle Sweetwater River Basin Groundwater Well is the implementation of a pilot well project. The ultimate objective of the District is to develop a groundwater well production system within the Middle Sweetwater River Basin capable of producing a sustainable yield of potable water as a local supply.

The purpose of the Middle Sweetwater River Basin Groundwater Well Pilot project is to identify the feasibility of developing a groundwater resource production system and then determine and assess any limitations or constraints that may arise. The Middle Sweetwater River Basin Groundwater Well Pilot Project will accomplish six primary goals:

- Update project setting
- Update applicable project alternatives analysis
- Prepare groundwater well pilot project implementation plan
- Construct and test pilot monitoring and extraction wells

- Provide recommendations regarding costs and feasibility to develop a groundwater well production system within the Middle Sweetwater River Basin capable of producing a sustainable yield of potable water
- Prepare groundwater well production project implementation plan and scope of work

The groundwater conjunctive use concept is described as the extraction of the quantity of water from the groundwater basin that was placed there by customers of the District, Helix WD, and Padre Dam Municipal Water District by means of its use of imported treated water that contributed to the overall volume of groundwater within the basin. An estimated quantity was developed to be approximately 12.5 percent of the total consumption of the District customers within that basin, as measured by water meters. In the 1994-1995 period, the quantity of water that was returned to the groundwater basin by District customers was estimated to be 810 AFY. Currently, that 12.5 percent quantity could be on the order of 1,000 AFY. A scope of work addresses this concept while further development of the groundwater basin as an additional supply resource is appropriately considered. If it is deemed that a Middle Sweetwater River Basin Groundwater Well Production Project is viable then the consultant will develop and provide a groundwater well production project implementation plan, cost estimate, and related scope of work.

Further development of the groundwater basin to enhance the total groundwater production could be accomplished by the District by means of additional extraction of water from the basin that is placed there by means of either injection and/or spreading basins using imported untreated water as the resource supply. The existing LMSE Pipeline, owned by the Water Authority, once converted to an untreated water delivery system, could be the conveyance system to transport untreated water for groundwater recharge in support of this conjunctive use concept. These two distinct water resource supply conjunctive use concepts will be addressed so they may coexist and to allow development in separate phases.

6.8.3 Otay Mesa Lot 7 Groundwater Well

In early 2001 the District was approached by a landowner representative about possible interest in purchasing an existing well or alternatively, acquiring groundwater supplied from the well located on Otay Mesa. The then-landowner, National Enterprises, Inc., reportedly stated that the well could produce 3,200 AFY with little or no treatment required prior to introducing the water into the District potable water system or alternatively, the recycled water system. In March 2001 authorization to proceed with testing of the Otay Mesa Lot 7 Groundwater Well was obtained and the District proceeded with the investigation of this potential groundwater supply opportunity.

In May 2001 an investigation was conducted to assess the Otay Mesa Lot 7 Well. The scope of work included a geohydrologic evaluation of the well, analyses of the water quality samples, management and review of the well video log, and documentation of well pump testing. The primary findings, as documented in the “Otay Mesa Lot 7 Well Investigation” report, formed the basis of the following recommendations:

- For the existing well to be used as a potable water supply resource, a sanitary seal must be installed in accordance with the California Department of Health guidelines.
- Drawdown in the well must be limited to avoid the possibility of collapsing the casing.
- Recovery from pumping drawdown is slow and extraction would need to be terminated for up to 2 days to allow for groundwater level recovery.
- The well water would need to be treated and/or blended with potable water prior to introduction into the potable water distribution system.

The existing Otay Mesa Lot 7 Well, based upon the above findings was determined not to be a reliable municipal supply of potable water and that better water quality and quantity could be discovered deeper or at an alternative location within the San Diego Formation.

The District may still continue to pursue the Otay Mesa groundwater well opportunity with due consideration of the recommendations of the existing report. Based on the recommendations of the investigation report, a groundwater well production facility at Otay Mesa Lot 7 could realistically extract approximately 400 AFY.

6.8.4 North District Recycled Water Concept Project

Under this project, the District would serve up to 1.3 mgd of recycled water demands in the North System via the RWCWRF. Effluent from the RWCWRF is currently pumped by the District to irrigate golf courses, parks, and open space in Eastern Chula Vista, which is in the Central Area System and at a higher elevation than the North System. This project would reduce the conveyance costs that are currently incurred in pumping recycled water from the RWCWRF to Eastern Chula Vista. Existing recycled water users in Chula Vista would have to be provided with an alternate supply.

Infrastructure required for this project includes conveyance to the North System as well as retrofits to the RWCWRF chlorine contact basin, effluent pump station, and data collection and logging systems, per the North District Recycled Water System Phase I Concept Study.

Inter-agency coordination will be required for this project with the Regional Water Quality Control Board and Sweetwater Authority. Additionally, coordination with the City of Chula Vista would be required.

6.9 Summary of Existing and Planned Sources of Water

The District's total current and planned supplies are shown in Table 6-8 and Table 6-9. Actual 2015 supplies are based on Water Authority sales and recycled water sales. Projected supplies are based on growth projections (with near-term annexations) in the District's service area as forecasted by SANDAG Series 13.

Table 6-8: Water Supplies — Actual 2015

Water Supply	2015	
	Actual Volume	Water Quality
Purchased or Imported Water	30,299	Drinking
Recycled Water	4,340	Recycled
Total	**34,629**	

NOTES:

Table 6-9: Water Supplies — Projected

Water Supply	Additional Detail on Water Supply	Projected Water Supply				
		2020	**2025**	**2030**	**2035**	**2040**
		Reasonably Available Volume	Reasonably Available Volume	Reasonably Available Volume	Reasonably Available Volume	Reasonably Available Volume
Purchased or Imported Water [1]		37,050	42,530	44,891	45,501	51,082
Recycled Water [1]		5,670	5,900	6,000	6,200	6,500
Total Verifiable Water Supplies [2]		**42,720**	**48,430**	**50,891**	**51,701**	**57,582**
City of San Diego Otay WTP [3]	*Backup potable supply*	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Total Verifiable and Available Water Supplies		**52,720**	**58,430**	**60,891**	**61,701**	**67,582**
Rosarito Desalination Plant	*Planned*		15,100	15,600	16,100	16,800
Rancho Del Rey GW Well	*Planned*			500	500	500
Middle Sweetwater River Basin GW Well	*Conceptual*			1,000	1,000	1,000
Otay Mesa Lot 7 GW Well	*Conceptual*			400	400	400
North District Recycled System	*Conceptual*			800	800	800
Total Planned and Conceptual Water Supplies			**15,100**	**18,300**	**18,800**	**19,500**
Total Water Supplies		**52,720**	**73,530**	**79,191**	**80,501**	**87,082**

NOTES:

1. Amounts for Purchased/Imported and Recycled are those the District anticipates using to meet projected demands. Additional supply capacity may exist.
2. Total supplies includes water savings from active and passive conservation efforts.
3. Otay WTP availability is a District estimate, subject to the terms of the District's agreement with the City of San Diego.

Water Service Reliability

7.1 Reliability of Supply

The Act states that every urban water supplier shall include, as part of its plan, an assessment of the reliability of its water supplies. The water supply and demand assessment must compare the total projected water use with the expected water supply over the next 25 years in 5-year increments. This reliability assessment is required for normal, single dry-year and multiple dry water years.

The District currently obtains 100 percent of its potable water supply as imported water from the Water Authority. Historically, the Water Authority has relied on imported water supplies purchased from Metropolitan to meet the needs of its member agencies. Metropolitan's supplies come from two primary sources, the State Water Project (SWP) and the Colorado River. However, after experiencing severe shortages from Metropolitan during the 1987–1992 drought, the Water Authority began aggressively pursuing actions to diversify the region's supply sources and has been able to do so through comprehensive supply and facility planning. The reliability of the District's potable supply is dependent on these wholesale agencies. The District is committed to investing in alternative water sources, such as groundwater or desalination that would reduce its dependence on imported water.

Metropolitan's 2015 UWMP includes a discussion of supply reliability. Metropolitan used a computer model to evaluate 70 years of historic hydrology and develop estimates of water surplus or shortage. The driest year on record was 1977, and the driest three-year period was 1990-92. The analysis determined that Metropolitan could maintain reliable supplies during normal or dry-year conditions during the period 2015 through 2040, even through multiple dry year periods.

The Water Authority's 2015 UWMP also includes a discussion of supply reliability. While, the Water Authority will continue to rely on Metropolitan to help meet water demands, additional planned resources by the Water Authority and its member agencies have also been identified. Additional planned projects can further reduce the region's reliance on sources of supply from Metropolitan, such as the Bay-Delta. The Water Authority prepared an assessment of its supply reliability during a normal year hydrology, a single dry year hydrology (2015 based on the present drought beginning in 2012), and multiple dry years scenarios (2013-2015). Results from the Water Authority's reliability assessment demonstrate that the region's existing and projected water resource mix is drought-resilient, with only minor shortages during multiple dry periods occurring 15 to 20 years in the future.

The District continues to work closely with the Water Authority and Metropolitan for future water supply planning. These wholesale agencies [Water Authority and Metropolitan] have determined that they will be able to meet its projected demands through 2040, which include potable water demands for the District. The District has concluded that these wholesale agencies will be able to provide water to meet the District's projected demands. Based on the information provided by Metropolitan and the Water Authority, the water supply available to the District is considered to be reliable and drought-resilient. Individual components of the supply, such as the Colorado River and State Water Project, may experience dry years or extended droughts; however, this is a natural hydrological occurrence in California. The diversified improvements put in place by Metropolitan and the Water Authority have led these agencies to state that they will be able to meet demands of their respective member agencies for the next 25 years. The Water Authority has provided the District documentation of this supply. The documentation is included in **Appendix H**.

The District currently relies on the Water Authority for its potable supply and has worked with the Water Authority to prepare consistent demand projections for the District's service area. To maintain consistency in planning efforts, the District has shown future supplies meeting future demands. If the District's future demands are slightly more or less than currently projected, it is anticipated that the supply portfolio maintained by the Water Authority and Metropolitan will be flexible enough to continue to meet the District's demands.

Recycled water demands are to be met with recycled water from the District's RWCWRF and the City of San Diego's SBWRP. During dry periods, many conservation measures are focused on reducing outdoor water use, which does not contribute to wastewater flow. In addition, because both of these recycled water facilities are scalping plants, the recycled water output is limited by the treatment capacity and not by the supply of raw wastewater. Therefore, the District's recycled supply is not expected to be subject to reduction during dry periods.

Throughout this section, projected supplies are shown to match projected demands. This level of reliability is based on the documentation in the UWMPs prepared by Metropolitan and the Water Authority, as listed in **Appendix H**. These agencies have determined that they will be able to meet the District's potable demands, during normal, single dry and multiple dry year conditions through 2040 with the exception of rare protracted dry year conditions, as noted in the Water Authority's 2015 UWMP. As shown in Tables 9-3 through 9-6 of the Water Authority's 2015 UWMP under multiple dry year conditions, these tables present potential water supply shortages of varying degrees over the 25-year planning horizon. These shortages can be mitigated through extraordinary water conservation actions and if necessary, dry-year transfers. Section 9.5 of the Water Authority's 2015 UWMP describes its additional planned supply projects that could be utilized to meet potential water shortages during dry year scenarios. Because the Water Authority has determined that they will be able to meet the District's potable demands, during normal, single dry and multiple dry year conditions through 2040, this 2015 UWMP assumes potable supplies will remain unchanged under all hydrologic conditions (except where noted in the following tables)

Water supply reliability based on an average water year is summarized in Table 7-1. The Water Authority uses a computer model known as CWA-MAIN to estimate water demands. CWA-MAIN uses demographic and economic data, as well as weather data, to estimate water demands. Using CWA-MAIN, the Water Authority estimated dry-year demands for five-year increments from 2020 through 2040. According to models used during preparation of the Water Authority's 2015 UWMP, water demand is expected to increase in the dry years. In fact, dry year demand was, on average, 7.5 percent higher than the normal demands over the period of record used in the CWA-MAIN model. Recycled water supply is also expected to increase in 5-year increments as demand for recycled increases relative to increasing irrigation demand.

Table 7-1: Basis of Water Year Data

Year Type	Base Year	Available supplies if year type repeats (% of avg supply)
Average Year	1960-2013	100%
Single-Dry Year	2015	107%
Multiple-Dry Years 1st Year	2013	108%
Multiple-Dry Years 2nd Year	2014	114%
Multiple-Dry Years 3rd Year	2015	121%

NOTES:

7.2 Projected Normal Year Supply and Demand

The District's potable water supply is expected to continue to be supplied by the Water Authority during normal year scenarios. As stated previously, the Water Authority has determined that it will be able to meet the District's potable demands, during normal year conditions through 2040.

The projected supply and demand comparison under normal conditions is shown in Table 7-2. The District has implemented water conservation measures and currently maintains a per capita demand of 118 gpcd. In order to meet its projected conservation targets, the District will continue to encourage water conservation and implement the measures outlined in its Water Shortage Response Program (District Code of Ordinance Section 39), which is included as Appendix I of this UWMP.

Table 7-2: Normal Year Supply and Demand Comparison

	2020	**2025**	**2030**	**2035**	**2040**
Supply totals [1]	45,748	51,883	54,540	55,455	62,792
Demand totals [2]	45,748	51,883	54,540	55,455	62,792
Difference	0	0	0	0	0

NOTES:

[1] Water Authority UWMP analysis shows 100 percent supply reliability for these conditions so total supplies are set equal to District projected demands

[2] District demand totals with additional conservation

7.3 Projected Single Dry Year Supply and Demand Comparison

Changes in weather can lead to changes in water use. During dry years, water demands can be expected to increase. The Water Authority uses a computer model known as CWA-MAIN to estimate water demands. CWA-MAIN uses demographic and economic data, as well as weather data, to estimate water demands. Using CWA-MAIN, the Water Authority estimated dry-year demands for five-year increments from 2015 through 2040. Notably, the District's recycled water was assumed to be "drought-resistant" and not subject to reduction during dry periods. The dry-year demand analysis from the Water Authority reflects long-term water use efficiency, but does not incorporate potential savings due to extraordinary conservation occurring during droughts. This approach allows for a more comprehensive shortage analysis and drought response planning.

The District's potable water supply is expected to continue to be supplied by the Water Authority during single dry year scenarios. The Water Authority has determined that it will be able to meet the District's potable demands, during single dry year conditions through 2040 with the exception of rare protracted dry year conditions, as noted in the Water Authority's 2015 UWMP. The supplies available from Water Authority member agency projected recycling, potable reuse, and groundwater recovery projects are assumed to experience little, if any, reduction in a dry year. The Water Authority's existing and planned conserved supplies from the IID transfer, canal lining projects, and Carlsbad Desalination Plant are also considered "drought-resilient" supplies as discussed in the Water Authority's 2015 UWMP. For this single dry-year assessment, it was assumed that Metropolitan would have adequate supplies in storage and would not be allocating supplies to the Water Authority. With the previous years leading up to the single dry-year being wet or average hydrologic conditions, Metropolitan should have adequate supplies in storage to cover potential shortfalls in core supplies and would not need to allocate supplies. Because the Water Authority has determined that it will be able to meet the District's potable demands, during normal, single dry and multiple dry year conditions through 2040, this UWMP assumes potable supplies will be sufficient under this single year hydrologic condition and extend over the planning horizon. Recycled water supply is also expected to increase in 5-year increments as demand for recycled water increases relative to increasing irrigation demand.

The projected single dry-year supplies and demands are compared in Table 7-3.

Table 7-3: Single Dry Year Supply and Demand Comparison

	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
Supply totals [1]	45,748	56,213	61,125	63,932	75,087
Demand totals [2]	45,748	56,213	61,125	63,932	75,087
Difference	0	0	0	0	0

NOTES:

[1] Water Authority UWMP analysis shows 100 percent supply reliability for these conditions so total supplies are set equal to District projected demands

[2] District demand totals with additional conservation and proportional adjustment for dry year demands per Water Authority CWA-MAIN model during period of record

7.4 Projected Multiple Dry Year Supply and Demand Comparison

The Act requires water agencies to project demands and supplies during multiple dry years. Projections were prepared for five time frames: five-year periods ending in 2020, 2025, 2030, 2035 and 2040. Changes in weather can lead to changes in water use. During dry years, water demands can be expected to increase. Using CWA-MAIN, the Water Authority estimated dry-year demands for five-year increments from 2020 through 2040. According to models used during preparation of the Water Authority's 2015 UWMP, water demand is expected to increase in the dry years. Notably, the District's recycled water supply was assumed to be "drought-resistant" and not subject to reduction during dry periods.

For the multi dry-year reliability analysis, the conservative planning assumption is that Metropolitan will be allocating supplies to its member agencies, including the Water Authority. By assuming allocations in this reliability assessment, it allows the Water Authority to analyze how storage supplies could potentially be utilized and the likelihood of shortages. Currently, Metropolitan allocates supplies through its Water Supply Allocation Plan. Because it is uncertain in the future how Metropolitan will allocate supplies to its member agencies, the analysis in the tables assumes they are allocated based on preferential right to Metropolitan supplies. (Refer to the Water Authority's 2015 UWMP Appendix G for a complete discussion). The Water Authority has invested in carryover storage supply capacity, which it can utilize in dry years to improve reliability of supply. In years where shortages may still occur, after utilization of carryover storage, additional regional shortage management measures, consistent with the Water Authority's Water Shortage and Drought Response Plan, will be taken to fill the supply shortfall. These measures could include extraordinary conservation, achieved through voluntary or mandatory water-use restrictions. The District will also implement additional water conservation measures, as determined in their Water Shortage Response Plan.

Because the Water Authority has determined that it will be able to meet the District's potable demands, during multiple dry year conditions through 2040, this UWMP assumes potable supplies will increase under this multiple dry year hydrologic conditions and extend over the planning horizon. Recycled water supply is also expected to increase in as demand for recycled water increases relative to increasing irrigation demand. Recycled water will provide additional supply for irrigation purposes.

The estimated supply and demand for multiple dry years beginning after 2020 and extending over the planning horizon to 2035 are summarized in Table 7-4.

Table 7-4: Multiple Dry Years Supply and Demand Comparison

		2020	2025	2030	2035
First year	Supply totals [1]	46,346	52,239	54,832	56,138
	Demand totals [2]	46,346	52,239	54,832	56,138
	Surplus/(Shortage) [3]	0	0	0	0
Second year	Supply totals [1]	48,769	54,469	57,290	58,714
	Demand totals [2]	48,769	54,469	57,290	58,714
	Surplus/(Shortage) [3]	0	0	0	0
Third year	Supply totals [1]	51,823	57,467	58,024	57,153
	Demand totals [2]	51,823	57,467	60,142	62,086
	Surplus/(Shortage) [3]	0	0	(2,118)	(4,933)

NOTES:

[1] Water Authority UWMP analysis shows 100 percent supply reliability for these conditions so total supplies are set equal to District projected demands

[2] District demand totals with additional conservation and proportional adjustment for dry year demands per Water Authority CWA-MAIN model during period of record

[3] Third dry year supply shortages will be addressed through drought management actions.

SECTION 8

Water Shortage Contingency Planning

This section documents the District's Water Shortage Contingency Planning (WSCP) efforts per requirements of Section 10632 of the Act. The purpose of the Water Shortage Response Plan (WSRP) is to provide a plan of action to be followed during the various stages of a water shortage. This section includes the following elements: action stages, prohibitions, penalties and consumption reduction methods, revenue impacts of reduced sales, draft water shortage contingency resolution/ordinance, actions to be implemented during a catastrophic interruption of water supplies, and estimate of minimum supply available.

Specific guidance provided in the following DWR documents were used as a resource in preparing this UWMP:

- DWR Urban Drought Guidebook (2008 Edition) – This publication provides extensive guidance on water shortage contingency planning for urban water suppliers. http://www.water.ca.gov/pubs/planning/urban_drought_guidebook/urban_drought_guidebook_2008.pdf
- DWR California Drought Contingency Plan (2010) http://www.water.ca.gov/waterconditions/docs/Final_CA_Drought_Contingency_Plan-11-18-2010a.pdf
- California's Most Significant Droughts: Comparing Historical and Recent Conditions, DWR 2015 http://www.water.ca.gov/waterconditions/docs/California_Signficant_Droughts_2015_small.pdf

The District's WSRP was last amended and approved by the Board of Directors via Ordinance 546 in August 2014. The approved WSRP was used to develop this section. The WSRP is included in Appendix I.

8.1 Stages of Action

The Act requires documentation of actions to be undertaken during a water shortage. A water shortage occurs when water supplies available to the District are insufficient to meet water demands. Water supply shortages can occur for a variety of reasons including droughts, loss in ability to divert or store, or supply water, and/or facility outages. This section describes the five-stage approach and level of actions for dealing with water shortages, with increasing restrictions on water use in response to worsening water shortage conditions and decreasing available supplies. The District has developed actions to be undertaken in response to water supply shortages, including up to a 50 percent reduction in water supply.

As a member agency of the Water Authority, the District is a participant in the Water Authority's shortage contingency analysis, which addresses a catastrophic shortage situation and the District's water shortage management measures and its responses to the Water Authority's Drought Management Plan (DMP) are shown in Table 8-1. Essentially, the District's Code of Ordinance Section 39 establishes water management requirements necessary to conserve water, enable effective water supply planning, assure reasonable and beneficial use of water, prevent waste of water, prevent unreasonable use of water, prevent unreasonable methods of water use within the District in order to assure adequate supplies of water to meet the needs of the public, and further the public health, safety, and welfare, recognizing that water is a scarce natural resource that requires careful management not only in times of a water shortage, but at all times.[1]

[1] Otay Water District, Code of Ordinance Section 39. Water Shortage Response Program

This Section discusses the actions that might be taken depending on the severity of the shortage. Table 8-1 describes the water supply shortage stages and conditions. The stages will be implemented during water supply shortages according to shortage level, ranging from 10 percent shortage in Stage 1 to greater than 50 percent shortage in Stage 4. The stage determination and declaration during a water supply shortage will be made by the District.

Table 8-1: Stages of Water Shortage Contingency Plan

Stage No.	Percent Supply Reduction	Water Supply Condition
1	10	A temporary general water supply shortage due to increased demand or limited supplies
2	20	Up to 20 percent water supply shortage due to increased demand or limited supplies Shortage could be declared by wholesale water agency due to problems with disruption, treatment, or storage facilities.
3	40	40 percent shortage declared by wholesale water agency or a long-term scheduled or unscheduled shutdown, major system disruption. Signs of multi-year drought.
4	50	Greater than 50 percent shortage declared by wholesale water agency. Typically meant for immediate crisis such as major infrastructure failure, unscheduled shutdown or disruption to water supply and distribution systems. Water supply reserved for health and safety needs.

Notes:

In addition to the water shortage conditions specified by various stages of water supply scenarios, the District has implemented a list of conservation actions in the District's WSRP that are in force at all times to prohibit water waste. Those conservation actions are summarized below:

- Prevent water waste resulting from inefficient irrigation, such as runoff or overspray. Similarly, stop water flows onto non-targeted areas, such as adjacent property, non-irrigated areas, hardscapes, roadways, or structures.
- Serve and refill water in restaurants and other food service establishments only upon request.
- Offer guests in hotels, motels, and other commercial lodging establishments the option of not laundering towels and linens daily.
- Repair all water leaks within forty-eight hours (48) of notification by the District unless other arrangements are made with the General Manager or designee.

8.2 Prohibitions on End Uses

The District has established prohibitions that become effective during different stages of water shortage. The prohibitions on water use are shown in Table 8-2. For the complete Otay Code of Ordinance, Section 39, see **Appendix I** and the Code of Ordinance or at http://www.otaywater.gov/code-of-ordinances/

The District will enforce mandatory reduction programs as necessary to decrease consumption during a water shortage. The District currently has limits on consumption to discourage and/or prevent excessive use during times of supply shortage, as specified in Stage 1 actions. However, during a time

of water shortage, the District will evaluate the need for any consumption limits, and the District may adopt additional consumption limits as deemed appropriate.

Table 8-2 Restrictions and Prohibitions on End Uses

Stage	Restrictions and Prohibitions on End Users	Additional Explanation or Reference	Penalty, Charge, or Other Enforcement?
1	Landscape - Restrict or prohibit runoff from landscape irrigation	Prevent water waste resulting from inefficient irrigation, such as runoff or overspray. Similarly, stop water flows onto non-targeted areas, such as adjacent property, non-irrigated areas, hardscapes, roadways, or structures.	No
1	CII - Restaurants may only serve water upon request	Serve and refill water in restaurants and other food service establishments only upon request.	No
1	CII - Lodging establishment must offer opt out of linen service	Offer guests in hotels, motels, and other commercial lodging establishments the option of not laundering towels and linens daily.	No
1	Other - Customers must repair leaks, breaks, and malfunctions in a timely manner	Repair all water leaks within forty-eight hours (48) of notification by the District unless other arrangements are made with the General Manager or designee.	No
1, 2	Landscape - Limit landscape irrigation to specific times	Irrigate residential and commercial landscape before 10 a.m. and after 6 p.m. only. Suggest that customers water no more than three days per week, using the watering schedule found on the District's web page. New plantings and newly seeded areas are exempt for 30 days.	No
1, 2	Landscape - Restrict or prohibit runoff from landscape irrigation	Use a hand-held hose equipped with a positive shut-off nozzle or bucket to water landscaped areas, including trees and shrubs located on residential and commercial properties that are not irrigated by a landscape irrigation system.	No
1, 2	Other	Irrigate nursery and commercial grower's products before 10 a.m. and after 6 p.m. only. Watering is permitted at any time with a hand-held hose equipped with a positive shut-off nozzle, a bucket, or when a drip/micro-irrigation system/equipment is used. Irrigation of nursery propagation beds is permitted at any time. Watering of livestock is permitted at any time.	No
1	Water Features - Restrict water use for decorative water features, such as fountains	Use re-circulated water to operate ornamental fountains.	No
1	Other - Prohibit vehicle washing except at facilities using recycled or recirculating water	Wash vehicles, including but not limited to motorcycles, farm equipment, trailers, boats and boat engines and motorhomes using a bucket and a hand-held hose with positive shut-off nozzle, mobile high pressure/low volume wash system, or at a commercial site that re-circulates (reclaims) water on-site. Vehicle washing is limited to once per week.	No
1	Other - Prohibit use of potable water for construction and dust control	Use recycled or non-potable water for construction purposes when available.	No
2	Landscape - Limit landscape irrigation to specific times	Limit residential and commercial landscape irrigation to no more than three days per week This section shall not apply to homeowner's vegetable gardens, fruit trees, commercial growers, or nurseries.	No
2	Landscape - Other landscape restriction or prohibition	Limit lawn watering and landscape irrigation using sprinklers to no more than fifteen (15) minutes per	No

		watering station per day. During the months of November through April, landscape irrigation shall not exceed seven (7) minutes per water watering station per assigned day. Watering times may need to be shortened to avoid run-off. This provision does not apply to landscape irrigation systems using water efficient devices, including but not limited to: weather based controllers, drip/micro-irrigation systems, rotating sprinkler nozzles and stream rotor sprinklers.	
2, 3	Landscape - Other landscape restriction or prohibition	Water landscaped areas, including trees and shrubs located on residential and commercial properties, and not irrigated by a landscape irrigation system on the same schedule set forth above by using a bucket, hand-held hose with positive shut-off nozzle, or low-volume non-spray irrigation.	No
2	Other	Irrigation is not allowed during a rainstorm and for forty-eight hours after one-quarter inch or more of rainfall is measured at Lindbergh Field. No washing down of paved surfaces, including but not limited to sidewalks, driveways, parking lots, tennis courts, or patios, except when it is necessary to alleviate safety or sanitation hazards.	No
3	Landscape - Limit landscape irrigation to specific times	Limit residential and commercial landscape irrigation to no more than two (2) assigned days per week on a schedule established by the General Manager and posted by the District. During the months of November through April, landscape irrigation is limited to no more than once per week on a schedule established by the General Manager or designee and posted by the District. This section shall not apply to commercial growers or nurseries.	Yes
3	Other water feature or swimming pool restriction	Stop filling or re-filling ornamental lakes or ponds, except to the extent needed to sustain aquatic life, provided that such animals are of significant value and have been actively managed within the water feature prior to declaration of a water shortage response level under this Section.	Yes
3	Other water feature or swimming pool restriction	Stop operating non-residential ornamental fountains or similar decorative water features unless recycled water is used.	Yes
3	Other water feature or swimming pool restriction	Stop washing vehicles except at commercial carwashes that re-circulate water, or by high pressure/low volume wash systems. If a commercial car wash cannot accommodate the vehicle because of the vehicle size or type, such as RVs, horse trailers, boats and commercial vehicles, customers will be allowed to wash vehicles using a bucket and a hand-held hose with positive shut-off nozzle, mobile high pressure/low volume wash system.	Yes
4	Landscape - Other landscape restriction or prohibition	Stop all landscape irrigation, except crops and landscape products of commercial growers and nurseries. This restriction shall not apply to the following categories of use unless the District has determined that recycled water is available and may be lawfully applied to the use.	Yes
4	Other	Repair all water leaks within twenty-four (24) hours of notification by the District unless other arrangements are made with the District.	Yes

NOTES:

8.3 Penalties, Charges, Other Enforcement of Prohibitions

The District has established prohibitions that become effective during different stages of water shortage. For the complete Otay Code of Ordinance, Section 72, see **Appendix J** for Section 39 of the Code of Ordinance or at http://www.otaywater.gov/code-of-ordinances/

The District will enforce mandatory reduction programs as necessary to decrease consumption during a water shortage. The District currently has no set charge for penalties or fees for exceeding consumption limits to be set during times of supply shortage (as described above). However, during a time of water shortage of Stages 3 and 4, the District may establish water allocations and any person that uses water in excess of the allocation shall be subject to a penalty.

Below is a summary of penalties and charges and the stage during which they take effect. The penalties may consist of a surcharge for the violation.

- All stages - Termination of Supplies through code enforcement process
- Stage 3 - Flow restriction orifices for customers not meeting Stage 3 allocations
- Stage 4 - Flow restriction orifices for customers not meeting Stage 4 allocations

8.4 Consumption Reduction Methods

Consumption reduction methods are actions that are taken by the District to reduce water demand with its service area, whereas prohibitions addressed in section 8.3 limit specific uses of water. Based on the requirements of the Act, Table 8-3 summarizes the methods that can be used by the District to enforce a reduction in consumption, where necessary. As mentioned earlier, various water conservation programs have been initiated by the District to reduce water demand. Additional measures can be phased in to provide additional demand reductions and increase public awareness of the need to conserve water. Conservation is a permanent and long-term application used within the District at all times.

Table 8-3: Stages of WSCP - Consumption Reduction Methods

Stage	Consumption Reduction Methods by Water Supplier	Additional Explanation or Reference
1	Expand Public Information Campaign	The District will increase its public education and outreach efforts to emphasize increased public awareness of the need to implement the water conservation practices.
1	Offer Water Use Surveys	Residential survey program
1	Provide Rebates on Plumbing Fixtures and Devices	Showerhead distribution; Residential voucher program; Residential high-efficiency clothes washers program
1	Provide Rebates for Landscape Irrigation Efficiency	Various programs related to landscape irrigation
1	Provide Rebates for Turf Replacement	
3	Moratorium or Net Zero Demand Increase on New Connections	

NOTE:

8.5 Determining Water Shortage Reductions

Mechanisms to determine reductions in water use include Water Authority water purchase invoices and records, which show prior use for comparison with District customer billing showing 36-month prior consumption history for each customer, and its Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system, as described below.

The District has implemented and operated for many years a SCADA system to control, monitor, and collect data regarding the operation of the water system. The major facilities that have SCADA capabilities are the water supply source, transmission network, pumping stations, and water storage reservoirs. The SCADA system allows for many and varied useful functions. Some of these functions provide for operating personnel to monitor the water supply source flow rates and reservoir levels, as well as turn pumps on or off. The SCADA system aids in the prevention of water reservoir overflow events and increases energy efficiency. The SCADA system can be used to monitor demands and evaluate the effectiveness of conservation measures.

The District's mechanisms for monitoring water use are summarized below:

- Daily production and distribution records will provide data on system-wide changes in demand
- Customer billing data will provide data on month-to-month changes in water use, and year-to-year changes for key customers
- SCADA system will provide data on short-term changes in pumping, flow rates, or reservoir levels showing increased water use

8.6 Revenue and Expenditure Impacts

An extended water shortage would reduce the amount of water sold by the District to its customers. Since water bills are based on water consumption, the revenue received by the District would also be reduced. The most severe restrictions are intended to reduce consumption by 50 percent. The impacts of such a reduction on the District's revenue are anticipated to be at least 29 percent of District revenues, or $19.1 million.

A 50 percent reduction in consumption would also reduce the District's expenditures. The District's costs for acquiring and delivering the water to its customers would be reduced by approximately 20 percent of expenditures, or $13.5 million.

Some of the District's costs might be increased, such as additional staff time for monitoring water use or enforcing conservation policies. However, these efforts will be achieved by temporarily re-directing staff from other tasks. These changes in operation are not expected to cause a significant increase in the District's total expenditures.

The tables above show a potential shortfall of $5.6 million annually if consumption were reduced 50 percent. If the reduction was due to a short-term situation, the District could absorb the entire shortfall by drawing on its general fund reserves, which are maintained at a minimum of $11.5 million. After conditions returned to normal, the District would replenish its reserves. The reserve fund could be restored to its full level by increasing rates 1 percent in each of the next three years.

The District's response would be more complex if the 50 percent reduction in consumption was expected to be permanent. The District would need to raise the average water bill by 4.5 percent per year over five (5) years. Two factors would mitigate the need for more immediate increases. First, the District's general fund reserves could be used to temporarily fill the gap between expenditures and revenues. Second, the $5.6 million shortfall mentioned above includes a significant reduction that would go to the Water Authority as it raise its rates, assuming the reduction was occurring across the

region. The Water Authority would likely spread its rate increases over several years, allowing the District to do the same.

In order to overcome revenue impacts, the District has the option for the following measures:

- Adjust rates at a 5 percent annual increase for four years up to a 20 percent increase in average water bill
- Develop additional reserves – reserves are currently maintained at a minimum of $12 million. With the rate adjustment, the District would replenish any draw-down of reserves that occurred

A permanent 50 percent reduction in water consumption might allow the District to achieve cost savings in some areas. The need for additional pumping, storage, and pipeline capaDistrict might be reduced. The District might not require as much equipment or staff to maintain its infrastructure. However, the District might see higher expenditures in other areas, such as water use monitoring or answering questions from customers. Overall, these changes are not expected to have a significant impact on District expenditures.

8.7 Resolution or Ordinance

The District's Code of Ordinance Section 39 contains specific information on handling water shortages and is included as **Appendix I**.

8.8 Catastrophic Supply Interruption

Catastrophic events such as earthquakes or regional power outages can impact water supply. As a member agency of the Water Authority, the District is a participant in the Water Authority's Emergency Response Plan (ERP) and ESP. The ERP provides information to allow staff to respond to an emergency that impedes the Water Authority's ability to provide reliable water service to the District. The ERP includes:

- Authorities, policies, and procedures associated with emergency response activities;
- Emergency Operations Center activities, including activation and deactivation guidelines;
- Multi-agency and multi-jurisdictional coordination, particularly between the Water Authority, its member agencies (including the District), and Metropolitan;
- Emergency staff, management, and organization required to assist in mitigating any significant emergency or disaster;
- Mutual Aid agreements and covenants that outline the terms and conditions under which mutual aid assistance will be provided; and
- Pre-emergency planning and emergency operations procedures.

The ESP is a system of reservoirs, pipelines, and other facilities that will work together to store and move water around the county in the event of a natural disaster. The entire project is expected to be completed by 2017. When completed, the ESP will provide 90,100 AF of storage water for emergency purposes in the Water Authority's service area. This amount is anticipated to meet the Water Authority's emergency water needs through at least 2030.

The District has constructed storage reservoirs to provide water during an interruption in the Water Authority's supply to the District. These reservoirs provide approximately 190 million gallons of storage, equal to approximately five days of average demand. The District has also established emergency

interconnections with neighboring agencies to provide water during an emergency. The District has planned for potable water availability from the City of San Diego Otay WTP (10 mgd) and the Water Authority through the Levy WTP (currently 8 mgd and proposed 16 mgd).

The District has also established minor emergency interconnections with neighboring water agencies for use during short-term outages. The District has eight (8) minor interconnections with Helix WD, eight (8) with Sweetwater Authority, and five (5) with the City of San Diego that are capable of supplying the District with water. These minor interconnections are intended primarily for short-term repairs or emergencies. During an extended outage or water shortage, these neighboring agencies may not have sufficient supply at these minor interconnections to share significant amounts with the District.

8.9 Estimate of Minimum Supply for the Next Three Years

The Act requires an estimate of the minimum supply available during the next three years (2016 – 2018). It is suggested that the estimate be based on the driest three-year historic sequence for the water supply. The District's supply of potable water comes from the Colorado River basin and the SWP, through the Water Authority and Metropolitan, and other sources. Since the 1987-1992 droughts, Metropolitan has sought to diversify its supply and reduce its vulnerability to drought. Metropolitan's IRP outlines projects such as increased storage, groundwater storage, financial incentives for local projects and conservation, and long-term water transfers that provide Metropolitan with supply during dry years on the Colorado River and the SWP. Implementation of Metropolitan's IRP is expected to provide sufficient water to its member agencies even during dry years.

The District's recycled supply is currently provided by the RWCWRF. This plant has an effective capacity of approximately 1.3 mgd; raw wastewater flows that exceed the plant's capacity are sent downstream to the MWWD for treatment. As stated earlier, the District also receives recycled water from the SBWRP up to a contracted rate of 6 mgd. During a water shortage event, reduced water consumption could lead to reduced raw wastewater flows. Under these conditions, the District may observe a reduction in indoor water usage; however, much of the reduction in water use is expected to come through a reduction in outdoor use, which should not significantly impact wastewater flows. The supply of recycled water is considered to remain constant during multiple dry years. Table 8-4 shows the supply the District could expect to receive under this minimum supply scenario based on current supply resources with the District's supply portfolio.

Table 8-4 Retail: Minimum Supply Next Three Years

	2016	2017	2018
Available Water Supply	37,789	41,557	44,197

NOTES:

SECTION 9

Demand Management Measures

Water conservation is a critical part of the District's 2015 UWMP and its long-term strategy for meeting the water needs of the District. The goals of the District's water conservation program are to:

- reduce the demand for more expensive, imported water
- demonstrate continued commitment to the Best Management Practices (BMPs)
- ensure a reliable water supply

The District is a signatory to the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Regarding Urban Water Conservation in California, which created the CUWCC in 1991. As a signatory, the District is required to submit biannual reports that detail the implementation of current water conservation practices. The District voluntarily agreed to implement the fourteen water conservation BMPs beginning in 1992. The District submits its annual report to the CUWCC every two years. The District's BMP Reports for 2011 to 2015, as well as the BMP Coverage Reports for 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014 are included in **Appendix K**.

Water utilities throughout California are implementing water conservation programs and providing services to their customers to promote water use efficiencies and water savings. As a water retailer and signatory of the MOU, the District submits biennial reports on its compliance with the BMPs as applicable through the GPCD Option. In addition to the BMPs, the District also reports on the number of accounts by customer class and details its supply sources.

According to the BMP Reports (for years 2010 - 2014) submitted by the District, the District conservation programs meet the requirements as a signatory to the MOU. By choosing the GPCD Option and its reporting mechanism and achieving per capita reductions, the District can demonstrate its successes in water use reductions, efficiencies and conservation savings.

9.1 Water Conservation Programs and Water Efficiency Practices

The District has long been a leader in the field of water conservation. Since the early 1990's, water conservation programs have been developed and conducted on the premise that water conservation increases the water supply by reducing the demand on available supply, which is vital to the optimal operation of the District. Education is an important component to all of these programs. As a member agency of the Water Authority, the District also participates in many water conservation programs designed and conducted as a shared-cost participation program among the member agencies, the Water Authority and Metropolitan.

The District continues to promote water conservation at a variety of events, including those involving developers in its service area. In addition, the District developed and manages a number of its own programs such as the Cash for WaterSmart Plants retrofit program, the Water Smart Irrigation Upgrade Program, and the Commercial Process Improvement Program.

The District is currently engaged in a number of conservation and water use efficiency activities.

The District participates in a Joint Powers Authority with the Helix WD, Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District, the Water Authority, the City of San Diego, and the Padre Dam Municipal Water District to operate the nearly five-acre Water Conservation Demonstration Garden. The Garden's mission is to, "promote water conservation in the southern California landscape through excellent exhibits and programs that educate and inspire the public." The Garden educates customers about reducing landscape water use through the use of water-efficient landscapes.

9.2 Determination of DMM Implementation

The District is a member of the CUWCC and a signatory to the MOU. The District's 2010 to 2014 Annual Reports and the District's Annual BMP Coverage Reports are included in **Appendix K**. The 2014 BMP Report indicates that the District is on track for foundational BMPs for urban water efficiency. The District's filing of the 2014 BMP Report meets the requirements set forth by the DWR and is in full compliance with the CUWCC's MOU regarding Urban Water Conservation in California.

SECTION 10

Plan Adoption, Submittal and Implementation

10.1 Notice of Public Hearing

As mentioned in Section 2 of this Plan, the District has coordinated the preparation of its plan with other appropriate agencies in the area, including other water suppliers, water management agencies, and public agencies (Table 2-4). In accordance with the Act, the District provided a 60-day notice to the Water Authority (its wholesale water supplier) and the San Diego Association of Governments, the City of San Diego, City of Chula Vista, and County of San Diego that they were reviewing and considering amendments or changes to the UWMP and that they would be holding a public hearing prior to the adoption of the UWMP. A copy of the 60-day notice is included in **Appendix B**.

Also, in accordance with the Act, the District notified the land use jurisdictions (City of Chula Vista, City of San Diego and County of San Diego) within its service area that it was preparing the 2015 UWMP. Prior to adoption, the District has made available its Draft 2015 UWMP to stakeholders including the Water Authority, the City of Chula Vista, the County of San Diego, and the City of San Diego.

The notice and instructions for downloading the Draft 2015 UWMP from the District's website was also made available to interested parties as listed in Table 2-5. The Draft 2015 UWMP was first presented at a Water Resources Committee Meeting of the District's Board of Directors. A Public Hearing regarding the 2010 UWMP will held on June 1, 2016. Notices of the Public Hearing will be published in the San Diego Union-Tribune (May 5, 2016), The Star News (May 6, 2016), The East County Californian (May 12, 2016), and The Alpine Sun (May 5, 2016). These coordination efforts are summarized in Table 2-5 and copies of the public notices are included in **Appendix B**.

Table 10-1: Notification to Cities and Counties

City Name	60 Day Notice	Notice of Public Hearing
City of San Diego	✓	✓
City of Chula Vista	✓	✓
County Name	**60 Day Notice**	**Notice of Public Hearing**
San Diego County	✓	✓

NOTES:

10.2 Plan Submittal

The District's 2015 UWMP will be submitted to DWR within 30 days of adoption and by July 1, 2016. The UWMP submittal will also be completed electronically through DWR's online submittal tool, WUEdata.

Appendix A
Urban Water Management Plan Act Text

California Water Code Division 6, Part 2.6.
Chapter 1. General Declaration and Policy §10610-10610.4
Chapter 2. Definitions §10611-10617
Chapter 3. Urban Water Management Plans
Article 1. General Provisions §10620-10621
Article 2. Contents of Plans §10630-10634
Article 2.5. Water Service Reliability §10635
Article 3. Adoption And Implementation of Plans §10640-10645
Chapter 4. Miscellaneous Provisions §10650-10656

Chapter 1. General Declaration and Policy

SECTION 10610-10610.4

10610. This part shall be known and may be cited as the "Urban Water Management Planning Act."

10610.2. (a) The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

(1) The waters of the state are a limited and renewable resource subject to ever-increasing demands.

(2) The conservation and efficient use of urban water supplies are of statewide concern; however, the planning for that use and the implementation of those plans can best be accomplished at the local level.

(3) A long-term, reliable supply of water is essential to protect the productivity of California's businesses and economic climate.

(4) As part of its long-range planning activities, every urban water supplier should make every effort to ensure the appropriate level of reliability in its water service sufficient to meet the needs of its various categories of customers during normal, dry, and multiple dry water years.

(5) Public health issues have been raised over a number of contaminants that have been identified in certain local and imported water supplies.

(6) Implementing effective water management strategies, including groundwater storage projects and recycled water projects, may require specific water quality and salinity targets for meeting groundwater basins water quality objectives and promoting beneficial use of recycled water.

(7) Water quality regulations are becoming an increasingly important factor in water agencies' selection of raw water sources, treatment alternatives, and modifications to existing treatment facilities.

(8) Changes in drinking water quality standards may also impact the usefulness of water supplies and may ultimately impact supply reliability.

(9) The quality of source supplies can have a significant impact on water management strategies and supply reliability.

(b) This part is intended to provide assistance to water agencies in carrying out their long-term resource planning responsibilities to ensure adequate water supplies to meet existing and future demands for water.

10610.4. The Legislature finds and declares that it is the policy of the state as follows:

(a) The management of urban water demands and efficient use of water shall be actively pursued to protect both the people of the state and their water resources.

(b) The management of urban water demands and efficient use of urban water supplies shall be a guiding criterion in public decisions.

(c) Urban water suppliers shall be required to develop water management plans to actively pursue the efficient use of available supplies.

Chapter 2. Definitions

SECTION 10611-10617

10611. Unless the context otherwise requires, the definitions of this chapter govern the construction of this part.

10611.5. “Demand management" means those water conservation measures, programs, and incentives that prevent the waste of water and promote the reasonable and efficient use and reuse of available supplies.

10612. "Customer" means a purchaser of water from a water supplier who uses the water for municipal purposes, including residential, commercial, governmental, and industrial uses.

10613. "Efficient use" means those management measures that result in the most effective use of water so as to prevent its waste or unreasonable use or unreasonable method of use.

10614. "Person" means any individual, firm, association, organization, partnership, business, trust, corporation, company, public agency, or any agency of such an entity.

10615. "Plan" means an urban water management plan prepared pursuant to this part. A plan shall describe and evaluate sources of supply, reasonable and practical efficient uses,

reclamation and demand management activities. The components of the plan may vary according to an individual community or area's characteristics and its capabilities to efficiently use and conserve water. The plan shall address measures for residential, commercial, governmental, and industrial water demand management as set forth in Article 2 (commencing with Section 10630) of Chapter 3. In addition, a strategy and time schedule for implementation shall be included in the plan.

10616. "Public agency" means any board, commission, county, city and county, city, regional agency, district, or other public entity.

10616.5. "Recycled water" means the reclamation and reuse of wastewater for beneficial use.

10617. "Urban water supplier" means a supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing water for municipal purposes either directly or indirectly to more than 3,000 customers or supplying more than 3,000 acre-feet of water annually. An urban water supplier includes a supplier or contractor for water, regardless of the basis of right, which distributes or sells for ultimate resale to customers. This part applies only to water supplied from public water systems subject to Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 116275) of Part 12 of Division 104 of the Health and Safety Code.

Chapter 3. Urban Water Management Plans

Article 1. General Provisions

SECTION 10620-10621

10620. (a) Every urban water supplier shall prepare and adopt an urban water management plan in the manner set forth in Article 3 (commencing with Section 10640).

(b) Every person that becomes an urban water supplier shall adopt an urban water management plan within one year after it has become an urban water supplier.

(c) An urban water supplier indirectly providing water shall not include planning elements in its water management plan as provided in Article 2 (commencing with Section 10630) that would be applicable to urban water suppliers or public agencies directly providing water, or to their customers, without the consent of those suppliers or public agencies.

(d) (1) An urban water supplier may satisfy the requirements of this part by participation in areawide, regional, watershed, or basinwide urban water management planning where those plans will reduce preparation costs and contribute to the achievement of conservation and efficient water use.

(2) Each urban water supplier shall coordinate the preparation of its plan with other appropriate agencies in the area, including other water suppliers that

share a common source, water management agencies, and relevant public agencies, to the extent practicable.

(e) The urban water supplier may prepare the plan with its own staff, by contract, or in cooperation with other governmental agencies.

(f) An urban water supplier shall describe in the plan water management tools and options used by that entity that will maximize resources and minimize the need to import water from other regions.

10621. (a) Each urban water supplier shall update its plan at least once every five years on or before December 31, in years ending in five and zero, except as provided in subdivision (d).

(b) Every urban water supplier required to prepare a plan pursuant to this part shall, at least 60 days before the public hearing on the plan required by Section 10642, notify any city or county within which the supplier provides water supplies that the urban water supplier will be reviewing the plan and considering amendments or changes to the plan. The urban water supplier may consult with, and obtain comments from, any city or county that receives notice pursuant to this subdivision.

(c) The amendments to, or changes in, the plan shall be adopted and filed in the manner set forth in Article 3 (commencing with Section 10640).

(d) Each urban water supplier shall update and submit its 2015 plan to the department by July 1, 2016.

Article 2. Contents of Plan

SECTION 10630-10634

10630. It is the intention of the Legislature, in enacting this part, to permit levels of water management planning commensurate with the numbers of customers served and the volume of water supplied.

10631. A plan shall be adopted in accordance with this chapter that shall do all of the following:

(a) Describe the service area of the supplier, including current and projected population, climate, and other demographic factors affecting the supplier's water management planning. The projected population estimates shall be based upon data from the state, regional, or local service agency population projections within the service area of the urban water supplier and shall be in five-year increments to 20 years or as far as data is available.

(b) Identify and quantify, to the extent practicable, the existing and planned sources of water available to the supplier over the same five-year increments described in subdivision (a). If groundwater is identified as an existing or planned source of

water available to the supplier, all of the following information shall be included in the plan:

(1) A copy of any groundwater management plan adopted by the urban water supplier, including plans adopted pursuant to Part 2.75 (commencing with Section 10750), or any other specific authorization for groundwater management.

(2) A description of any groundwater basin or basins from which the urban water supplier pumps groundwater. For basins that a court or the board has adjudicated the rights to pump groundwater, a copy of the order or decree adopted by the court or the board and a description of the amount of groundwater the urban water supplier has the legal right to pump under the order or decree. For basins that have not been adjudicated, information as to whether the department has identified the basin or basins as overdrafted or has projected that the basin will become overdrafted if present management conditions continue, in the most current official departmental bulletin that characterizes the condition of the groundwater basin, and a detailed description of the efforts being undertaken by the urban water supplier to eliminate the long-term overdraft condition.

(3) A detailed description and analysis of the location, amount, and sufficiency of groundwater pumped by the urban water supplier for the past five years. The description and analysis shall be based on information that is reasonably available, including, but not limited to, historic use records.

(4) A detailed description and analysis of the amount and location of groundwater that is projected to be pumped by the urban water supplier. The description and analysis shall be based on information that is reasonably available, including, but not limited to, historic use records.

(c) (1) Describe the reliability of the water supply and vulnerability to seasonal or climatic shortage, to the extent practicable, and provide data for each of the following:

(A) An average water year.

(B) A single-dry water year.

(C) Multiple-dry water years.

(2) For any water source that may not be available at a consistent level of use, given specific legal, environmental, water quality, or climatic factors, describe plans to supplement or replace that source with alternative sources or water demand management measures, to the extent practicable.

(d) Describe the opportunities for exchanges or transfers of water on a short-term or long-term basis.

(e) (1) Quantify, to the extent records are available, past and current water use, over the same five-year increments described in subdivision (a), and projected water use, identifying the uses among water use sectors, including, but not necessarily limited to, all of the following uses:

(A) Single-family residential.

(B) Multifamily.

(C) Commercial.

(D) Industrial.

(E) Institutional and governmental.

(F) Landscape.

(G) Sales to other agencies.

(H) Saline water intrusion barriers, groundwater recharge, or conjunctive use, or any combination thereof.

(I) Agricultural.

(J) Distribution system water loss.

(2) The water use projections shall be in the same five-year increments described in subdivision (a).

(3) (A) For the 2015 urban water management plan update, the distribution system water loss shall be quantified for the most recent 12-month period available. For all subsequent updates, the distribution system water loss shall be quantified for each of the five years preceding the plan update.

(B) The distribution system water loss quantification shall be reported in accordance with a worksheet approved or developed by the department through a public process. The water loss quantification worksheet shall be based on the water system balance methodology developed by the American Water Works Association.

(4) (A) If available and applicable to an urban water supplier, water use projections may display and account for the water savings estimated to result from adopted codes, standards, ordinances, or transportation and land use plans identified by the urban water supplier, as applicable to the service area.

(B) To the extent that an urban water supplier reports the information described in subparagraph (A), an urban water supplier shall do both of the following:

(i) Provide citations of the various codes, standards, ordinances, or transportation and land use plans utilized in making the projections.

(ii) Indicate the extent that the water use projections consider savings from codes, standards, ordinances, or transportation and land use plans. Water use projections that do not account for these water savings shall be noted of that fact.

(f) Provide a description of the supplier's water demand management measures. This description shall include all of the following:

(1) (A) For an urban retail water supplier, as defined in Section 10608.12, a narrative description that addresses the nature and extent of each water demand management measure implemented over the past five years. The narrative shall describe the water demand management measures that the supplier plans to implement to achieve its water use targets pursuant to Section 10608.20.

(B) The narrative pursuant to this paragraph shall include descriptions of the following water demand management measures:

(i) Water waste prevention ordinances.

(ii) Metering.

(iii) Conservation pricing.

(iv) Public education and outreach.

(v) Programs to assess and manage distribution system real loss.

(vi) Water conservation program coordination and staffing support.

(vii) Other demand management measures that have a significant impact on water use as measured in gallons per capita per day, including innovative measures, if implemented.

(2) For an urban wholesale water supplier, as defined in Section 10608.12, a narrative description of the items in clauses (ii), (iv), (vi), and (vii) of subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1), and a narrative description of its distribution system asset management and wholesale supplier assistance programs.

(g) Include a description of all water supply projects and water supply programs that may be undertaken by the urban water supplier to meet the total projected water

use, as established pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 10635. The urban water supplier shall include a detailed description of expected future projects and programs that the urban water supplier may implement to increase the amount of the water supply available to the urban water supplier in average, single-dry, and multiple-dry water years. The description shall identify specific projects and include a description of the increase in water supply that is expected to be available from each project. The description shall include an estimate with regard to the implementation timeline for each project or program.

(h) Describe the opportunities for development of desalinated water, including, but not limited to, ocean water, brackish water, and groundwater, as a long-term supply.

(i) For purposes of this part, urban water suppliers that are members of the California Urban Water Conservation Council shall be deemed in compliance with the requirements of subdivision (f) by complying with all the provisions of the "Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Urban Water Conservation in California," dated December 10, 2008, as it may be amended, and by submitting the annual reports required by Section 6.2 of that memorandum.

(j) An urban water supplier that relies upon a wholesale agency for a source of water shall provide the wholesale agency with water use projections from that agency for that source of water in five-year increments to 20 years or as far as data is available. The wholesale agency shall provide information to the urban water supplier for inclusion in the urban water supplier's plan that identifies and quantifies, to the extent practicable, the existing and planned sources of water as required by subdivision (b), available from the wholesale agency to the urban water supplier over the same five-year increments, and during various water-year types in accordance with subdivision (c). An urban water supplier may rely upon water supply information provided by the wholesale agency in fulfilling the plan informational requirements of subdivisions (b) and (c).

10631.1. (a) The water use projections required by Section 10631 shall include projected water use for single-family and multifamily residential housing needed for lower income households, as defined in Section 50079.5 of the Health and Safety Code, as identified in the housing element of any city, county, or city and county in the service area of the supplier.

(b) It is the intent of the Legislature that the identification of projected water use for single-family and multifamily residential housing for lower income households will assist a supplier in complying with the requirement under Section 65589.7 of the Government Code to grant a priority for the provision of service to housing units affordable to lower income households.

10631.2. (a) In addition to the requirements of Section 10631, an urban water management plan may, but is not required to, include any of the following information:

(1) An estimate of the amount of energy used to extract or divert water supplies.

(2) An estimate of the amount of energy used to convey water supplies to the water treatment plants or distribution systems.

(3) An estimate of the amount of energy used to treat water supplies.

(4) An estimate of the amount of energy used to distribute water supplies through its distribution systems.

(5) An estimate of the amount of energy used for treated water supplies in comparison to the amount used for nontreated water supplies.

(6) An estimate of the amount of energy used to place water into or withdraw from storage.

(7) Any other energy-related information the urban water supplier deems appropriate.

(b) The department shall include in its guidance for the preparation of urban water management plans a methodology for the voluntary calculation or estimation of the energy intensity of urban water systems. The department may consider studies and calculations conducted by the Public Utilities Commission in developing the methodology.

10631.5. (a) (1) Beginning January 1, 2009, the terms of, and eligibility for, a water management grant or loan made to an urban water supplier and awarded or administered by the department, state board, or California Bay-Delta Authority or its successor agency shall be conditioned on the implementation of the water demand management measures described in Section 10631, as determined by the department pursuant to subdivision (b).

(2) For the purposes of this section, water management grants and loans include funding for programs and projects for surface water or groundwater storage, recycling, desalination, water conservation, water supply reliability, and water supply augmentation. This section does not apply to water management projects funded by the federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5).

(3) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the department shall determine that an urban water supplier is eligible for a water management grant or loan even though the supplier is not implementing all of the water demand management measures described in Section 10631, if the urban water supplier has

submitted to the department for approval a schedule, financing plan, and budget, to be included in the grant or loan agreement, for implementation of the water demand management measures. The supplier may request grant or loan funds to implement the water demand management measures to the extent the request is consistent with the eligibility requirements applicable to the water management funds.

(4) (A) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the department shall determine that an urban water supplier is eligible for a water management grant or loan even though the supplier is not implementing all of the water demand management measures described in Section 10631, if an urban water supplier submits to the department for approval documentation demonstrating that a water demand management measure is not locally cost effective. If the department determines that the documentation submitted by the urban water supplier fails to demonstrate that a water demand management measure is not locally cost effective, the department shall notify the urban water supplier and the agency administering the grant or loan program within 120 days that the documentation does not satisfy the requirements for an exemption, and include in that notification a detailed statement to support the determination.

(B) For purposes of this paragraph, "not locally cost effective" means that the present value of the local benefits of implementing a water demand management measure is less than the present value of the local costs of implementing that measure.

(b) (1) The department, in consultation with the state board and the California Bay-Delta Authority or its successor agency, and after soliciting public comment regarding eligibility requirements, shall develop eligibility requirements to implement the requirement of paragraph (1) of subdivision (a). In establishing these eligibility requirements, the department shall do both of the following:

(A) Consider the conservation measures described in the Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Urban Water Conservation in California, and alternative conservation approaches that provide equal or greater water savings.

(B) Recognize the different legal, technical, fiscal, and practical roles and responsibilities of wholesale water suppliers and retail water suppliers.

(2) (A) For the purposes of this section, the department shall determine whether an urban water supplier is implementing all of the water demand management measures described in Section 10631 based on either, or a combination, of the following:

(i) Compliance on an individual basis.

(ii) Compliance on a regional basis. Regional compliance shall require participation in a regional conservation program consisting of two or more urban water suppliers that achieves the level of conservation or water efficiency savings equivalent to the amount of conservation or savings achieved if each of the participating urban water suppliers implemented the water demand management measures. The urban water supplier administering the regional program shall provide participating urban water suppliers and the department with data to demonstrate that the regional program is consistent with this clause. The department shall review the data to determine whether the urban water suppliers in the regional program are meeting the eligibility requirements.

(B) The department may require additional information for any determination pursuant to this section.

(3) The department shall not deny eligibility to an urban water supplier in compliance with the requirements of this section that is participating in a multiagency water project, or an integrated regional water management plan, developed pursuant to Section 75026 of the Public Resources Code, solely on the basis that one or more of the agencies participating in the project or plan is not implementing all of the water demand management measures described in Section 10631.

(c) In establishing guidelines pursuant to the specific funding authorization for any water management grant or loan program subject to this section, the agency administering the grant or loan program shall include in the guidelines the eligibility requirements developed by the department pursuant to subdivision (b).

(d) Upon receipt of a water management grant or loan application by an agency administering a grant and loan program subject to this section, the agency shall request an eligibility determination from the department with respect to the requirements of this section. The department shall respond to the request within 60 days of the request.

(e) The urban water supplier may submit to the department copies of its annual reports and other relevant documents to assist the department in determining whether the urban water supplier is implementing or scheduling the implementation of water demand management activities. In addition, for urban water suppliers that are signatories to the Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Urban Water Conservation in California and submit biennial reports to the California Urban Water Conservation Council in accordance with the memorandum, the department may use these reports to assist in tracking the implementation of water demand management measures.

(f) This section shall remain in effect only until July 1, 2016, and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before July 1, 2016, deletes or extends that date.

10631.7. The department, in consultation with the California Urban Water Conservation Council, shall convene an independent technical panel to provide information and recommendations to the department and the Legislature on new demand management measures, technologies, and approaches. The panel shall consist of no more than seven members, who shall be selected by the department to reflect a balanced representation of experts. The panel shall have at least one, but no more than two, representatives from each of the following: retail water suppliers, environmental organizations, the business community, wholesale water suppliers, and academia. The panel shall be convened by January 1, 2009, and shall report to the Legislature no later than January 1, 2010, and every five years thereafter. The department shall review the panel report and include in the final report to the Legislature the department's recommendations and comments regarding the panel process and the panel's recommendations.

10632. (a) The plan shall provide an urban water shortage contingency analysis that includes each of the following elements that are within the authority of the urban water supplier:

(1) Stages of action to be undertaken by the urban water supplier in response to water supply shortages, including up to a 50 percent reduction in water supply, and an outline of specific water supply conditions that are applicable to each stage.

(2) An estimate of the minimum water supply available during each of the next three water years based on the driest three-year historic sequence for the agency's water supply.

(3) Actions to be undertaken by the urban water supplier to prepare for, and implement during, a catastrophic interruption of water supplies including, but not limited to, a regional power outage, an earthquake, or other disaster.

(4) Additional, mandatory prohibitions against specific water use practices during water shortages, including, but not limited to, prohibiting the use of potable water for street cleaning.

(5) Consumption reduction methods in the most restrictive stages. Each urban water supplier may use any type of consumption reduction methods in its water shortage contingency analysis that would reduce water use, are

appropriate for its area, and have the ability to achieve a water use reduction consistent with up to a 50 percent reduction in water supply.

(6) Penalties or charges for excessive use, where applicable.

(7) An analysis of the impacts of each of the actions and conditions described in paragraphs (1) to (6), inclusive, on the revenues and expenditures of the urban water supplier, and proposed measures to overcome those impacts, such as the development of reserves and rate adjustments.

(8) A draft water shortage contingency resolution or ordinance.

(9) A mechanism for determining actual reductions in water use pursuant to the urban water shortage contingency analysis.

(b) Commencing with the urban water management plan update due July 1, 2016, for purposes of developing the water shortage contingency analysis pursuant to subdivision (a), the urban water supplier shall analyze and define water features that are artificially supplied with water, including ponds, lakes, waterfalls, and fountains, separately from swimming pools and spas, as defined in subdivision (a) of Section 115921 of the Health and Safety Code.

10633. The plan shall provide, to the extent available, information on recycled water and its potential for use as a water source in the service area of the urban water supplier. The preparation of the plan shall be coordinated with local water, wastewater, groundwater, and planning agencies that operate within the supplier's service area, and shall include all of the following:

(a) A description of the wastewater collection and treatment systems in the supplier's service area, including a quantification of the amount of wastewater collected and treated and the methods of wastewater disposal.

(b) A description of the quantity of treated wastewater that meets recycled water standards, is being discharged, and is otherwise available for use in a recycled water project.

(c) A description of the recycled water currently being used in the supplier's service area, including, but not limited to, the type, place, and quantity of use.

(d) A description and quantification of the potential uses of recycled water, including, but not limited to, agricultural irrigation, landscape irrigation, wildlife habitat enhancement, wetlands, industrial reuse, groundwater recharge, indirect potable reuse, and other appropriate uses, and a determination with regard to the technical and economic feasibility of serving those uses.

(e) The projected use of recycled water within the supplier's service area at the end of 5, 10, 15, and 20 years, and a description of the actual use of recycled water in comparison to uses previously projected pursuant to this subdivision.

(f) A description of actions, including financial incentives, which may be taken to encourage the use of recycled water, and the projected results of these actions in terms of acre-feet of recycled water used per year.

(g) A plan for optimizing the use of recycled water in the supplier's service area, including actions to facilitate the installation of dual distribution systems, to promote recirculating uses, to facilitate the increased use of treated wastewater that meets recycled water standards, and to overcome any obstacles to achieving that increased use.

10634. The plan shall include information, to the extent practicable, relating to the quality of existing sources of water available to the supplier over the same five-year increments as described in subdivision (a) of Section 10631, and the manner in which water quality affects water management strategies and supply reliability.

Article 2.5. Water Service Reliability

SECTION 10635

10635. (a) Every urban water supplier shall include, as part of its urban water management plan, an assessment of the reliability of its water service to its customers during normal, dry, and multiple dry water years. This water supply and demand assessment shall compare the total water supply sources available to the water supplier with the total projected water use over the next 20 years, in five-year increments, for a normal water year, a single dry water year, and multiple dry water years. The water service reliability assessment shall be based upon the information compiled pursuant to Section 10631, including available data from state, regional, or local agency population projections within the service area of the urban water supplier.

(b) The urban water supplier shall provide that portion of its urban water management plan prepared pursuant to this article to any city or county within which it provides water supplies no later than 60 days after the submission of its urban water management plan.

(c) Nothing in this article is intended to create a right or entitlement to water service or any specific level of water service.

(d) Nothing in this article is intended to change existing law concerning an urban water supplier's obligation to provide water service to its existing customers or to any potential future customers.

Article 3. Adoption and Implementation of Plans

SECTION 10640-10645

10640. Every urban water supplier required to prepare a plan pursuant to this part shall prepare its plan pursuant to Article 2 (commencing with Section 10630). The supplier shall likewise periodically review the plan as required by Section 10621, and any amendments or changes required as a result of that review shall be adopted pursuant to this article.

10641. An urban water supplier required to prepare a plan may consult with, and obtain comments from, any public agency or state agency or any person who has special expertise with respect to water demand management methods and techniques.

10642. Each urban water supplier shall encourage the active involvement of diverse social, cultural, and economic elements of the population within the service area prior to and during the preparation of the plan. Prior to adopting a plan, the urban water supplier shall make the plan available for public inspection and shall hold a public hearing thereon. Prior to the hearing, notice of the time and place of hearing shall be published within the jurisdiction of the publicly owned water supplier pursuant to Section 6066 of the Government Code. The urban water supplier shall provide notice of the time and place of hearing to any city or county within which the supplier provides water supplies. A privately owned water supplier shall provide an equivalent notice within its service area.

After the hearing, the plan shall be adopted as prepared or as modified after the hearing.

10643. An urban water supplier shall implement its plan adopted pursuant to this chapter in accordance with the schedule set forth in its plan.

10644. (a) (1) An urban water supplier shall submit to the department, the California State Library, and any city or county within which the supplier provides water supplies a copy of its plan no later than 30 days after adoption. Copies of amendments or changes to the plans shall be submitted to the department, the California State Library, and any city or county within which the supplier provides water supplies within 30 days after adoption.

(2) The plan, or amendments to the plan, submitted to the department pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be submitted electronically and shall include any standardized forms, tables, or displays specified by the department.

(b) (1) Notwithstanding Section 10231.5 of the Government Code, the department shall prepare and submit to the Legislature, on or before December 31, in the years ending in six and one, a report summarizing the status of the plans adopted pursuant to this part.

The report prepared by the department shall identify the exemplary elements of the individual plans. The department shall provide a copy of the report to each urban water supplier that has submitted its plan to the department. The department shall also prepare reports and provide data for any legislative hearings designed to consider the effectiveness of plans submitted pursuant to this part.

(2) A report to be submitted pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be submitted in compliance with Section 9795 of the Government Code.

(c) (1) For the purpose of identifying the exemplary elements of the individual plans, the department shall identify in the report water demand management measures adopted and implemented by specific urban water suppliers, and identified pursuant to Section 10631, that achieve water savings significantly above the levels established by the department to meet the requirements of Section 10631.5.

(2) The department shall distribute to the panel convened pursuant to Section 10631.7 the results achieved by the implementation of those water demand management measures described in paragraph (1).

(3) The department shall make available to the public the standard the department will use to identify exemplary water demand management measures.

10645. Not later than 30 days after filing a copy of its plan with the department, the urban water supplier and the department shall make the plan available for public review during normal business hours.

Chapter 4. Miscellaneous Provisions

SECTION 10650-10656

10650. Any actions or proceedings to attack, review, set aside, void, or annul the acts or decisions of an urban water supplier on the grounds of noncompliance with this part shall be commenced as follows:

(a) An action or proceeding alleging failure to adopt a plan shall be commenced within 18 months after that adoption is required by this part.

(b) Any action or proceeding alleging that a plan, or action taken pursuant to the plan, does not comply with this part shall be commenced within 90 days after filing of the plan or amendment thereto pursuant to Section 10644 or the taking of that action.

10651. In any action or proceeding to attack, review, set aside, void, or annul a plan, or an action taken pursuant to the plan by an urban water supplier on the grounds of noncompliance with this part, the inquiry shall extend only to whether there was a prejudicial abuse of discretion. Abuse of discretion is established if the supplier has not proceeded in a manner required by law or if the action by the water supplier is not supported by substantial evidence.

10652. The California Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code) does not apply to the preparation and adoption of plans pursuant to this part or to the implementation of actions taken pursuant to Section 10632. Nothing in this part shall be interpreted as exempting from the California Environmental Quality Act any project that would significantly affect water supplies for fish and wildlife, or any project for implementation of the plan, other than projects implementing Section 10632, or any project for expanded or additional water supplies.

10653. The adoption of a plan shall satisfy any requirements of state law, regulation, or order, including those of the State Water Resources Control Board and the Public Utilities Commission, for the preparation of water management plans or conservation plans; provided, that if the State Water Resources Control Board or the Public Utilities Commission requires additional information concerning water conservation to implement its existing authority, nothing in this part shall be deemed to limit the board or the commission in obtaining that information. The requirements of this part shall be satisfied by any urban water demand management plan prepared to meet federal laws or regulations after the effective date of this part, and which substantially meets the requirements of this part, or by any existing urban water management plan which includes the contents of a plan required under this part.

10654. An urban water supplier may recover in its rates the costs incurred in preparing its plan and implementing the reasonable water conservation measures included in the plan. Any best water management practice that is included in the plan that is identified in the "Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Urban Water Conservation in California" is deemed to be reasonable for the purposes of this section.

10655. If any provision of this part or the application thereof to any person or circumstances is held invalid, that invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of this part which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application thereof, and to this end the provisions of this part are severable.

10656. An urban water supplier that does not prepare, adopt, and submit its urban water management plan to the department in accordance with this part, is ineligible to receive funding pursuant to Division 24 (commencing with Section 78500) or Division 26

(commencing with Section 79000), or receive drought assistance from the state until the urban water management plan is submitted pursuant to this article.

Appendix B
Adoption Resolution and Related Documentation

Notice of Otay Water District's
2015 Urban Water Management Plan Preparation

Date: March 30, 2016

This notice is to inform you that the Otay Water District (District) is updating its Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP). California State law requires the Otay Water District to update their UWMP's every five years and notify the County of San Diego and the cities within their service area that a plan is being prepared. The District must adopt an updated UWMP by June, 2016 in order to submit the adopted plan to the California Department of Water Resources by July 1, 2016.

The UWMP is required to contain a detailed evaluation of the supplies necessary to reliably meet demands over at least a 20-year period in both normal and dry years. In accordance with State law, the District will make a draft of the UWMP available on the District web site, www.otaywater.gov, for public review at least two weeks prior to holding a tentatively scheduled public hearing on **June 1, 2016, at 3:30 PM in the District Board Room**.

Please feel free to contact Lisa Coburn-Boyd in the Engineering Dept. at 619-670-2219, or lisa.coburn-boyd@otaywater.gov, if you would like an electronic or hard copy of this plan or if you have any questions or would like additional information.

Sincerely,
OTAY WATER DISTRICT

Lisa Coburn-Boyd

Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Environmental Compliance Specialist

Lisa Coburn-Boyd

From: Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:12 PM
To: Lardy, Eric
Subject: Otay Water District 2015 UWMP Update Notice
Attachments: 2015 UWMP Public Notice_SD Cty & Cities.pdf

Mr. Lardy,
Please see the attached notification that the Otay Water District is reviewing its UWMP and will be releasing its draft 2015 UWMP Update for public review in mid-May, 2016. A copy of this notice has also been sent to you via U.S. Mail.

Thank you,

Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Environmental Compliance Specialist
Otay Water District
619.670.2219
lisa.coburn-boyd@otaywater.gov

Lisa Coburn-Boyd

From: Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:10 PM
To: 'Fogg, Mindy'
Subject: Otay Water District 2015 UWMP Update Notice
Attachments: 2015 UWMP Public Notice_SD Cty & Cities.pdf

Ms. Fogg,
Please see the attached notification that the Otay Water District is reviewing its UWMP and will be releasing its draft 2015 UWMP Update for public review in mid-May, 2016. A copy of this notice has also been sent to you via U.S. Mail.

Thank you,

Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Environmental Compliance Specialist
Otay Water District
619.670.2219
lisa.coburn-boyd@otaywater.gov

Lisa Coburn-Boyd

From: Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:05 PM
To: 'ebatchelder@chulavistaca.gov'
Subject: Otay Water District 2015 UWMP Update Notice
Attachments: 2015 UWMP Public Notice_SD Cty & Cities.pdf

Dear Mr. Batchelder,
Please see the attached notification that the Otay Water District is reviewing its UWMP and will be releasing its draft 2015 UWMP Update for public review in mid-May, 2016. A copy of this notice has also been sent to you via U.S. Mail.

Thank you,

Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Environmental Compliance Specialist
Otay Water District
619.670.2219
lisa.coburn-boyd@otaywater.gov

Lisa Coburn-Boyd

From: Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:06 PM
To: 'kbroughton@chulavistaca.gov'
Subject: Otay Water District 2015 UWMP Update Notice
Attachments: 2015 UWMP Public Notice_SD Cty & Cities.pdf

Ms. Broughton,
Please see the attached notification that the Otay Water District is reviewing its UWMP and will be releasing its draft 2015 UWMP Update for public review in mid-May, 2016. A copy of this notice has also been sent to you via U.S. Mail.

Thank you,

Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Environmental Compliance Specialist
Otay Water District
619.670.2219
lisa.coburn-boyd@otaywater.gov

Lisa Coburn-Boyd

From: Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:05 PM
To: 'muggs.stoll@sandag.org'
Subject: Otay Water District 2015 UWMP Update Notice
Attachments: 2015 UWMP Public Notice_SD Cty & Cities.pdf

Mr. Stoll,
Please see the attached notification that the Otay Water District is reviewing its UWMP and will be releasing its draft 2015 UWMP Update for public review in mid-May, 2016. A copy of this notice has also been sent to you via U.S. Mail.

Thank you,

Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Environmental Compliance Specialist
Otay Water District
619.670.2219
lisa.coburn-boyd@otaywater.gov

Lisa Coburn-Boyd

From: Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:05 PM
To: 'murphyj@sandiego.gov'
Subject: Otay Water District 2015 UWMP Update Notice
Attachments: 2015 UWMP Public Notice_SD Cty & Cities.pdf

Dear Mr. Murphy,
Please see the attached notification that the Otay Water District is reviewing its UWMP and will be releasing its draft 2015 UWMP Update for public review in mid-May, 2016. A copy of this notice has also been sent to you via U.S. Mail.

Thank you,

Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Environmental Compliance Specialist
Otay Water District
619.670.2219
lisa.coburn-boyd@otaywater.gov

Lisa Coburn-Boyd

From: Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2016 3:05 PM
To: Dana Friehauf
Subject: Otay Water District 2015 UWMP Update Notice
Attachments: 2015 UWMP Public Notice_SD Cty & Cities.pdf

Ms. Friehauf,
Please see the attached notification that the Otay Water District is reviewing its UWMP and will be releasing its draft 2015 UWMP Update for public review in mid-May, 2016. A copy of this notice has also been sent to you via U.S. Mail.

Thank you,

Lisa Coburn-Boyd
Environmental Compliance Specialist
Otay Water District
619.670.2219
lisa.coburn-boyd@otaywater.gov

Appendix C
AWWA Water Loss Worksheet

AWWA Water Loss Control Committee (WLCC) Free Water Audit Software v4.1

Copyright © 2010, American Water Works Association. All Rights Reserved.

WAS v4.1

PURPOSE: This spreadsheet-based water audit tool is designed to help quantify and track water losses associated with water distribution systems and identify areas for improved efficiency and cost recovery. It provides a "top-down" summary water audit format, and is not meant to take the place of a full-scale, comprehensive water audit format.

USE: The spreadsheet contains several separate worksheets. Sheets can be accessed using the tabs towards the bottom of the screen, or by clicking the buttons on the left below. Descriptions of each sheet are also given below.

THE FOLLOWING KEY APPLIES THROUGHOUT:

- Value can be entered by user
- Value calculated based on input data
- These cells contain recommended default values

Please begin by providing the following information, then proceed through each sheet in the workbook:

NAME OF CITY OR UTILITY: Otay Water District COUNTRY: United States of America

REPORTING YEAR: 2015 START DATE(MM/YYYY): 07/2014 END DATE(MM/YYYY): 06/2015

NAME OF CONTACT PERSON: Lisa Coburn-Boyd E-MAIL: Lisa.Coburn-Boyd@otaywater.gov TELEPHONE: 619-670-2219 Ext.

PLEASE SELECT PREFERRED REPORTING UNITS FOR WATER VOLUME: Acre-feet

Click to advance to sheet…

Click here: ? for help about units and conversions

Instructions	The current sheet
Reporting Worksheet	Enter the required data on this worksheet to calculate the water balance
Water Balance	The values entered in the Reporting Worksheet are used to populate the water balance
Grading Matrix	Depending on the confidence of audit inputs, a grading is assigned to the audit score
Service Connections	Diagrams depicting possible customer service connection configurations
Definitions	Use this sheet to understand terms used in the audit process
Loss Control Planning	Use this sheet to interpret the results of the audit validity score and performance indicators

Comments:

Add comments here to track additional supporting information, sources or names of participants

If you have questions or comments regarding the software please contact us at: wlc@awwa.org

AWWA WLCC Free Water Audit Software: Reporting Worksheet

Copyright © 2010, American Water Works Association. All Rights Reserved.

WAS v4.1

Back to Instructions

? Click to access definition

Water Audit Report for: Otay Water District
Reporting Year: 2015 | 7/2014 - 6/2015

Please enter data in the white cells below. Where available, metered values should be used; if metered values are unavailable please estimate a value. Indicate your confidence in the accuracy of the

All volumes to be entered as: ACRE-FEET PER YEAR

WATER SUPPLIED << Enter grading in column 'E'

Volume from own sources:	?			acre-ft/yr
Master meter error adjustment (enter positive value):	?			acre-ft/yr
Water imported:	?	8	30,298.900	acre-ft/yr
Water exported:	?			acre-ft/yr
WATER SUPPLIED:			30,298.900	acre-ft/yr

AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION

Click here: ? for help using option buttons below

					Pcnt:	Value:
Billed metered:	?	8	29,529.400	acre-ft/yr		
Billed unmetered:	?			acre-ft/yr		
Unbilled metered:	?	7	126.300	acre-ft/yr		
Unbilled unmetered:	?	7	378.736	acre-ft/yr		378.736
AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION:	?		30,034.436	acre-ft/yr		

Use buttons to select percentage of water supplied **OR** value

WATER LOSSES (Water Supplied - Authorized Consumption) 264.464 acre-ft/yr

Apparent Losses

					Pcnt:	Value:
Unauthorized consumption:	?		75.747	acre-ft/yr	0.25%	
Default option selected for unauthorized consumption - a grading of 5 is applied but not displayed						
Customer metering inaccuracies:	?	7	0.000	acre-ft/yr		
Systematic data handling errors:	?	8	1.000	acre-ft/yr		
Apparent Losses:	?		76.747			

Choose this option to enter a percentage of billed metered consumption. This is NOT a default value

Real Losses (Current Annual Real Losses or CARL)

Real Losses = Water Losses - Apparent Losses:	?		187.717	acre-ft/yr
WATER LOSSES:			264.464	acre-ft/yr

NON-REVENUE WATER

NON-REVENUE WATER:	?		769.500	acre-ft/yr

= Total Water Loss + Unbilled Metered + Unbilled Unmetered

SYSTEM DATA

Length of mains:	?	10	727.0	miles	
Number of active AND inactive service connections:	?	9	50,013		
Connection density:			69	conn./mile main	
Average length of customer service line:	?	8	25.0	ft	(pipe length between curbstop and customer meter or property boundary)
Average operating pressure:	?	9	150.0	psi	

COST DATA

Total annual cost of operating water system:	?	8	$49,527,220	$/Year
Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses):	?	8	$5.44	$/100 cubic feet (ccf)
Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses):	?	8	$1,143.00	$/acre-ft/yr

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Financial Indicators

Non-revenue water as percent by volume of Water Supplied:	2.5%
Non-revenue water as percent by cost of operating system:	2.0%
Annual cost of Apparent Losses:	$181,865
Annual cost of Real Losses:	$214,560

Operational Efficiency Indicators

Apparent Losses per service connection per day:	1.37	gallons/connection/day
Real Losses per service connection per day*:	3.35	gallons/connection/day
Real Losses per length of main per day*:	N/A	
Real Losses per service connection per day per psi pressure:	0.02	gallons/connection/day/psi
? Unavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL):	723.30	million gallons/year
From Above, Real Losses = Current Annual Real Losses (CARL):	187.72	million gallons/year
? Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) [CARL/UARL]:	0.08	

* only the most applicable of these two indicators will be calculated

WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE:

***** YOUR SCORE IS: 77 out of 100 *****

A weighted scale for the components of consumption and water loss is included in the calculation of the Water Audit Data Validity Score

PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION:

Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing the following components:

1: Water imported
2: Unauthorized consumption
3: Unbilled metered

For more information, click here to see the Grading Matrix worksheet

AWWA WLCC Free Water Audit Software: Water Balance

Copyright © 2010, American Water Works Association. All Rights Reserved. WAS v4.1

Water Audit Report For:	Report Yr:
Otay Water District	2015

Own Sources (Adjusted for known errors) 0.000	Water Exported 0.000	Billed Water Exported			
	Water Supplied 30,298.900	Authorized Consumption 30,034.436	Billed Authorized Consumption 29,529.400	Billed Metered Consumption (inc. water exported) 29,529.400	Revenue Water 29,529.400
				Billed Unmetered Consumption 0.000	
			Unbilled Authorized Consumption 505.036	Unbilled Metered Consumption 126.300	Non-Revenue Water (NRW) 769.500
				Unbilled Unmetered Consumption 378.736	
		Water Losses 264.464	Apparent Losses 76.747	Unauthorized Consumption 75.747	
				Customer Metering Inaccuracies 0.000	
				Systematic Data Handling Errors 1.000	
Water Imported 30,298.900			Real Losses 187.717	Leakage on Transmission and/or Distribution Mains Not broken down	
				Leakage and Overflows at Utility's Storage Tanks Not broken down	
				Leakage on Service Connections Not broken down	

AWWA WLCC Free Water Audit Software: Grading Matrix

Copyright © 2010, American Water Works Association. All Rights Reserved.

WASv 4.1

Back to Instructions

In the Reporting Worksheet, grades were assigned to each component of the audit to describe the confidence and accuracy of the input data. The grading assigned to each audit component and the corresponding recommended improvements and actions are highlighted in yellow. Audit accuracy is likely to be improved by prioritizing those items shown in red

Grading

	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Volume from own sources:	Select this grading only if the water utility purchases/imports all of its water resources (i.e. has no sources of its own)	Less than 25% of water production sources are metered, remaining sources are estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	25% - 50% of treated water production sources are metered; other sources estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	50% - 75% of treated water production sources are metered, other sources estimated. Occasional meter accuracy testing	Conditions between 4 and 6	At least 75% of treated water production sources are metered, or at least 90% of the source flow is derived from metered sources. Meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration conducted annually. Less than 25% of tested meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 6 and 8	100% of treated water production sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration conducted annually, less than 10% of meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy	Conditions between 8 and 10	100% of treated water production sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration conducted semi-annually, with less than 10% found outside of +/- 3% accuracy.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Volume from own Sources" component:		to qualify for 2: Organize efforts to begin to collect data for determining volume from own sources	to qualify for 4: Locate all water production sources on maps and in field, launch meter accuracy testing for existing meters, begin to install meters on unmetered water production sources and replace any obsolete/defective meters		to qualify for 6: Formalize annual meter accuracy testing for all source meters. Complete installation of meters on unmetered water production sources and complete replacement of all obsolete/defective meters.		to qualify for 8: Conduct annual meter accuracy testing on all meters. Complete project to install new, or replace defective existing, meters so that entire production meter population is metered. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 6% accuracy.		to qualify for 10: Maintain annual meter accuracy testing for all meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 6% accuracy. Investigate new meter technology; pilot one or more replacements with innovative meters in attempt to improve meter accuracy.		to maintain 10: Standardize meter accuracy test frequency to semi-annual, or more frequent, for all meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Continually investigate/pilot improving metering technology.
Master meter error adjustment:	Select n/a only if the water utility fails to have meters on its sources of supply, either its own source, and/or imported (purchased) water sources	Inventory information on meters and paper records of measured volumes in crude condition; data error cannot be determined	No automatic datalogging of production volumes; daily readings are scribed on paper records. Tank/storage elevation changes are not employed in calculating "Volume from own sources" component. Data is adjusted only when grossly evident data error occurs.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Production meter data is logged automatically in electronic format and reviewed at least on a monthly basis. "Volume from own sources" tabulations include estimate of daily changes in tanks/storage facilities. Meter data is adjusted when gross data errors occur, or occasional meter testing deems this necessary.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Hourly production meter data logged automatically & reviewed on at least a weekly basis. Data adjusted to correct gross error from equipment malfunction and error confirmed by meter accuracy testing. Tank/storage facility elevation changes are automatically used in calculating a balanced "Volume from own sources" component.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Continuous production meter data logged automatically & reviewed daily. Data adjusted to correct gross error from equipment malfunction & results of meter accuracy testing. Tank/storage facility elevation changes are automatically used in "Volume from own sources" tabulations.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Computerized system (SCADA or similar) automatically balances flows from all sources and storages; results reviewed daily. Mass balance technique compares production meter data to raw (untreated) water and treatment volumes to detect anomalies. Regular calibrations between SCADA and sources meters ensures minimal data transfer error.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Master meter error adjustment" component:		to qualify for 2: Develop plan to restructure recordkeeping system to capture all flow data; set procedure to review data daily to detect input errors	to qualify for 4: Install automatic datalogging equipment on production meters. Identify tanks/storage facilities and include estimated daily volume of water added to, or subtracted from, "Water Supplied" volume based upon changes in storage		to qualify for 6: Review hourly production meter data for gross error on, at least, a weekly basis. Begin to install instrumentation on tanks/storage facilities to record elevation changes. Use daily net storage change to balance flows in calculating "Water Supplied" volume.		to qualify for 8: Complete installation of elevation instrumentation on all tanks/storage facilities. Continue to use daily net storage change in calculating balanced "Volume from own sources" component. Adjust production meter data for gross error and inaccuracy confirmed by testing.		to qualify for 10: Link all production and tank/storage facility elevation change data to a Supervisory Control & Data Acquisition (SCADA) System, or similar computerized monitoring/control system, and establish automatic flow balancing algorithm and regularly calibrate between SCADA and source meters.		to maintain 10: Monitor meter innovations for development of more accurate and less expensive flowmeters. Continue to replace or repair meters as they perform outside of desired accuracy limits.
Water Imported:	Select n/a if the water utility's supply is exclusively from its own water resources (no bulk purchased/ imported water)	Less than 25% of imported water sources are metered, remaining sources are estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	25% - 50% of imported water sources are metered; other sources estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	50% - 75% of imported water sources are metered, other sources estimated. Occasional meter accuracy testing	Conditions between 4 and 6	At least 75% of imported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration conducted annually. Less than 25% of tested meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 6 and 8	100% of imported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration conducted annually, less than 10% of meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy	Conditions between 8 and 10	100% of imported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration conducted semi-annually, with less than 10% found outside of +/- 3% accuracy.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Water Imported Volume" component:		to qualify for 2: Review bulk water purchase agreements with partner suppliers; confirm requirements for use and maintenance of accurate metering. Identify needs for new or replacement meters with goal to meter all imported water sources.	To qualify for 4: Locate all imported water sources on maps and in field, launch meter accuracy testing for existing meters, begin to install meters on unmetered imported water interconnections and replace obsolete/defective meters		to qualify for 6: Formalize annual meter accuracy testing for all imported water meters. Continue installation of meters on unmetered exported water interconnections and replacement of obsolete/defective meters.		to qualify for 8: Complete project to install new, or replace defective, meters on all imported water interconnections. Maintain annual meter accuracy testing for all imported water meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 6% accuracy.		to qualify for 10: Maintain annual meter accuracy testing for all meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 6% accuracy. Investigate new meter technology; pilot one or more replacements with innovative meters in attempt to improve meter accuracy.		to maintain 10: Standardize meter accuracy test frequency to semi-annual, or more frequent, for all meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Continually investigate/pilot improving metering technology.

Grading											
	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Water Exported:	Select n/a if the water utility sells no bulk water to neighboring water utilities (no exported water sales)	Less than 25% of exported water sources are metered, remaining sources are estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	25% - 50% of exported water sources are metered; other sources estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	50% - 75% of exported water sources are metered, other sources estimated. Occasional meter accuracy testing	Conditions between 4 and 6	At least 75% of exported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration conducted annually. Less than 25% of tested meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 6 and 8	100% of exported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration conducted annually, less than 10% of meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy	Conditions between 8 and 10	100% of exported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration conducted semi-annually, with less than 10% found outside of +/- 3% accuracy.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Water Exported Volume" component:		to qualify for 2: Review bulk water sales agreements with partner suppliers; confirm requirements for use & upkeep of accurate metering. Identify needs to install new, or replace defective meters as needed.	To qualify for 4: Locate all exported water sources on maps and in field, launch meter accuracy testing for existing meters, begin to install meters on unmetered exported water interconnections and replace obsolete/defective meters		to qualify for 6: Formalize annual meter accuracy testing for all exported water meters. Continue installation of meters on unmetered exported water interconnections and replacement of obsolete/defective meters.		to qualify for 8: Complete project to install new, or replace defective, meters on all exported water interconnections. Maintain annual meter accuracy testing for all imported water meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 6% accuracy.		to qualify for 10: Maintain annual meter accuracy testing for all meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 6% accuracy. Investigate new meter technology; pilot one or more replacements with innovative meters in attempt to improve meter accuracy.		to maintain 10: Standardize meter accuracy test frequency to semi-annual, or more frequent, for all meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Continually investigate/pilot improving metering technology.
AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION											
Billed metered:	n/a (not applicable). Select n/a only if the entire customer population is not metered and is billed for water service on a flat or fixed rate basis. In such a case the volume entered must be zero.	Less than 50% of customers with volume-based billings from meter readings; flat or fixed rate billed for the majority of the customer population	At least 50% of customers with volume-based billing from meter reads; flat rate billed for others. Manual meter reading, under 50% read success rate, remainder estimated. Limited meter records, no regular meter testing or replacement. Billing data maintained on paper records, with no auditing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	At least 75% of customers with volume-based billing from meter reads; flat or fixed rate billed for remainder. Manual meter reading used, at least 50% meter read success rate, failed reads are estimated. Purchase records verify age of customer meters; only very limited meter accuracy testing is conducted. Customer meters replaced only upon complete failure. Computerized billing records, but only periodic internal auditing conducted.	Conditions between 4 and 6	At least 90% of customers with volume-based billing from meter reads; remaining accounts are estimated. Manual customer meter reading gives at least 80% customer meter reading success rate, failed reads are estimated. Good customer meter records, limited meter accuracy testing, regular replacement of oldest meters. Computerized billing records with routine auditing of global statistics.	Conditions between 6 and 8	At least 97% of customers with volume-based billing from meter reads. At least 90% customer meter read success rate; or minimum 80% read success rate with planning and budgeting for trials of Automatic Metering Reading (AMR) in one or more pilot areas. Good customer meter records. Regular meter accuracy testing guides replacement of statistically significant number of meters each year. Routine auditing of computerized billing records for global and detailed statistics; verified periodically by third party.	Conditions between 8 and 10	At least 99% of customers with volume-based billing from meter reads. At least 95% customer meter reading success rate; or minimum 80% meter reading success rate, with Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) trials underway. Statistically significant customer meter testing and replacement program in place. Computerized billing with routine, detailed auditing, including field investigation of representative sample of accounts. Annual audit verification by third party.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Billed Metered Consumption" component:	If n/a is selected because the customer meter population is unmetered, consider establishing a new policy to meter the customer population and employ water rates based upon metered volumes.	to qualify for 2: Conduct investigations or trials of customer meters to select appropriate meter models. Budget funding for meter installations. Investigate volume based water rate structures.	to qualify for 4: Purchase and install meters on unmetered accounts. Implement policies to improve meter reading success. Catalog meter information during meter read visits to identify age/model of existing meters. Test a minimal number of meters for accuracy. Install computerized billing system.		to qualify for 6: Purchase and install meters on unmetered accounts. Eliminate flat fee billing and establish appropriate water rate structure based upon measured consumption. Continue to achieve verifiable success in removing manual meter reading barriers. Expand meter accuracy testing. Launch regular meter replacement program. Conduct routine audit of global statistics.		to qualify for 8: Purchase and install meters on unmetered accounts. Assess cost-effectiveness of Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) system for portion or entire system; or achieve ongoing improvements in manual meter reading success rate. Refine meter accuracy testing program. Set meter replacement goals based upon accuracy test results. Refine routine auditing procedures based upon third party guidance.		to qualify for 10: Purchase and install meters on unmetered accounts. Launch Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) system trials if manual meter reading success rate of at least 95% is not achieved within a five-year program. Continue meter accuracy testing program. Conduct planning and budgeting for large scale meter replacement based upon meter life cycle analysis using cumulative flow target. Continue routine auditing and require annual third party review.		to maintain 10: Regular internal and third party auditing, and meter accuracy testing ensures that accurate customer meter readings are obtained and entered as the basis for volume based billing. Stay abreast of improvements in Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and information management. Plan and budget for justified upgrades in metering, meter reading and billing data management.
Billed unmetered:	Select n/a if it is the policy of the water utility to meter all customer connections and it has been confirmed by detailed auditing that all customers do indeed have a water meter; i.e. no unmetered accounts exist	Water utility policy does not require customer metering; flat or fixed fee billed. No data collected on customer consumption. Only estimates available are derived from data estimation methods using average fixture count multiplied by number of connections, or similar approach.	Water utility policy does not require customer metering; flat or fixed fee billed. Some metered accounts exist in parts of the system (pilot areas or District Metered Areas) with consumption recorded on portable dataloggers. Data from these sample meters are used to infer consumption for the total customer population. Site specific estimation methods are used for unusual buildings/water uses.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Water utility policy does require metering and volume based billing but lacks written procedures and employs casual oversight, resulting in up to 20% of billed accounts believed to be unmetered. A rough estimate of the annual consumption for all unmetered accounts is included in the annual water audit, with no inspection of individual unmetered accounts.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Water utility policy does require metering and volume based billing but exemption exist for a portion of accounts such as municipal buildings. As many as 15% of billed accounts are unmetered due to this exemption or meter installation difficulties. Only a group estimate of annual consumption for all unmetered accounts is included in the annual water audit, with no inspection of individual unmetered accounts.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Water utility policy requires metering and volume based billing for all customer accounts. However, less than 5% of billed accounts remain unmetered because because installation is hindered by unusual circumstances. The goal is to minimize the number of unmetered accounts. Reliable estimates of consumption are obtained for unmetered accounts via site specific estimation methods.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Water utility policy requires metering and volume based billing for all customer accounts. Less than 2% of billed accounts are unmetered and exist because meter installation is hindered by unusual circumstances. The goal exists to minimize the number of unmetered accounts to the extent that is economical. Reliable estimates of consumption are obtained at these accounts via site specific estimation methods.

Grading											
	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Billed Unmetered Consumption" component:		to qualify for 2: Investigate a new water utility policy to require metering of the customer population, and a reduction of unmetered accounts. Conduct pilot metering project by installing water meters in small sample of customer accounts and datalogging the water consumption.	to qualify for 4: Implement a new water utility policy requiring customer metering. Expand pilot metering study to include several different meter types, which will provide data for economic assessment of full scale metering options. Assess sites with access difficulties to devise means to obtain water consumption volumes.		to qualify for 6: Budget for staff resources to review billing records to identify unmetered properties. Specify metering needs and funding requirements to install sufficient meters to significant reduce the number of unmetered accounts		to qualify for 8: Install customer meters on a full scale basis. Refine metering policy and procedures to ensure that all accounts, including municipal properties, are designated for meters. Implement procedures to obtain reliable consumption estimate for unmetered accounts awaiting meter installation.		to qualify for 10: Continue customer meter installation throughout the service area, with a goal to minimize unmetered accounts. Sustain the effort to investigate accounts with access difficulties to devise means to install water meters or otherwise measure water consumption.		to maintain 10: Continue to refine estimation methods for unmetered consumption and explore means to establish metering, for as many billed unmetered accounts as is economically feasible.
Unbilled metered:	select n/a if all billing-exempt consumption is unmetered.	Billing practices exempt certain accounts, such as municipal buildings, but written policies do not exist; and a reliable count of unbilled metered accounts is unavailable. Meter upkeep and meter reading on these accounts is rare and not considered a priority. Due to poor recordkeeping and lack of auditing, water consumption for all such accounts is purely guesstimated.	Billing practices exempt certain accounts, such as municipal buildings, but only scattered, dated written directives exist to justify this practice. A reliable count of unbilled metered accounts is unavailable. Sporadic meter replacement and meter reading occurs on an as-needed basis. The total annual water consumption for all unbilled, metered accounts is estimated based upon approximating the number of accounts and assigning consumption from actively billed accounts of same meter size.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Dated written procedures permit billing exemption for specific accounts, such as municipal properties, but are unclear regarding certain other types of accounts. Meter reading is given low priority and is sporadic. Consumption is quantified from meter readings where available. The total number of unbilled, unmetered accounts must be estimated along with consumption volumes.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Written policies regarding billing exemptions exist but adherence in practice is questionable. Metering and meter reading for municipal buildings is reliable but sporadic for other unbilled metered accounts. Periodic auditing of such accounts is conducted. Water consumption is quantified directly from meter readings where available, but the majority of the consumption is estimated.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Written policy identifies the types of accounts granted a billing exemption. Customer meter management and meter reading are considered secondary priorities, but meter reading is conducted at least annually to obtain consumption volumes for the annual water audit. High level auditing of billing records ensures that a reliable census of such accounts exists.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Clearly written policy identifies the types of accounts given a billing exemption, with emphasis on keeping such accounts to a minimum. Customer meter management and meter reading for these accounts is given proper priority and is reliably conducted. Regular auditing confirms this. Total water consumption for these accounts is taken from reliable readings from accurate meters.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Unbilled metered Consumption" component:		to qualify for 2: Reassess the water utility's policy allowing certain accounts to be granted a billing exemption. Draft an outline of a new written policy for billing exemptions, with clear justification as to why any accounts should be exempt from billing, and with the intention to keep the number of such accounts to a minimum.	to qualify for 4: Review historic written directives and policy documents allowing certain accounts to be billing-exempt. Draft an outline of a written policy for billing exemptions, identify criteria that grants an exemption, with a goal of keeping this number of accounts to a minimum.		to qualify for 6: Draft a new written policy regarding billing exemptions based upon consensus criteria allowing this occurrence. Assign resources to audit meter records and billing records to obtain census of unbilled metered accounts.		to qualify for 8: Communicate billing exemption policy throughout the organization and implement procedures that ensure proper account management. Conduct inspections of accounts confirmed in unbilled metered status and verify that accurate meters exist and are scheduled for routine meter readings.		to qualify for 10: Ensure that meter management (meter accuracy testing, meter replacement) and meter reading activities are accorded the same priority as billed accounts. Establish ongoing annual auditing process to ensure that water consumption is reliably collected and provided to the annual water audit process.		to maintain 10: Reassess philosophy in allowing any water uses to go "unbilled". It is possible to meter and bill all accounts, even if the fee charged for water consumption is discounted or waived. Metering and billing all accounts ensures that water consumption is tracked and water waste from plumbing leaks is detected and minimized.
Unbilled unmetered:		Extent of unbilled, unmetered consumption is unknown due to unclear policies and poor recordkeeping. Total consumption is quantified based upon a purely subjective estimate.	Clear extent of unbilled, unmetered consumption is unknown, but a number of events are randomly documented each year, confirming existence of such consumption, but without sufficient documentation to quantify an accurate estimate of the annual volume consumed.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Extent of unbilled, unmetered consumption is partially known, and procedures exist to document certain events such as miscellaneous fire hydrant uses. Formulae is used to quantify the consumption from such events (time running x typical flowrate x number of events).	Default value of 1.25% of system input volume is employed	Coherent policies exist for some forms of unbilled, unmetered consumption but others await closer evaluation. Reasonable recordkeeping for the managed uses exists and allows for annual volumes to be quantified by inference, but unsupervised uses are guesstimated.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Clear policies and good recordkeeping exist for some uses (ex: unmetered fire connections registering consumption), but other uses (ex: miscellaneous uses of fire hydrants) have limited oversight. Total consumption is a mix of well quantified use such as from formulae (time x typical flow) or temporary meters, and relatively subjective estimates of less regulated use.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Clear policies exist to identify permitted use of water in unbilled, unmetered fashion, with the intention of minimizing this type of consumption. Good records document each occurrence and consumption is quantified via formulae (time x typical flow) or use of temporary meters.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Unbilled Unmetered Consumption" component:		to qualify for 5: Utilize accepted default value of 1.25% of system input volume as an expedient means to gain a reasonable quantification of this use. to qualify for 2: Establish a policy regarding what water uses should be allowed as unbilled and unmetered. Consider tracking a small sample of one such use (ex: fire hydrant flushings).	to qualify for 5: Utilize accepted default value of 1.25% of system input volume as an expedient means to gain a reasonable quantification of this use. to qualify for 4: Evaluate the documentation of events that have been observed. Meet with user groups (ex: for fire hydrants - fire departments, contractors to ascertain their need for water from fire hydrants).		to qualify for 5: Utilize accepted default value of 1.25% of system input volume as expedient means to gain a reasonable quantification of all such use. This is particularly appropriate for water utilities who are in the early stages of the water auditing process.	to qualify for 6 or greater: Finalize policy and do field checks. Proceed if top-down audit exists and/or a great volume of such use is suspected.	to qualify for 8: Assess water utility policy and procedures to ensure that fire hydrant permits are issued for use by persons outside of the utility. Create written procedures for use and documentation of fire hydrants by water utility personnel.		to qualify for 10: Refine written procedures to ensure that all uses of unbilled, unmetered water are overseen by a structured permitting process managed by water utility personnel. Reassess policy to determine if some of these uses have value in being converted to billed and/or metered status.		to maintain 10: Continue to refine policy and procedures with intention of reducing the number of allowable uses of water in unbilled and unmetered fashion. Any uses that can feasibly become billed and metered should be converted eventually.
APPARENT LOSSES											

Grading											
	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Unauthorized consumption:		Extent of unauthorized consumption is unknown due to unclear policies and poor recordkeeping. Total unauthorized consumption is guesstimated.	Unauthorized consumption is a known occurrence, but its extent is a mystery. There are no requirements to document observed events, but periodic field reports capture some of these occurrences. Total unauthorized consumption is approximated from this limited data.	conditions between 2 and 4	Procedures exist to document some unauthorized consumption such as observed unauthorized fire hydrant openings. Use formulae to quantify this consumption (time running x typical flowrate x number of events).	Default value of 0.25% of system input volume is employed	Coherent policies exist for some forms of unauthorized consumption but others await closer evaluation. Reasonable surveillance and recordkeeping exist for occurrences that fall under the policy. Volumes quantified by inference from these records. Unsupervised uses are guesstimated.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Clear policies and good recordkeeping exist for certain events (ex: tampering with water meters); other occurrences have limited oversight. Total consumption is a combination of volumes from formulae (time x typical flow) and subjective estimates of unconfirmed consumption.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Clear policies exist to identify all known unauthorized uses of water. Staff and procedures exist to provide enforcement of policies and detect violations. Each occurrence is quantified via formulae (time x typical flow) or similar methods.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Unauthorized Consumption" component:		to qualify for 5: Use accepted default of 0.25% of system input volume. to qualify for 2: Review utility policy regarding what water uses are considered unauthorized, and consider tracking a small sample of one such occurrence (ex: unauthorized fire hydrant openings)	to qualify for 5: Use accepted default of 0.25% of system input volume to qualify for 4: Review utility policy regarding what water uses are considered unauthorized, and consider tracking a small sample of one such occurrence (ex: unauthorized fire hydrant openings)		to qualify for 5: Utilize accepted default value of 0.25% of system input volume as expedient means to gain a reasonable quantification of all such use. This is particularly appropriate for water utilities who are in the early stages of the water auditing process.	to qualify for 6 or greater: Finalize policy and do field checks. Proceed if top-down audit exists and/or a great volume of such use is suspected.	to quality for 8: Assess water utility policies to ensure that all known occurrences of unauthorized consumption are outlawed, and that appropriate penalties are prescribed. Create written procedures for use and documentation of various occurrences of unauthorized consumption as they are uncovered.		to qualify for 10: Refine written procedures and assign staff to seek out likely occurrences of unauthorized consumption. Explore new locking devices, monitors and other technologies designed to detect and thwart unauthorized consumption.		to maintain 10: Continue to refine policy and procedures to eliminate any loopholes that allow or tacitly encourage unauthorized consumption. Continue to be vigilant in documentation and enforcement efforts.
Customer metering inaccuracies:	select n/a only if the entire customer population is unmetered. In such a case the volume entered must be zero.	Customer meters exist, but with unorganized paper records on meters; no meter accuracy testing or meter replacement program. Workflow is driven chaotically by customer complaints with no proactive management. Loss volume due to aggregate meter inaccuracy is guesstimated.	Poor recordkeeping and meter oversight is recognized by water utility management who has allotted staff and funding resources to organize improved recordkeeping and start meter accuracy testing. Existing paper records gathered and organized to provide cursory disposition of meter population.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Reliable recordkeeping exists; meter information is improving as meters are replaced. Meter accuracy testing is conducted annually for a small number of meters. Limited number of oldest meters replaced each year. Inaccuracy volume is largely an estimate, but refined based upon limited testing data.	Conditions between 4 and 6	A reliable electronic recordkeeping system for meters exists. Population includes a mix of new high performing meters and dated meters with suspect accuracy. Routine, but limited, meter accuracy testing and meter replacement occur. Inaccuracy volume is quantified using a mix of reliable and less certain data.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Ongoing meter replacement and accuracy testing result in highly accurate customer meter population. Testing is conducted on samples of meters at varying lifespans to determine optimum replacement time for various types of meters.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Good records of number, type and size of customer meters; ongoing meter replacement occurs. Regular meter accuracy testing gives reliable measure of composite inaccuracy volume for the system. New metering technology is embraced to keep overall accuracy improving.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Customer meter inaccuracy volume" component:	If n/a is selected because the customer meter population is unmetered, consider establishing a new policy to meter the customer population and employ water rates based upon metered volumes.	to qualify for 2: Gather available meter purchase records. Conduct testing on a small number of meters believed to be the most inaccurate. Review staffing needs of metering group and budget for necessary resources to better organize meter management.	to qualify for 4: Implement a reliable record keeping system for customer meter histories, preferably using electronic methods typically linked to, or part of, the Customer Billing System or Customer Information System. Expand meter accuracy testing to a larger group of meters.		to qualify for 6: Standardize procedures for meter recordkeeping with the electronic information system. Accelerate meter accuracy testing and meter replacements guided by testing results.		to qualify for 8: Expand annual meter accuracy testing to evaluate a statistically significant number of meter makes/models. Expand meter replacement program to replace statistically significant number of poor performing meters each year.		to qualify for 10: Continue efforts to manage meter population with reliable recordkeeping, meter testing and replacement. Evaluate new meter types and install one or more types in 5-10 customer accounts each year in order to pilot improving metering technology.		to maintain 10: Increase the number of meters tested and replaced as justified by meter accuracy test data. Continually monitor development of new technology in Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) to grasp opportunities for greater accuracy in metering and customer consumption data.
Systematic Data Handling Error:	Note: all water utilities incur some amount of this error. Even in water utilities with unmetered customer populations and fixed rate billing, errors occur in annual billing tabulations. Enter a positive value for the volume and select a grading.	Vague policy for permitting (creating new customer accounts) and billing. Billing data maintained on paper records which are in disarray. No audits conducted to confirm billing data handling efficiency. Unknown number of customers escape routine billing due to lack of billing process oversight.	Policy for permitting and billing exists but needs refinement. Billing data maintained on paper records or insufficiently capable electronic database. Only periodic unstructured auditing work conducted to confirm billing data handling efficiency. Volume of unbilled water due to billing lapses is a guess.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Policy and procedures for permitting and billing exist but needs refinement. Computerized billing system exists, but is dated or lacks needed functionality. Periodic, limited internal audits conducted and confirm with approximate accuracy the consumption volumes lost to billing lapses.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Policy for permitting and billing is adequate and reviewed periodically. Computerized billing system in use with basic reporting available. Any effect of billing adjustments on measured consumption volumes is well understood. Internal checks of billing data error conducted annually. Reasonably accurate quantification of consumption volume lost to billing lapses is obtained.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Permitting and billing policy reviewed at least biannually. Computerized billing system includes an array of reports to confirm billing data and system functionality. Annual internal checks conducted with periodic third party audit. Accountability checks flag billing lapses. Consumption lost to billing lapses is well quantified and reducing year-by-year.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Sound policy exists for permitting of all customer billing accounts. Robust computerized billing system gives high functionality and reporting capabilities. Assessment of policy and data handling errors conducted internally and audited by third party annually, ensuring consumption lost to billing lapses is minimized and detected as it occurs.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Systematic Data Handling Error volume" component:		to qualify for 2: Draft written policy for permitting and billing. Investigate and budget for computerized customer billing system. Conduct initial audit of billing records by flow-charting the basic business processes of the customer account/billing function.	to qualify for 4: Finalize written policy for permitting and billing. Implement a computerized customer billing system. Conduct initial audit of billing records as part of this process.		to qualify for 6: Refine permitting and billing procedures and ensure consistency with the utility policy regarding billing, and minimize opportunity for missed billings. Upgrade or replace customer billing system for needed functionality - ensure that billing adjustments don't corrupt the value of consumption volumes. Procedurize internal annual audit process.		to qualify for 8: Formalize regular review of permitting and billing practices. Enhance reporting capability of computerized billing system. Formalize regular auditing process to reveal scope of data handling error.		to qualify for 10: Close policy/procedure loopholes that allow some customer accounts to go unbilled, or data handling errors to exist. Ensure that internal and third party audits are conducted annually.		to maintain 10: Stay abreast of customer information management developments and innovations. Monitor developments of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and integrate technology to ensure that customer endpoint information is well-monitored and errors/lapses are at an economic minimum.

Grading											
	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
SYSTEM DATA											
Length of mains:		Poorly assembled and maintained paper as-built records of existing water main installations makes accurate determination of system pipe length impossible. Length of mains is guesstimated.	Paper records in poor condition (no annual tracking of installations & abandonments). Poor procedures to ensure that new water mains installed by developers are accurately documented.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Sound policy and procedures for permitting and documenting new water main installations, but gaps in management result in a uncertain degree of error in tabulation of mains length.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Sound policy and procedures exist for permitting and commissioning new water mains. Highly accurate paper records with regular field validation; or electronic records and asset management system in good condition. Includes system backup.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Sound policy and procedures exist for permitting and commissioning new water mains. Electronic recordkeeping and asset management system are used to store and manage data.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Sound policy exists for managing water mains extensions and replacements. Geographic Information System (GIS) data and asset management database agree and random field validation proves truth of databases.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Length of Water Mains" component:		to qualify for 2: Assign personnel to inventory current as-built records and compare with customer billing system records and highway plans. Assemble policy documents regarding permitting and documentation of water main installations by the utility and building developers; identify gaps in procedure that result in poor documentation.	to qualify for 4: Complete inventory of paper records of water main installations & abandonments for a number of years prior to audit year. Review policy and procedures for commissioning and documenting new water main installation and abandonments.		to qualify for 6: Finalize updates/improvements to policy and procedures for permitting/commissioning new main installations. Confirm inventory of records for five years prior to audit year; correct any errors or omissions.		to qualify for 8: Launch random field checks of limited number of locations. Convert to electronic databases with backup as justified.		to qualify for 10: Link Geographic Information System (GIS) and asset management databases, conduct field verification of data.		to maintain 10: Continue with standardization and random field validation to improve knowledge of system.
Number of active AND inactive service connections:		Vague permitting (of new service connections) policy and poor paper recordkeeping of customer connections/billings result in suspect determination of the number of service connections, which may be 10-15% in error from actual count.	General permitting policy exists but paper records, procedural gaps, and weak oversight result in questionable total for number of connections, which may vary 5-10% of actual count.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Permitting policy and procedures exist, but with some gaps in performance and oversight. Computerized information management system is being brought online to replace dated paper recordkeeping system. Reasonably accurate tracking of service connection installations & abandonments; but count can be up to 5% in error from actual total.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Permitting policy and procedures are adequate and reviewed periodically. Computerized information management system is in use with annual installations & abandonments totaled. Very limited field verifications and audits. Error in count of number of service connections is believed to be no more that 3%.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Permitting policy and procedures reviewed at least biannually. Well-managed computerized information management system and routine, periodic field checks and internal system audits allows counts of connections that is no more than 2% in error.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Sound permitting policy and well managed and audited procedures ensure reliable management of service connection population. Computerized information management system and Geographic Information System (GIS) information agree; field validation proves truth of databases. Count of connections believed to be in error by less than 1%.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Number of Active and Inactive customer service connections" component:		to qualify for 2: Draft new policy and procedures for permitting and billing. Research and collect paper records of installations & abandonments for several years prior to audit year.	to qualify for 4: Refine policy and procedures for permitting and billing. Research computerized recordkeeping system (Customer Information System or Customer Billing System) to improve documentation format for service connections.		to qualify for 6: Refine procedures to ensure consistency with permitting policy to establish new service connections or decommission existing connections. Improve process to include all totals for at least five years prior to audit year.		to qualify for 8: Formalize regular review of permitting policy and procedures. Launch random field checks of limited number of locations. Develop reports and auditing mechanisms for computerized information management system.		to qualify for 10: Close any procedural loopholes that allow installations to go undocumented. Link computerized information management system with Geographic Information System (GIS) and formalize field inspection and information system auditing processes. Documentation of new or decommissioned service connections encounters several levels of checks and balances.		to maintain 10: Continue with standardization and random field validation to improve knowledge of system.
Average length of customer service line:	Note: if customer water meters are located outside of the customer building next to the curbstop or boundary separating utility/customer responsibility, follow the grading description for 10(a). Also see the Service Connection Diagram worksheet.	Gradings 1-9 apply if customer properties are **unmetered**, if customer meters exist and are located **inside the customer building premises, or if the water utility owns and is responsible for the entire service connection piping from the water main to the customer building**. In any of these cases the average distance between the curbstop or boundary separating utility/customer responsibility for service connection piping, and the typical first point of use (ex: faucet) or the customer meter must be quantified. Gradings of 1-9 are used to grade the validity of the means to quantify this value. (See the "Service Connection Diagram" worksheet)									Either of two conditions can be met to obtain a grading of 10: a) The customer water meter is located outside of the customer building adjacent to the curbstop or boundary separating utility/customer responsibility for the service connection piping. In this case enter a value of zero in the Reporting Worksheet with a grading of 10. b). Customer water meters are located inside customer buildings, or the properties are unmetered. In either case the distance is highly reliable since data is drawn from a Geographic Information System (GIS) and confirmed by routine field checks.
		Vague policy exists to define the delineation of water utility ownership and customer ownership of the service connection piping. Curbstops are perceived as the breakpoint but these have not been well-maintained or documented. Most are buried or obscured. Their location varies widely from site-to-site, and estimating this distance is arbitrary due to the unknown location of many curbstops.	Policy requires that the curbstop serves as the delineation point between water utility ownership and customer ownership of the service connection piping. The piping from the water main to the curbstop is the property of the water utility; and the piping from the curbstop to the customer building is owned by the customer. Curbstop locations are not well documented and the average distance is based upon a limited number of locations measured in the field.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Good policy requires that the curbstop serves as the delineation point between water utility ownership and customer ownership of the service connection piping. Curbstops are generally installed as needed and are reasonably documented. Their location varies widely from site-to-site, and an estimate of this distance is hindered by the availability of paper records.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Clear policy exists to define utility/customer responsibility for service connection piping. Accurate, well-maintained paper or basic electronic recordkeeping system exists. Periodic field checks confirm piping lengths for a sample of customer properties.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Clearly worded policy standardizes the location of curbstops and meters, which are inspected upon installation. Accurate and well maintained electronic records exist with periodic field checks to confirm locations of service lines, curbstops and customer meter pits. An accurate number of customer properties from the customer billing system allows for reliable averaging of this length.	Conditions between 8 and 10	

Grading											
	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Average Length of Customer Service Line" component:		to qualify for 2: Research and collect paper records of service line installations. Inspect several sites in the field using pipe locators to locate curbstops. Obtain the length of this small sample of connections in this manner.	to qualify for 4: Formalize and communicate policy delineating utility/customer responsibilities for service connection piping. Assess accuracy of paper records by field inspection of a small sample of service connections using pipe locators as needed. Research the potential migration to a computerized information management system to store service connection data.		to qualify for 6: Establish coherent procedures to ensure that policy for curbstop, meter installation and documentation is followed. Gain consensus within the water utility for the establishment of a computerized information management system.		to qualify for 8: Implement an electronic means of recordkeeping, typically via a customer information system or customer billing system. Standardize the process to conduct field checks of limited number of locations.		to qualify for 10: Link customer information management system and Geographic Information System (GIS), standardize process for field verification of data.		to maintain 10: Continue with standardization and random field validation to improve knowledge of system.
Average operating pressure:		Available records are poorly assembled and maintained paper records of supply pump characteristics and water distribution system operating conditions. Average pressure is guesstimated based upon this information and ground elevations from crude topographical maps. Widely varying distribution system pressures due to undulating terrain, high system head loss and weak/erratic pressure controls further compromise the validity of the average pressure calculation.	Limited telemetry monitoring of scattered sites provides some static pressure data, which is recorded in handwritten logbooks. Pressure data is gathered at individual sites only when low pressure complaints arise. Average pressure is determined by averaging relatively crude data, and is affected by significant variation in ground elevations, system head loss and gaps in pressure controls in the distribution system.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Effective pressure controls separate different pressure zones; moderate pressure variation across the system, occasional open boundary valves are discovered that breech pressure zones. Basic telemetry monitoring of the distribution system logs pressure data electronically. Pressure data gathered by gauges or dataloggers at fire hydrants or buildings when low pressure complaints arise, and during fire flow tests and system flushing. Reliable topographical data exists. Average pressure is calculated using this mix of data.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Reliable pressure controls separate distinct pressure zones; only very occasional open boundary valves are encountered that breech pressure zones. Well-covered telemetry monitoring of the distribution system logs extensive pressure data electronically. Pressure gathered by gauges/dataloggers at fire hydrants and buildings when low pressure complaints arise, and during fire flow tests and system flushing. Average pressure is determined by using this mix of reliable data.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Well-managed, discrete pressure zones exist with generally predictable pressure fluctuations. A current full-scale SCADA System exists to monitor the water distribution system and collect data, including real time pressure readings at representative sites across the system. The average system pressure is determined from reliable SCADA System data.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Well-managed pressure districts/zones, SCADA System and hydraulic model exist to give very precise pressure data across the water distribution system. Average system pressure is reliably calculated from extensive, reliable, and cross-checked data.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Average Operating Pressure" component:		to qualify for 2: Employ pressure gauging and/or datalogging equipment to obtain pressure measurements from fire hydrants. Locate accurate topographical maps of service area in order to confirm ground elevations. Research pump data sheets to find pump pressure/flow characteristics	to qualify for 4: Formalize a procedure to use pressure gauging/datalogging equipment to gather pressure data during various system events such as low pressure complaints, or operational testing. Gather pump pressure and flow data at different flow regimes. Identify faulty pressure controls (pressure reducing valves, altitude valves, partially open boundary valves) and plan to properly configure pressure zones. Make all pressure data from these efforts available to generate system-wide average pressure.		to qualify for 6: Expand the use of pressure gauging/datalogging equipment to gather scattered pressure data at a representative set of sites, based upon pressure zones or areas. Utilize pump pressure and flow data to determine supply head entering each pressure zone or district. Correct any faulty pressure controls (pressure reducing valves, altitude valves, partially open boundary valves) to ensure properly configured pressure zones. Use expanded pressure dataset from these activities to generate system-wide average pressure.		to qualify for 8: Install a Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) System to monitor system parameters and control operations. Set regular calibration schedule for instrumentation to insure data accuracy. Obtain accurate topographical data and utilize pressure data gathered from field surveys to provide extensive, reliable data for pressure averaging.		to qualify for 10: Obtain average pressure data from hydraulic model of the distribution system that has been calibrated via field measurements in the water distribution system and confirmed in comparisons with SCADA System data.		to maintain 10: Continue to refine the hydraulic model of the distribution system and consider linking it with SCADA System for real-time pressure data calibration, and averaging.

Grading											
	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
COST DATA											
Total annual cost of operating water system:		Incomplete paper records and lack of documentation on many operating functions making calculation of water system operating costs a pure guesstimate	Reasonably maintained, but incomplete, paper or electronic accounting provides data to estimate the major portion of water system operating costs.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place. Gaps in data known to exist, periodic internal reviews conducted but not a structured audit.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent water system operating costs tracked. Data audited periodically by utility personnel, not a Certified Public Accountant (CPA).	Conditions between 6 and 8	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent water system operating costs tracked. Data audited at least annually by utility personnel, and periodically by third-party CPA.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent water system operating costs tracked. Data audited annually by utility personnel and by third-party CPA.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Total Annual Cost of Operating the Water System" component:		to qualify for 2: Gather available records, institute new procedures to regularly collect and audit basic cost data of most important operations functions.	to qualify for 4: Implement an electronic cost accounting system, structured according to accounting standards for water utilities		to qualify for 6: Establish process for periodic internal audit of water system operating costs; identify cost data gaps and institute procedures for tracking these outstanding costs.		to qualify for 8: Standardize the process to conduct routine financial audit on an annual basis.		to qualify for 10: Standardize the process to conduct a third-party financial audit by a CPA on an annual basis.		to maintain 10: Maintain program, stay abreast of expenses subject to erratic cost changes and budget/track costs proactively
Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses):		Antiquated, cumbersome water rate structure is use, with periodic historic amendments that were poorly documented and implemented; resulting in classes of customers being billed inconsistent charges. The actual composite billing rate likely differs significantly from the published water rate structure, but a lack of auditing leaves the degree of error indeterminate.	Dated, cumbersome water rate structure, not always employed consistently in actual billing operations. The actual composite billing rate is known to differ from the published water rate structure, and a reasonably accurate estimate of the degree of error is determined, allowing a composite billing rate to be quantified.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Straight-forward water rate structure in use, but not updated in several years. Billing operations reliably employ the rate structure. The composite billing rate is derived from a single customer class such as residential customer accounts, neglecting the effect of different rates from varying customer classes.	Customer population unmetered. Fixed fee charged; single composite number derived from multiple customer classes.	Clearly written, up-to-date water rate structure is in force and is applied reliably in billing operations. Composite customer rate is determined using a weighted average residential rate using volumes of water in each rate block.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Effective water rate structure is in force and is applied reliably in billing operations. Composite customer rate is determined using a weighted average composite consumption rate, including residential, commercial, industrial and any other customer classes within the water rate structure.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Third party reviewed weighted average composite consumption rate (includes residential, commercial, industrial, etc.)
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Customer Retail Unit Cost" component:		to qualify for 2: Formalize the process to implement water rates, including a secure documentation procedure. Create a current, formal water rate document and gain approval from all stakeholders.	to qualify for 4: Review the water rate structure and update/formalize as needed. Assess billing operations to ensure that actual billing operations incorporate the established water rate structure.		to qualify for 6: Evaluate volume of water used in each usage block by residential users. Multiply volumes by full rate structure.	Meter customers and charge rates based upon water volumes	to qualify for 8: Evaluate volume of water used in each usage block by all classifications of users. Multiply volumes by full rate structure.		to qualify for 10: Conduct a periodic third-party audit of water used in each usage block by all classifications of users. Multiply volumes by full rate structure.		to maintain 10: Keep water rate structure current in addressing the water utility's revenue needs. Update the calculation of the customer unit rate as new rate components, customer classes, or other components are modified.
Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses):	Note: if the water utility purchases/imports its entire water supply, then enter the unit purchase cost of the bulk water supply in the Reporting Worksheet with a grading of 10	Incomplete paper records and lack of documentation on primary operating functions (electric power and treatment costs most importantly) makes calculation of variable production costs a pure guesstimate	Reasonably maintained, but incomplete, paper or electronic accounting provides data to roughly estimate the basic operations costs (pumping power costs and treatment costs) and calculate a unit variable production cost.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place. Electric power and treatment costs are reliably tracked and allow accurate calculation of unit variable production costs based on these two inputs only. All costs are audited internally on a periodic basis.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent water system operating costs tracked. Pertinent additional costs beyond power and treatment (ex: liability, residuals management, etc.) are included in the unit variable production cost. Data audited at least annually by utility personnel.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent variable production costs tracked. Data audited at least annually by utility personnel, and periodically by third-party.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Either of two conditions can be met to obtain a grading of 10: 1) Third party CPA audit of all primary and secondary cost components on an annual basis. or: 2) Water supply is entirely purchased as bulk imported water, and unit purchase cost serves as the variable production cost.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Variable Production Cost" component:		to qualify for 2: Gather available records, institute new procedures to regularly collect and audit basic cost data and most important operations functions.	to qualify for 4: Implement an electronic cost accounting system, structured according to accounting standards for water utilities		to qualify for 6: Formalize process for regular internal audits of production costs. Assess whether additional costs (liability, residuals management, etc.) should be included to calculate a more accurate variable production cost.		to qualify for 8: Formalize the accounting process to include primary cost components (power, treatment) as well as secondary components (liability, residuals management, etc.) Conduct periodic third-party audits.		to qualify for 10: Standardize the process to conduct a third-party financial audit by a CPA on an annual basis.		to maintain 10: Maintain program, stay abreast of expenses subject to erratic cost changes and budget/track costs proactively

AWWA WLCC Free Water Audit Software: Customer Service Line Diagrams

Copyright © 2010, American Water Works Association. All Rights Reserved.

WAS v4.1

Back to Instructions

Return to Reporting Worksheet

Average Length of Customer Service Line

The three figures shown on this worksheet display the assignment of the Average Length of Customer Service Line, Lp, for the three most common piping configurations.

Figure 1 shows the configuration of the water meter outside of the customer building next to the curbstop valve. In this configuration Lp = 0 since the distance between the curbstop and the customer metering point is essentially zero.

Figure 2 shows the configuration of the customer water meter located inside the customer building, where Lp is the distance from the curbstop to the water meter.

Figure 3 shows the configuration of an unmetered customer building , where Lp is the distance from the curbstop to the first point of customer water consumption, or, more simply, the building line.

In any water system the Lp will vary notably in a community of different structures, therefore the average Lp value is used and this should be approximated or calculated if a sample of service line measurements has been gathered.

Click for more information



Figure 1 Typical house connection: Meter at curb stop
Not to scale



Figure 2 Typical house connection: Meter inside property
Not to scale



Figure 3 Typical house connection: Unmetered
Not to scale

AWWA WLCC Free Water Audit Software: Definitions

Copyright © 2010, American Water Works Association. All Rights Reserved.

WAS v4.1

Back to Instructions

Item Name		Description
Apparent Losses	Find	= unauthorized consumption + meter under-registration + data handling errors Includes all types of inaccuracies associated with customer metering as well as data handling errors (meter reading and billing), plus unauthorized consumption (theft or illegal use). NOTE: Over-registration of customer meters, leads to under-estimation of Real Losses. Under-registration of customer meters, leads to over-estimation of Real Losses.
AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION	Find	= billed metered + billed unmetered + unbilled metered + unbilled unmetered The volume of metered and/or unmetered water taken by registered customers, the water supplier and others who are implicitly or explicitly authorized to do so by the water supplier, for residential, commercial and industrial purposes. This does NOT include water sold to neighboring utilities (water exported). Authorized consumption may include items such as fire fighting and training, flushing of mains and sewers, street cleaning, watering of municipal gardens, public fountains, frost protection, building water, etc. These may be billed or unbilled, metered or unmetered.
Average length of customer service line	Find	This is entered for unmetered services and in cold or other areas where meters are installed inside homes and buildings. It is the length of customer service line either between the utility's service connection (often at the curbstop) and the meter, or to the building line (first point of customer consumption) if customers are unmetered. Note that the length of service connection between the main and customer service line is owned by the utility and its length and potential leakage is accounted for in the UARL formula by the number of service connections. **What role does the "Average Length of Customer Service Line" parameter serve in the Water Audit?** In many water distribution systems the water utility has maintenance responsibility for a portion of the customer service piping from its connection point at the water main to the curbstop valve located midway to the customer building. The customer is responsible to maintain the customer service piping from the curbstop to the building premises. When leaks arise on customer service piping, water utilities respond faster to repair leaks than customers when the leak is on piping under their responsibility. Leak durations are longer on the customer-maintained piping than the utility-maintained piping. The total length of pipe maintained by customers is one of the components of the Unavoidable Annual Real Loss (UARL) equation and is determined by multiplying the average length of customer maintained pipe, Lp by the number of customer service connections. Therefore this parameter is important to the calculation of the UARL and the Infrastructure leakage Index (ILI). Click to see Service Connection Diagram
Average operating pressure	Find	The average pressure may be approximated when compiling the preliminary water audit. Once routine water auditing has been established, a more accurate assessment of average pressure should be pursued. If the water utility infrastructure is recorded in a Geographical Information System (GIS) the average pressure at many locations in the distribution system can be readily obtained. If a GIS does not exist, a weighted average of pressure data can be calculated from water pressure measured at various fire hydrants scattered across the water distribution system.
Billed Authorized Consumption		All consumption that is billed and authorized by the utility. This may include both metered and unmetered consumption. See "Authorized Consumption" for more information.
Billed metered consumption	Find	All metered consumption which is billed. This includes all groups of customers such as domestic, commercial, industrial or institutional. It does NOT include water sold to neighboring utilities (water exported) which is metered and billed. The metered consumption data can be taken directly from billing records for the water audit period. The accuracy of yearly metered consumption data can be refined by including an adjustment to account for customer meter reading lagtime, however additional analysis is necessary to determine the adjustment value, which may or may not be significant.
Billed unmetered consumption	Find	All billed consumption which is calculated based on estimates or norms but is not metered. This might be a very small component in fully metered systems (for example billing based on estimates for the period a customer meter is out of order) but can be the key consumption component in systems without universal metering. It does NOT include water sold to neighboring utilities (water exported) which is unmetered but billed.
Connection density		=number of connections / length of mains

Item Name		Description
Customer metering inaccuracies	Find	Apparent water losses caused by the collective under-registration of customer water meters. Many customer water meters will wear as large cumulative volumes of water are passed through them over time. This causes the meters to under-register. The auditor has two options for entering data for this component of the audit. The auditor can enter a percentage under-registration (typically an estimated value), this will apply the selected percentage to the two categories of metered consumption to determine the volume of water not recorded due to customer meter inaccuracy. Alternatively, if the auditor has substantial data from meter testing to arrive at their own volumes of such losses, this volume may be entered directly. Note that a value of zero will be accepted but an alert will appear asking if the customer population is unmetered. Since all metered systems have some degree of inaccuracy, then a positive value should be entered. A value of zero in this component is valid only if the water utility does not meter its customer population.
Customer retail unit cost	Find	The Customer Retail Unit Cost represents the charge that customers pay for water service. This unit cost is applied to the components of apparent loss, since these losses represent water reaching customers but not (fully) paid for. It is important to compile these costs per the same unit cost basis as the volume measure included in the water audit. For example, if all water volumes are measured in million gallons, then the unit cost should be dollars per million gallon ($/mil gal). The software allows the user to select the units that are charged to customers (either $/1,000 gallons, $/hundred cubic feet or $/1,000 litres) and automatically converts these units to the units that appear in the "WATER SUPPLIED" box. Since most water utilities have a rate structure that includes a variety of different costs based upon class of customer, a weighted average of individual costs and number of customer accounts in each class can be calculated to determine a single composite cost that should be entered into this cell. Finally, the weighted average cost should also include additional charges for sewer, stormwater or biosolids processing, if these charges are based upon the volume of potable water consumed.
Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI)	Find	The ratio of the Current Annual Real Losses (Real Losses) to the Unavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL). The ILI is a highly effective performance indicator for comparing (benchmarking) the performance of utilities in operational management of real losses.
Length of mains	Find	Length of all pipelines (except service connections) in the system starting from the point of system input metering (for example at the outlet of the treatment plant). It is also recommended to include in this measure the total length of fire hydrant lead pipe. Hydrant lead pipe is the pipe branching from the water main to the fire hydrant. Fire hydrant leads are typically of a sufficiently large size that is more representative of a pipeline than a service connection. The average length of hydrant leads across the entire system can be assumed if not known, and multiplied by the number of fire hydrants in the system, which can also be assumed if not known. This value can then be added to the total pipeline length. Total length of mains can therefore be calculated as: Length of Mains, miles = (total pipeline length, miles) + [{(average fire hydrant lead length, ft) x (number of fire hydrants)} / 5,280 ft/mile] or Length of Mains, kilometres = (total pipeline length, kilometres) + [{(average fire hydrant lead length, metres) x (number of fire hydrants)} / 1,000 metres/kilometre]
Master meter error adjustment	Find	An estimate or measure of the degree of any inaccuracy that exists in the master meters measuring the Volume from own sources. Please also indicate if this adjustment is because the master meters under-registered (did not capture all the flow) or over-registered (overstated the actual flow). All systems encounter some degree of error in their Master Meter data. Please enter a positive value.
NON-REVENUE WATER	Find	= Apparent Losses + Real Losses + Unbilled Metered + Unbilled Unmetered Water which does not provide any revenue to the utility
Number of active AND inactive service connections	Find	Number of service connections, main to curb stop. Please note that this includes the actual number of distinct piping connections including fire connections whether active or inactive. This may differ substantially from the number of Customers (or number of accounts)
Real Losses	Find	Physical water losses from the pressurized system and the utility's storage tanks, up to the point of customer consumption. In metered systems this is the customer meter, in unmetered situations this is the first point of consumption (stop tap/tap) within the property. The annual volume lost through all types of leaks, breaks and overflows depends on frequencies, flow rates, and average duration of individual leaks, breaks and overflows.
Revenue Water		Water which is charged to customers to provide revenue to the utility.
Systematic data handling errors	Find	Apparent water losses caused by systematic data handling errors in the meter reading and billing system.
Total annual cost of operating the water system	Find	These costs include those for operations, maintenance and any annually incurred costs for long-term upkeep of the system, such as repayment of capital bonds for infrastructure expansion or improvement. Typical costs include employee salaries and benefits, materials, equipment, insurance, fees, administrative costs and all other costs that exist to sustain the drinking water supply. These costs should not include any costs to operate wastewater, biosolids or other systems outside of drinking water.

Item Name		Description
Unauthorized consumption	Find	Includes water illegally withdrawn from hydrants, illegal connections, bypasses to consumption meter or meter reading equipment tampering. While this component has a direct impact on revenue, in most water utilities the volume is low and it is recommended that the auditor apply a default value of 0.25% of the volume from own sources. If the auditor has well validated data that indicates the volume from unauthorized consumption is substantially higher or lower than that generated by the default value then this value can be entered. However, for most water utilities it is recommended to apply the default value. Note that a value of zero will not be accepted since all water utilities have some volume of unauthorized consumption occurring in their system.
Unavoidable Annual Real Losses (UARL)	Find	UARL (gallons/day)=(5.41Lm + 0.15Nc + 7.5Lc) xP, or UARL (litres/day)=(18.0Lm + 0.8Nc + 25.0Lc) xP where: Lm = length of mains (miles or kilometres) Nc = number of service connections Lc = total length of customer service lines (miles or km) = Nc multiplied by the average distance of customer service line, Lp (miles or km) P = Pressure (psi or metres) Click to see Service Connection Diagram The UARL is a theoretical reference value representing the technical low limit of leakage that could be achieved if all of today's best technology could be successfully applied. It is a key variable in the calculation of the Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI). It is not necessary that water utilities set this level as the target level of leakage, unless water is unusually expensive, scarce or both. NOTE: The UARL calculation has not yet been fully proven as effective for very small, or low pressure water distribution systems. If, in gallons per day: (Lm x 32) + Nc < 3000 or P <35psi in litres per day: (Lm x 20) + Nc < 3000 or P < 25m then the calculated UARL value may not be valid. The software does not display a value of UARL or ILI if either of these conditions is true.
Unbilled Authorized Consumption		All consumption that is unbilled, but still authorized by the utility. See "Authorized Consumption" for more information.
Unbilled metered consumption	Find	Metered Consumption which is for any reason unbilled. This might for example include metered consumption of the utility itself or water provided to institutions free of charge. It does NOT include water sold to neighboring utilities (water exported) which is metered but unbilled.
Unbilled unmetered consumption	Find	Any kind of Authorized Consumption which is neither billed nor metered. This component typically includes items such as fire fighting, flushing of mains and sewers, street cleaning, frost protection, etc. In most water utilities it is a small component which is very often substantially overestimated. It does NOT include water sold to neighboring utilities (water exported) which is unmetered and unbilled – an unlikely case. This component has many sub-components of water use which are often tedious to identify and quantify. Because of this, and the fact that it is usually a small portion of the water supplied, it is recommended that the auditor apply the default value of 1.25% of the volume from own sources. Select the default percentage to enter this value. If the water utility already has well validated data that gives a value substantially higher or lower than the default volume, then the auditor should enter their own volume. However the default approach is recommended for most water utilities. Note that a value of zero is not permitted, since all water utilities have some volume of water in this component occurring in their system.
Units and Conversions	Find	The user may develop an audit based on one of three unit selections: 1) Million Gallons (US) 2) Megalitres (Thousand Cubic Metres) 3) Acre-feet Once this selection has been made in the instructions sheet, all calculations are made on the basis of the chosen units. Should the user wish to make additional conversions, a unit converter is provided below (use drop down menus to select units from the yellow unit boxes): Enter Units: Convert From… **Converts to…..** 1 **Million Gallons (US)** = 1 **Million Gallons (US)** (conversion factor = 1)

Item Name		Description
Use of Option Buttons	Find	To use the percent value choose this button To enter a value choose this button and enter the value in the cell to the right  **NOTE:** For unbilled unmetered consumption and unauthorized consumption, a recommended default value can be applied by selecting the Percent option. The default values are based on fixed percentages of water supplied and are recommended for use in this audit unless the auditor has well validated data for their system. Default values are shown by purple cells, as shown in the example above. If a default value is selected, the user does not need to grade the item; a grading value of 3 is automatically applied (however, this grade will not be displayed).
Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses)	Find	The cost to produce and supply the next unit of water. (E.g., $/million gallons) This cost is determined by calculating the summed unit costs for ground and surface water treatment and all power used for pumping from the source to the customer. It should also include the unit cost of bulk water purchased as an import if applicable.
Volume from own sources	Find	The volume of treated water input to system from own production facilities
Water exported	Find	Bulk water sold and conveyed out of the water distribution system. Typically this is water sold to a neighboring water utility. Be sure to account for any export meter inaccuracy in reporting this volume
Water imported	Find	Bulk water purchased to become part of the water supplied. Typically this is water purchased from a neighboring water utility or regional water authority. Be sure to account for any import meter inaccuracy in reporting this volume
WATER LOSSES	Find	= apparent losses + real losses The difference between System Input and Authorized Consumption. Water losses can be considered as a total volume for the whole system, or for partial systems such as transmission or distribution systems, or individual zones. Water Losses consist of Real Losses and Apparent Losses.

AWWA WLCC Free Water Audit Software: Determining Water Loss Standing

Copyright © 2010, American Water Works Association. All Rights Reserved.

WAS v4.1

Back to Instructions

Water Loss Control Planning Guide

Functional Focus Area	Water Audit Data Validity Level / Score				
	Level I (0-25)	**Level II** (26-50)	**Level III** (51-70)	**Level IV** (71-90)	**Level V** (91-100)
Audit Data Collection	Launch auditing and loss control team; address production metering deficiencies	Analyze business process for customer metering and billing functions and water supply operations. Identify data gaps.	Establish/revise policies and procedures for data collection	Refine data collection practices and establish as routine business process	Annual water audit is a reliable gauge of year-to-year water efficiency standing
Short-term loss control	Research information on leak detection programs. Begin flowcharting analysis of customer billing system	Conduct loss assessment investigations on a sample portion of the system: customer meter testing, leak survey, unauthorized consumption, etc.	Establish ongoing mechanisms for customer meter accuracy testing, active leakage control and infrastructure monitoring	Refine, enhance or expand ongoing programs based upon economic justification	Stay abreast of improvements in metering, meter reading, billing, leakage management and infrastructure rehabilitation
Long-term loss control		Begin to assess long-term needs requiring large expenditure: customer meter replacement, water main replacement program, new customer billing system or Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) system.	Begin to assemble economic business case for long-term needs based upon improved data becoming available through the water audit process.	Conduct detailed planning, budgeting and launch of comprehensive improvements for metering, billing or infrastructure management	Continue incremental improvements in short-term and long-term loss control interventions
Target-setting			Establish long-term apparent and real loss reduction goals (+10 year horizon)	Establish mid-range (5 year horizon) apparent and real loss reduction goals	Evaluate and refine loss control goals on a yearly basis
Benchmarking			Preliminary Comparisons - can begin to rely upon the Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) for performance comparisons for real losses (see below table)	Performance Benchmarking - ILI is meaningful in comparing real loss standing	Identify Best Practices/ Best in class - the ILI is very reliable as a real loss performance indicator for best in class service

For validity scores of 50 or below, the shaded blocks should not be focus areas until better data validity is achieved.

Once data has been entered into the Reporting Worksheet, the performance indicators are automatically calculated. How does a water utility operator know how well his or her system is performing? The AWWA Water Loss Control Committee provided the following table to assist water utilities is gauging an approximate Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) that is appropriate for their water system and local conditions. The lower the amount of leakage and real losses that exist in the system, then the lower the ILI value will be.
Note: this table offers an approximate guideline for leakage reduction target-setting. The best means of setting such targets include performing an economic assessment of various loss control methods. However, this table is useful if such an assessment is not possible.

General Guidelines for Setting a Target ILI
(without doing a full economic analysis of leakage control options)

Target ILI Range	Financial Considerations	Operational Considerations	Water Resources Considerations
1.0 - 3.0	Water resources are costly to develop or purchase; ability to increase revenues via water rates is greatly limited because of regulation or low ratepayer affordability.	Operating with system leakage above this level would require expansion of existing infrastructure and/or additional water resources to meet the demand.	Available resources are greatly limited and are very difficult and/or environmentally unsound to develop.
>3.0 -5.0	Water resources can be developed or purchased at reasonable expense; periodic water rate increases can be feasibly imposed and are tolerated by the customer population.	Existing water supply infrastructure capability is sufficient to meet long-term demand as long as reasonable leakage management controls are in place.	Water resources are believed to be sufficient to meet long-term needs, but demand management interventions (leakage management, water conservation) are included in the long-term planning.
>5.0 - 8.0	Cost to purchase or obtain/treat water is low, as are rates charged to customers.	Superior reliability, capacity and integrity of the water supply infrastructure make it relatively immune to supply shortages.	Water resources are plentiful, reliable, and easily extracted.
Greater than 8.0	Although operational and financial considerations may allow a long-term ILI greater than 8.0, such a level of leakage is not an effective utilization of water as a resource. Setting a target level greater than 8.0 - other than as an incremental goal to a smaller long-term target - is discouraged.		
Less than 1.0	If the calculated Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) value for your system is 1.0 or less, two possibilities exist. a) you are maintaining your leakage at low levels in a class with the top worldwide performers in leakage control. b) A portion of your data may be flawed, causing your losses to be greatly understated. This is likely if you calculate a low ILI value but do not employ extensive leakage control practices in your operations. In such cases it is beneficial to validate the data by performing field measurements to confirm the accuracy of production and customer meters, or to identify any other potential sources of error in the data.		

AWWA WLCC Free Water Audit Software: Acknowledgements

Copyright © 2010, American Water Works Association. All Rights Reserved.

WAS v4.1

Back to Instructions

AWWA Water Audit Software Version 4.1 Developed by the Water Loss Control Committee of the American Water Works Association January 2010

This software is intended to serve as a basic tool to compile a preliminary, or "top-down", water audit. It is recommended that users also refer to the 3rd Edition AWWA M36 Publication, Water Audits and Loss Control Programs, for detailed guidance on compiling a comprehensive, or "bottom-up", water audit using the same water audit methodology.

DEVELOPED BY: ANDREW CHASTAIN-HOWLEY, Miya Water
DAVID GOFF, P.E. Goff Water Audits & Engineering
GEORGE KUNKEL, P.E. Philadelphia Water Department
ALAIN LALONDE, Veritec Consulting
DAVID SAYERS, Delaware River Basin Commission

REFERENCES:

- *Alegre, H., Hirner, W., Baptista, J. and Parena, R. Performance Indicators for Water Supply Services. IWA Publishing 'Manual of Best Practice' Series, 2000. ISBN 1 900222 272*
- *Kunkel, G. et al, 2003. Water Loss Control Committee Report: Applying Worldwide Best Management Practices in Water Loss Control. Journal AWWA, 95:8:65*
- *AWWA Water Audits and Loss Control Programs, M36 Publication, 3rd Edition, 2009*
- *Service Connection Diagrams courtesy of Ronnie McKenzie, WRP Pty Ltd.*

Appendix D
SBX7-7 Detailed Calculations

SB X7-7 Table 0: Units of Measure Used in UWMP* *(select one from the drop down list)*
Acre Feet
**The unit of measure must be consistent with Table 2-3*
NOTES:

SB X7-7 Table-1: Baseline Period Ranges			
Baseline	**Parameter**	**Value**	**Units**
10- to 15-year baseline period	2008 total water deliveries	38,148	Acre Feet
	2008 total volume of delivered recycled water	4,867	Acre Feet
	2008 recycled water as a percent of total deliveries	12.76%	Percent
	Number of years in baseline period[1, 2]	10	Years
	Year beginning baseline period range	1999	
	Year ending baseline period range[3]	2008	
5-year baseline period	Number of years in baseline period	5	Years
	Year beginning baseline period range	2004	
	Year ending baseline period range[4]	2008	

[1] If the 2008 recycled water percent is less than 10 percent, then the first baseline period is a continuous 10-year period. If the amount of recycled water delivered in 2008 is 10 percent or greater, the first baseline period is a continuous 10- to 15-year period. [2] The Water Code requires that the baseline period is between 10 and 15 years. However, DWR recognizes that some water suppliers may not have the minimum 10 years of baseline data.

[3] The ending year must be between December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2010.

[4] The ending year must be between December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2010.

NOTES:

SB X7-7 Table 2: Method for Population Estimates	
Method Used to Determine Population (may check more than one)	
☐	**1. Department of Finance** (DOF) DOF Table E-8 (1990 - 2000) and (2000-2010) and DOF Table E-5 (2011 - 2015) when available
☐	**2. Persons-per-Connection Method**
☐	**3. DWR Population Tool**
☑	**4. Other** DWR recommends pre-review
NOTES: SANDAG Series 13 population estimates used to calculate baseline	

SB X7-7 Table 3: Service Area Population		
Year		Population
10 to 15 Year Baseline Population		
Year 1	1999	127,429
Year 2	2000	134,686
Year 3	2001	144,219
Year 4	2002	154,936
Year 5	2003	163,925
Year 6	2004	173,279
Year 7	2005	180,704
Year 8	2006	186,119
Year 9	2007	191,032
Year 10	2008	194,791
Year 11		
Year 12		
Year 13		
Year 14		
Year 15		
5 Year Baseline Population		
Year 1	2004	173,279
Year 2	2005	180,704
Year 3	2006	186,119
Year 4	2007	191,032
Year 5	2008	194,791
2015 Compliance Year Population		
2015		217,339
NOTES:		

SB X7-7 Table 4: Annual Gross Water Use *								
Baseline Year *Fm SB X7-7 Table 3*		**Volume Into Distribution System** *This column will remain blank until SB X7-7 Table 4-A is completed.*	**Deductions**					**Annual Gross Water Use**
			Exported Water	**Change in Dist. System Storage** (+/-)	**Indirect Recycled Water** *This column will remain blank until SB X7-7 Table 4-B is completed.*	**Water Delivered for Agricultural Use**	**Process Water** *This column will remain blank until SB X7-7 Table 4-D is completed.*	
10 to 15 Year Baseline - Gross Water Use								
Year 1	1999	25,442			-		-	25,442
Year 2	2000	29,901			-		-	29,901
Year 3	2001	30,002			-		-	30,002
Year 4	2002	35,182			-		-	35,182
Year 5	2003	34,536			-		-	34,536
Year 6	2004	39,579			-		-	39,579
Year 7	2005	37,678			-		-	37,678
Year 8	2006	41,258			-		-	41,258
Year 9	2007	41,909			-		-	41,909
Year 10	2008	38,045			-		-	38,045
Year 11	0	-			-		-	-
Year 12	0	-			-		-	-
Year 13	0	-			-		-	-
Year 14	0	-			-		-	-
Year 15	0	-			-		-	-
10 - 15 year baseline average gross water use								**35,353**
5 Year Baseline - Gross Water Use								
Year 1	2004	39,579			-		-	39,579
Year 2	2005	37,678			-		-	37,678
Year 3	2006	41,258			-		-	41,258
Year 4	2007	41,909			-		-	41,909
Year 5	2008	38,045			-		-	38,045
5 year baseline average gross water use								**39,694**
2015 Compliance Year - Gross Water Use								
2015		30,299	-		-		-	**30,299**

* NOTE that the units of measure must remain consistent throughout the UWMP, as reported in Table 2-3

NOTES:

SB X7-7 Table 4-A: Volume Entering the Distribution System(s)

Complete one table for each source.

Name of Source	San Diego County Water Authority
This water source is:	
☐	The supplier's own water source
☑	A purchased or imported source

Baseline Year *Fm SB X7-7 Table 3*		Volume Entering Distribution System	Meter Error Adjustment* *Optional (+/-)*	Corrected Volume Entering Distribution System
10 to 15 Year Baseline - Water into Distribution System				
Year 1	1999	25442		-
Year 2	2000	29901		-
Year 3	2001	30002		-
Year 4	2002	35182		-
Year 5	2003	34536		-
Year 6	2004	39579		-
Year 7	2005	37678		-
Year 8	2006	41258		-
Year 9	2007	41909		-
Year 10	2008	38045		-
Year 11	0			-
Year 12	0			-
Year 13	0			-
Year 14	0			-
Year 15	0			-
5 Year Baseline - Water into Distribution System				
Year 1	2004	39579		-
Year 2	2005	37678		-
Year 3	2006	41258		-
Year 4	2007	41909		-
Year 5	2008	38045		-
2015 Compliance Year - Water into Distribution System				
2015		30,299		-

** Meter Error Adjustment - See guidance in Methodology 1, Step 3 of Methodologies Document*

NOTES:

SB X7-7 Table 5: Gallons Per Capita Per Day (GPCD)				
Baseline Year *Fm SB X7-7 Table 3*		Service Area Population *Fm SB X7-7 Table 3*	Annual Gross Water Use *Fm SB X7-7 Table 4*	Daily Per Capita Water Use (GPCD)
10 to 15 Year Baseline GPCD				
Year 1	1999	127,429	25,442	178
Year 2	2000	134,686	29,901	198
Year 3	2001	144,219	30,002	186
Year 4	2002	154,936	35,182	203
Year 5	2003	163,925	34,536	188
Year 6	2004	173,279	39,579	204
Year 7	2005	180,704	37,678	186
Year 8	2006	186,119	41,258	198
Year 9	2007	191,032	41,909	196
Year 10	2008	194,791	38,045	174
Year 11	0	-	-	
Year 12	0	-	-	
Year 13	0	-	-	
Year 14	0	-	-	
Year 15	0	-	-	
10-15 Year Average Baseline GPCD				**191**
5 Year Baseline GPCD				
Baseline Year *Fm SB X7-7 Table 3*		Service Area Population *Fm SB X7-7 Table 3*	Gross Water Use *Fm SB X7-7 Table 4*	Daily Per Capita Water Use
Year 1	2004	173,279	39,579	204
Year 2	2005	180,704	37,678	186
Year 3	2006	186,119	41,258	198
Year 4	2007	191,032	41,909	196
Year 5	2008	194,791	38,045	174
5 Year Average Baseline GPCD				**192**
2015 Compliance Year GPCD				
2015		217,339	30,299	**124**
NOTES:				

SB X7-7 Table 6: Gallons per Capita per Day *Summary From Table SB X7-7 Table 5*	
10-15 Year Baseline GPCD	191
5 Year Baseline GPCD	192
2015 Compliance Year GPCD	124
NOTES:	

SB X7-7 Table 7: 2020 Target Method *Select Only One*		
Target Method		Supporting Documentation
☑	Method 1	SB X7-7 Table 7A
☐	Method 2	SB X7-7 Tables 7B, 7C, and 7D *Contact DWR for these tables*
☐	Method 3	SB X7-7 Table 7-E
☐	Method 4	Method 4 Calculator
NOTES:		

SB X7-7 Table 7-A: Target Method 1 20% Reduction	
10-15 Year Baseline GPCD	**2020 Target GPCD**
191	**153**
NOTES:	

SB X7-7 Table 7-F: Confirm Minimum Reduction for 2020 Target			
5 Year Baseline GPCD *From SB X7-7 Table 5*	Maximum 2020 Target[1]	Calculated 2020 Target[2]	**Confirmed 2020 Target**
192	182	154	**154**

[1] Maximum 2020 Target is 95% of the 5 Year Baseline GPCD [2] 2020 Target is calculated based on the selected Target Method, see SB X7-7 Table 7 and corresponding tables for agency's calculated target.

NOTES:

SB X7-7 Table 8: 2015 Interim Target GPCD		
Confirmed 2020 Target *Fm SB X7-7 Table 7-F*	10-15 year Baseline GPCD *Fm SB X7-7 Table 5*	**2015 Interim Target GPCD**
154	191	**173**
NOTES:		

SB X7-7 Table 9: 2015 Compliance								
Actual 2015 GPCD	2015 Interim Target GPCD	Optional Adjustments *(in GPCD)*					2015 GPCD *(Adjusted if applicable)*	**Did Supplier Achieve Targeted Reduction for 2015?**
		Enter "0" if Adjustment Not Used			TOTAL Adjustments	Adjusted 2015 GPCD		
		Extraordinary Events	Weather Normalization	Economic Adjustment				
124	173	*From Methodology 8 (Optional)*	*From Methodology 8 (Optional)*	*From Methodology 8 (Optional)*	-	124	124	**YES**
NOTES:								

DISTRICT	year	series	pop	hhp	gq	hs	hh
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	1995	9	116,702	111,722	4,980	35,801	34,217
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	1998	9	120,091	121,191	121,191	121,191	121,191
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	1999	9	127,429	124,348	124,348	124,348	124,348
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2000	10	134,686	127,504	7,182	41,171	39,920
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2001	12	144,219	138,906	5,313	45,395	44,079
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2002	12	154,936	149,525	5,411	49,123	47,644
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2003	12	163,925	158,956	4,969	51,935	50,313
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2004	12	173,279	168,273	5,006	54,553	52,811
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2005	12	180,704	175,260	5,444	57,261	55,403
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2006	12	186,119	180,685	5,434	59,035	56,972
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2007	12	191,032	185,832	5,200	60,776	58,469
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2008	12	194,791	189,154	5,637	61,503	59,076
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2009	12	197,705	191,962	5,743	61,791	59,290
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2010	13	200,704	195,094	5,610	61,981	59,693
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2011	13	204,276	197,041	7,235	62,175	59,713
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2012	13	208,541	201,492	7,049	62,818	60,652
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2013	13	210,564	203,838	6,726	63,766	61,067
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2014	13	214,355	207,831	6,524	64,824	62,181
OTAY WATER DISTRICT	2015	13	217,339	210,768	6,571	65,301	62,766

WUEdata - Otay Water District

Sign Out

Please print this page to a PDF and include as part of your UWMP submittal.

Confirmation Information

Generated By	Water Supplier Name	Confirmation #	Generated On
Leanne Hammond	Otay Water District	5293286979	4/29/2016 12:41:03 PM

Boundary Information

Census Year	Boundary Filename	Internal Boundary ID
2000	WaterServiceBoundary.kml	1046
2010	WaterServiceBoundary.kml	1046

Baseline Period Ranges

10 to 15-year baseline period

Number of years in baseline period: 10

Year beginning baseline period range: 1999

Year ending baseline period range[1]: **2008**

5-year baseline period

Year beginning baseline period range: 2004

Year ending baseline period range[2]: **2008**

[1] The ending year must be between December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2010.

[2] The ending year must be between December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2010.

Persons per Connection

Year	Census Block Level Total Population	Number of Connections *	Persons per Connection
1990	0		2.66
1991	-	-	2.76
1992	-	-	2.85
1993	-	-	2.94
1994	-	-	3.03
1995	-	-	3.12
1996	-	-	3.22
1997	-	-	3.31
1998	-	-	3.40
1999	-	-	3.49
2000	128,157	35772	3.58
2001	-	-	3.67
2002	-	-	3.76
2003	-	-	3.86
2004	-	-	3.95
2005	-	-	4.04
2006	-	-	4.13
2007	-	-	4.22
2008	-	-	4.32
2009	-	-	4.41
2010	200,164	44472	4.50
2015	-	-	4.96 **

Population Using Persons-Per-Connection

Year		Number of Connections *	Persons per Connection	Total Population
10 to 15 Year Baseline Population Calculations				
Year 1	1999	33446	3.49	116,753
Year 2	2000	35772	3.58	128,157
Year 3	2001	38194	3.67	140,248
Year 4	2002	40309	3.76	151,723
Year 5	2003	42437	3.86	163,637
Year 6	2004	44520	3.95	175,765
Year 7	2005	46042	4.04	186,010
Year 8	2006	46851	4.13	193,588
Year 9	2007	44070	4.22	186,152
Year 10	2008	44137	4.32	190,495
5 Year Baseline Population Calculations				
Year 1	2004	44520	3.95	175,765
Year 2	2005	46042	4.04	186,010
Year 3	2006	46851	4.13	193,588
Year 4	2007	44070	4.22	186,152
Year 5	2008	44137	4.32	190,495
2015 Compliance Year Population Calculations				
2015		43803	4.96 **	217,342

Hide Print Confirmation

QUESTIONS / ISSUES? CONTACT THE WUEDATA HELP DESK

Draft Normal-Year Water Reliability Assessment Data

(Preliminary Water Authority Baseline Demand Forecast, Conservation Savings Projections and Long-Range Demand Forecast by Member Agency [1])

March 18, 2016

2020

	Baseline Demand Forecast [2,3,4]			Conservation Savings				
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F=A+B+C-D-E)	(G)	(H=F-G)
Agency	Municipal and Industrial	Agricultural	Near-Term Annexations	Active [5]	Passive	Long-Range Demand Forecast	Verifiable Local Supplies [6]	Demand on the Water Authority
Carlsbad M.W.D.	23,548	317	-	1,592	1,288	20,985	8,074	12,911
Del Mar, City of	1,365	-	-	126	131	1,108	100	1,008
Escondido, City of	23,686	2,960	366	1,458	1,954	23,600	12,640	10,960
Fallbrook P.U.D.	8,551	4,427	-	469	475	12,034	543	11,491
Helix W.D.	37,311	-	-	2,513	3,524	31,274	3,388	27,886
Lakeside W.D.	4,988	-	5	264	619	4,110	700	3,410
Oceanside, City of	29,435	1,359	-	2,156	2,043	26,595	12,085	14,510
Olivenhain M.W.D.	20,896	1,428	-	1,317	878	20,129	3,150	16,979
Otay W.D.	44,314	41	2,973	2,111	2,497	42,720	5,670	37,050
Padre Dam M.W.D.	14,603	608	2,000	1,450	1,590	14,171	2,016	12,155
Camp Pendleton M. R.	8,720	-	-	-	-	8,720	8,500	220
Poway, City of	15,424	30	-	825	628	14,001	645	13,356
Rainbow M.W.D.	9,131	11,305	519	561	469	19,925	-	19,925
Ramona M.W.D.	7,327	1,814	-	429	654	8,058	730	7,328
Rincon Del Diablo M.W.D.	10,234	270	-	418	382	9,704	4,000	5,704
San Diego, City of	241,668	-	-	13,047	15,943	212,678	39,000	173,678
San Dieguito W.D.	7,760	121	-	580	769	6,532	3,232	3,300
Santa Fe I.D.	12,152	63	-	873	364	10,978	3,768	7,210
Sweetwater Auth.	21,197	18	-	1,089	1,936	18,190	15,700	2,490
Vallecitos W.D.	20,874	955	-	1,227	1,206	19,396	3,500	15,896
Valley Center M.W.D.	10,143	15,946	-	513	482	25,094	137	24,957
Vista I.D.	21,850	994	-	1,536	1,564	19,744	5,062	14,682
Yuima M.W.D.	524	10,305	166	99	92	10,804	7,000	3,804
Total	**595,701**	**52,961**	**6,029**	**34,653**	**39,488**	**580,550**	**139,640**	**440,910**

2025

	Baseline Demand Forecast [2,3,4]			Conservation Savings				
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F=A+B+C-D-E)	(G)	(H=F-G)
Agency	Municipal and Industrial	Agricultural	Near-Term Annexations	Active [5]	Passive	Long-Range Demand Forecast	Verifiable Local Supplies [6]	Demand on the Water Authority
Carlsbad M.W.D.	25,123	300	-	1,278	1,990	22,155	8,074	14,081
Del Mar, City of	1,425	-	-	104	182	1,139	125	1,014
Escondido, City of	25,369	2,870	694	1,240	2,674	25,019	12,640	12,379
Fallbrook P.U.D.	10,590	4,289	-	442	853	13,584	543	13,041
Helix W.D.	40,134	-	-	2,127	5,553	32,454	3,388	29,066
Lakeside W.D.	5,737	-	5	246	911	4,585	700	3,885
Oceanside, City of	31,899	1,318	-	1,737	3,202	28,278	14,725	13,553
Olivenhain M.W.D.	22,976	1,378	-	1,051	1,505	21,798	3,150	18,648
Otay W.D.	51,771	27	2,973	1,844	4,497	48,430	5,900	42,530
Padre Dam M.W.D.	16,524	540	2,000	1,159	2,608	15,297	2,016	13,281
Camp Pendleton M. R.	9,920	-	-	-	-	9,920	9,690	230
Poway, City of	16,649	29	-	664	1,063	14,951	645	14,306
Rainbow M.W.D.	10,731	10,985	519	522	796	20,917	-	20,917
Ramona M.W.D.	8,114	1,760	-	379	1,024	8,471	755	7,716
Rincon Del Diablo M.W.D.	11,884	242	417	368	672	11,503	4,000	7,503
San Diego, City of	263,163	-	-	10,029	23,577	229,557	38,900	190,657
San Dieguito W.D.	8,299	107	-	479	1,066	6,861	3,232	3,629
Santa Fe I.D.	12,544	62	-	665	613	11,328	3,768	7,560
Sweetwater Auth.	23,408	16	-	968	2,683	19,773	15,700	4,073
Vallecitos W.D.	24,813	915	-	1,075	1,926	22,727	3,500	19,227
Valley Center M.W.D.	12,045	15,471	-	456	851	26,209	222	25,987
Vista I.D.	24,167	934	-	1,272	2,509	21,320	5,062	16,258
Yuima M.W.D.	719	10,136	166	79	171	10,771	7,000	3,771
Total	**658,004**	**51,379**	**6,774**	**28,184**	**60,927**	**627,046**	**143,735**	**483,311**

Draft Normal-Year Water Reliability Assessment Data

(Preliminary Water Authority Baseline Demand Forecast, Conservation Savings Projections and Long-Range Demand Forecast by Member Agency [1])

March 18, 2016

2030

	Baseline Demand Forecast [2,3,4]			Conservation Savings				
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F=A+B+C-D-E)	(G)	(H=F-G)
Agency	Municipal and Industrial	Agricultural	Near-Term Annexations	Active [5]	Passive	Long-Range Demand Forecast	Verifiable Local Supplies [6]	Demand on the Water Authority
Carlsbad M.W.D.	25,806	285	-	994	2,654	22,443	8,074	14,369
Del Mar, City of	1,479	-	-	73	236	1,170	150	1,020
Escondido, City of	26,474	2,785	694	1,085	3,308	25,560	12,640	12,920
Fallbrook P.U.D.	11,359	4,160	-	423	1,033	14,063	543	13,520
Helix W.D.	41,392	-	-	1,787	7,059	32,546	3,388	29,158
Lakeside W.D.	6,020	-	5	223	1,079	4,723	700	4,023
Oceanside, City of	33,220	1,281	-	1,389	4,244	28,868	14,725	14,143
Olivenhain M.W.D.	23,706	1,332	-	885	1,978	22,175	3,150	19,025
Otay W.D.	54,979	13	2,973	1,585	5,489	50,891	6,000	44,891
Padre Dam M.W.D.	17,234	477	2,000	845	3,388	15,478	2,016	13,462
Camp Pendleton M. R.	10,960	-	-	-	-	10,960	10,730	230
Poway, City of	17,051	28	-	571	1,381	15,127	645	14,482
Rainbow M.W.D.	11,252	10,685	519	503	952	21,001	-	21,001
Ramona M.W.D.	8,376	1,711	-	339	1,259	8,489	755	7,734
Rincon Del Diablo M.W.D.	12,452	214	417	319	843	11,921	4,000	7,921
San Diego, City of	278,467	-	-	10,260	30,195	238,012	38,800	199,212
San Dieguito W.D.	8,571	94	-	380	1,335	6,950	3,232	3,718
Santa Fe I.D.	12,649	60	-	515	806	11,388	3,768	7,620
Sweetwater Auth.	25,557	14	-	903	3,535	21,133	15,700	5,433
Vallecitos W.D.	26,636	879	-	905	2,423	24,187	3,500	20,687
Valley Center M.W.D.	12,651	15,025	-	401	1,057	26,218	231	25,987
Vista I.D.	25,679	878	-	1,039	2,889	22,629	5,062	17,567
Yuima M.W.D.	986	9,976	166	50	217	10,861	7,000	3,861
Total	**692,956**	**49,897**	**6,774**	**25,474**	**77,359**	**646,794**	**144,809**	**501,985**

2035

	Baseline Demand Forecast [2,3,4]			Conservation Savings				
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F=A+B+C-D-E)	(G)	(H=F-G)
Agency	Municipal and Industrial	Agricultural	Near-Term Annexations	Active [5]	Passive	Long-Range Demand Forecast	Verifiable Local Supplies [6]	Demand on the Water Authority
Carlsbad M.W.D.	26,452	270	-	951	3,049	22,722	8,074	14,648
Del Mar, City of	1,518	-	-	71	261	1,186	150	1,036
Escondido, City of	27,609	2,702	694	1,027	3,813	26,165	12,640	13,525
Fallbrook P.U.D.	11,785	4,035	-	424	1,149	14,247	543	13,704
Helix W.D.	42,835	-	-	1,656	8,241	32,938	3,388	29,550
Lakeside W.D.	6,207	-	5	225	1,216	4,771	700	4,071
Oceanside, City of	34,426	1,245	-	1,301	4,954	29,416	14,725	14,691
Olivenhain M.W.D.	24,343	1,287	-	858	2,290	22,482	3,150	19,332
Otay W.D.	56,306	-	2,973	1,538	6,040	51,701	6,200	45,501
Padre Dam M.W.D.	17,982	416	2,000	803	3,886	15,709	2,016	13,693
Camp Pendleton M. R.	10,960	-	-	-	-	10,960	10,730	230
Poway, City of	17,327	27	-	536	1,616	15,202	645	14,557
Rainbow M.W.D.	11,811	10,393	519	502	1,075	21,146	-	21,146
Ramona M.W.D.	8,527	1,663	-	323	1,416	8,451	755	7,696
Rincon Del Diablo M.W.D.	12,996	189	417	306	966	12,330	4,000	8,330
San Diego, City of	292,305	-	-	10,490	35,473	246,342	38,700	207,642
San Dieguito W.D.	8,816	82	-	358	1,502	7,038	3,232	3,806
Santa Fe I.D.	12,841	58	-	500	905	11,494	3,768	7,726
Sweetwater Auth.	28,038	12	-	860	4,334	22,856	15,700	7,156
Vallecitos W.D.	28,202	843	-	889	2,742	25,414	3,500	21,914
Valley Center M.W.D.	13,406	14,592	-	396	1,195	26,407	231	26,176
Vista I.D.	26,679	824	-	999	3,187	23,317	5,062	18,255
Yuima M.W.D.	1,283	9,822	166	50	226	10,995	7,000	3,995
Total	**722,654**	**48,460**	**6,774**	**25,063**	**89,539**	**663,286**	**144,909**	**518,377**

Draft Normal-Year Water Reliability Assessment Data

(Preliminary Water Authority Baseline Demand Forecast, Conservation Savings Projections and Long-Range Demand Forecast by Member Agency [1])

March 18, 2016

2040

	Baseline Demand Forecast [2,3,4]			Conservation Savings				
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F=A+B+C-D-E)	(G)	(H=F-G)
Agency	Municipal and Industrial	Agricultural	Near-Term Annexations	Active [5]	Passive	Long-Range Demand Forecast	Verifiable Local Supplies [6]	Demand on the Water Authority
Carlsbad M.W.D.	26,880	256	-	962	3,428	22,746	8,074	14,672
Del Mar, City of	1,547	-	-	72	285	1,190	150	1,040
Escondido, City of	28,892	2,630	694	1,047	4,299	26,870	12,640	14,230
Fallbrook P.U.D.	12,175	3,907	-	437	1,297	14,348	543	13,805
Helix W.D.	44,357	-	-	1,675	9,366	33,316	3,388	29,928
Lakeside W.D.	6,438	-	5	229	1,345	4,869	700	4,169
Oceanside, City of	36,136	1,213	-	1,327	5,656	30,366	14,725	15,641
Olivenhain M.W.D.	25,402	1,250	-	873	2,587	23,192	3,150	20,042
Otay W.D.	62,936	4	2,973	1,587	6,744	57,582	6,500	51,082
Padre Dam M.W.D.	18,796	435	2,000	817	4,350	16,064	2,016	14,048
Camp Pendleton M. R.	10,960	-	-	-	-	10,960	10,730	230
Poway, City of	18,042	27	-	542	1,849	15,678	645	15,033
Rainbow M.W.D.	12,518	10,124	519	510	1,185	21,466	-	21,466
Ramona M.W.D.	8,813	1,620	-	327	1,604	8,502	755	7,747
Rincon Del Diablo M.W.D.	13,977	183	417	314	1,085	13,178	4,000	9,178
San Diego, City of	304,593	-	-	10,721	41,726	252,145	38,600	213,545
San Dieguito W.D.	9,571	68	-	363	1,680	7,596	3,232	4,363
Santa Fe I.D.	13,475	57	-	503	1,002	12,027	3,768	8,259
Sweetwater Auth.	29,340	11	-	896	5,066	23,389	15,700	7,689
Vallecitos W.D.	32,369	821	-	926	3,063	29,201	3,500	25,701
Valley Center M.W.D.	14,224	14,102	-	408	1,333	26,585	231	26,354
Vista I.D.	27,794	796	-	1,033	3,410	24,147	5,062	19,085
Yuima M.W.D.	1,447	9,710	166	51	242	11,030	7,000	4,030
Total	**760,682**	**47,214**	**6,774**	**25,620**	**102,601**	**686,449**	**145,109**	**541,340**

Footnotes

1) Excludes small increment of demand associated with Accelerated Forecasted Growth (demand not broken out by member agency)

2) Wholesale water rate ramp assumption: 2 percent real increase 2016-2020, 1 percent real increase 2021-2025, and rate of inflation thereafter (3% percent)

3) Includes impact of historic conservation savings

4) MCB Camp Pendleton demands based on projections provided by MCBCP staff and are net of conservation savings

5) Future Active savings assumed to be implemented at 2015 activity level (except for large scale turf replacement)

6) Provided by member agencies, Verifiable supplies include: surface water, groundwater, recycled water, seawater desalination (CDP contract supplies), and potable reuse

DRAFT

Appendix E
City of San Diego Agreement for Otay WTP

DUPLICATE

November 19, 1998

AGREEMENT FOR THE PURCHASE OF TREATED WATER FROM THE OTAY WATER TREATMENT PLANT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO AND THE OTAY WATER DISTRICT

This Agreement is made and entered into as of JAN 11 1999 by and between the Otay Water District ("OTAY"), a water district organized under the Municipal Water District Law of 1911, and the City of San Diego ("CITY"), a municipal corporation.

RECITALS

Whereas, the economy, employment and quality of life of the San Diego County region is dependent on a reliable and affordable water supply which requires cooperation of local water agencies both directly and through their joint imported water agency, the San Diego County Water Authority ("CWA"); and

Whereas treated water surplus to CITY needs (Surplus), hereby defined as treated water surplus over the Otay Water Treatment Plant's peak daily demand, is available to serve OTAY from the Otay Water Treatment Plant; and

Whereas, by making Surplus treated water available to OTAY, the CITY and the region will benefit by increased use of existing resources and potential savings in future regional facilities; and

Whereas, by entering into an agreement to provide Surplus treated water to OTAY, the CITY will improve the efficiency of its treatment plant operations and generate additional income; and

Whereas, the CWA will benefit by being able to defer deliveries of approximately ten MGD of treated water to OTAY; and

Whereas, the CWA recommends that each of its member agencies provide sufficient water reserves to withstand up to ten days of cutoff from imported treated water supplies; and

DOCUMENT NO. RR-291138
FILED JAN 11 1999
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

Whereas, OTAY relies exclusively on imported treated water and on imported raw water treated by others, for supplying its service area with water; and

Whereas, OTAY has determined that the most viable approach to establishing adequate reserves is to build a maximum of five days covered treated water storage and to secure treated water supply commitments from other agencies for the remainder; and

Whereas, the CITY is willing to sell Surplus treated water to OTAY from the CITY's Otay Water Treatment Plant and additional treated water in the future; and

Whereas, OTAY's future needs (OTAY's Needs) are for 10 to 20 million gallons per day (MGD) of treated water; and

Whereas, the CITY is willing to expand its Otay Water Treatment Plant to meet future OTAY and CITY needs.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the terms and conditions set forth below, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the CITY and OTAY agree as follows:

ARTICLE I TERM

1.1 The term of this Agreement shall be for a period of fifty years commencing on January 1, 1999, and terminating on December 31, 2048.

ARTICLE II SALE OF TREATED WATER TO OTAY

2.1 The CITY agrees to sell OTAY Surplus treated water from the Otay Water Treatment Plant pursuant to the following terms:

2.1.1 The CITY and OTAY agree that until such time as OTAY pays the CITY a sum of money equivalent to the then current cost to expand the Otay Water Treatment Plant to meet OTAY's Needs, CITY's obligation to supply treated water under this Agreement is contingent upon there being available 10 MGD of Surplus treated water in the Otay Water Treatment Plant for the term of this Agreement, as determined by the CITY in its sole discretion.

2.1.2 In the event said Surplus is projected by the CITY, in its sole discretion, to be less than 10 MGD due to increased demand on the part of the CITY's water customers, CITY shall so notify OTAY and CITY and OTAY shall confer to see if OTAY's Needs may continue to be met by the CITY, provided however, the decision to expand the CITY's Otay Water Treatment Plant be at the CITY's sole discretion. The CITY shall not unreasonably refuse to expand to meet OTAY's Needs.

2.1.3 OTAY agrees to pay the CITY, as a contribution in aid of construction, a payment equal to the then current costs and expenses of said expansion including any financing or any debt service costs, multiplied by the percentage which OTAY's Needs represents with respect to the total additional treatment capacity contemplated by said expansion. Such costs and expenses include, but are not limited to, planning, surveying, design, construction, easement acquisition, environmental studies and/or environmental impact reports, processing required permits through agencies, implementation of environmental mitigation measures, field inspection, project administration to complete such expansion project, debt service and other financing costs, and any other reasonable costs and expenses incurred by the CITY ("Expansion Costs").

2.1.4 Should the CITY and OTAY agree on an expansion of the facility, the CITY and OTAY shall enter into a separate agreement specifying obligations pertaining to the sharing of costs and expenses for the expansion and construction of the Otay Water Treatment Plant, including but not limited to financing, expansion and construction costs, as well as the duration of OTAY's right to service from said plant in consideration of said sharing of costs and expenses. Such separate agreement must be approved prior to any expenditures for the expansion by the City.

2.1.5 The CITY shall retain ownership of the Otay Water Treatment Plant and any expanded portions thereof, not withstanding anything contained herein.

2.2 Notwithstanding the forgoing, nothing in this Section 2 or in this Agreement shall obligate the CITY to make any payment for the acquisition, construction, maintenance, or operation of the CITY's water treatment facilities from moneys derived from taxes or from any income and revenue of the CITY other than moneys in or water revenues which go into the Water Utility Fund for the CITY's water system and from construction funds derived from the sale of water revenue bonds or other securities for the CITY's water system as are duly authorized.

2.2.1 Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to obligate the CITY to pay from its annual income and revenues any sum which would create an indebtedness, obligation or liability within the meaning of the provisions of article XVI, section 18, of the California State Constitution.

2.2.2 Nothing in this Section 2 or in this Agreement, however, shall prevent the CITY, in its discretion, from using tax revenues or any other available revenues or funds of the CITY for any purpose for which the CITY is empowered to expend moneys under this Agreement .

2.2.3 Nothing in this section shall relieve the CITY or OTAY from their

obligations under this Agreement.

2.2.4 Nothing in this Section 2 or in this Agreement shall obligate OTAY to make any payment which would create an indebtedness, obligation, or liability within the meaning of article XVI, section 18 of the California State constitution, or which is not authorized by law.

ARTICLE III OTAY's OBLIGATIONS

3.1 The cost of water to be purchased by OTAY in the next succeeding fiscal year from the CITY shall be calculated by the CITY by determining in June of the preceding fiscal year, the projected cost and expenses of all operations, maintenance and overhead, and capital improvements, repairs and replacements under $100,000 to be incurred at the CITY's Otay Water Treatment Plant. This calculation shall be divided by the total number of acre feet (AF) of water treated at the Otay Water Treatment Plant in the preceding fiscal year. This cost per AF shall be added to the raw water rate, as defined in section 3.1.2, to determine the projected actual cost to OTAY for the next succeeding fiscal year.

3.1.1 Notwithstanding the forgoing, if the projected cost of the treated water to be supplied by the CITY exceeds the CWA treated water rates, OTAY shall have the option of purchasing treated water from the City or from CWA.

3.1.2 Raw water costs billed to OTAY by the CITY shall not exceed the prevailing CWA raw water rate that was last paid by the CITY. All imported raw water used to provide OTAY with treated water shall be from OTAY's imported water allocation from CWA.

3.1.3 OTAY shall annually notify the CITY in writing before June 30th, its projected daily average demand to be received from the CITY for the next fiscal year.

3.2 OTAY shall pay the following costs and expenses within forty-five (45) calendar days of receipt of a bill from the CITY: monthly operating costs and expenses shall be as determined in section 3.1 above, multiplied by the number of acre feet of treated water delivered to OTAY during the previous calendar month.

3.2.1 In the event OTAY fails to make its payment(s) to the CITY by the date specified in section 3.2 above, OTAY shall be charged interest on the amount outstanding at a rate of interest then equal to the CITY's pooled investment return.

3.2.2 OTAY shall pay for operations, maintenance, and overhead costs and expenses ("O&M Costs") of the Otay Water Treatment Plant, including the expansion, up to the full amount of water delivered to OTAY from that facility, as

determined in section 3.2 above.

3.3 OTAY shall order treated water from CITY facilities twice a day at 8:00 AM and 2:00 PM.

3.4 OTAY shall be responsible for all costs and expenses associated with constructing facilities to receive treated water from the CITY's Otay Water Treatment Plant, including any CITY approved metering facilities. Once constructed and approved by the CITY, OTAY shall transfer ownership of the metering facilities to the CITY. The CITY shall maintain, repair and replace as necessary the metering facilities and read the meter.

ARTICLE IV CITY's OBLIGATIONS

4.1 In the event the rated capacity of the CITY's Otay Water Treatment Plant is diminished for any reason, including, but not limited to, equipment failure, source water quality changes, regulatory requirements or natural disaster, and OTAY is receiving Surplus treated water pursuant to Article II above, then OTAY shall be entitled to purchase such treated water that is then surplus to the CITY's needs. If OTAY has contributed to the expansion of the Otay Water Treatment Plant pursuant to section 2.1 above, then OTAY shall be entitled to receive an amount of available treated water produced by the Otay Water Treatment Plant which shall be determined by dividing the amount of capacity funded by OTAY by the design capacity of the plant, and then multiplying by the reduced capacity thereof.

4.2 During a declared drought, the amount of treated water that may be purchased by OTAY, whether Surplus or pursuant to a contribution to expansion, shall be reduced by the same percentage as the rationing that is imposed on all CITY customers.

4.3 The CITY shall bill OTAY directly for O&M Costs of water treated and delivered to OTAY. The O&M Costs are subject to adjustment as follows:

4.3.1 After the first fiscal year (beginning July 1 and ending June 30) and thereafter, the CITY shall determine before January 10th, its total O&M Costs, defined as year end O&M Costs, at the Otay Water Treatment Plant for the preceding fiscal year. The CITY shall make available to OTAY its books and records relevant to such determination and review the same with the General Manager of OTAY.

4.3.2 The total O&M Costs for the preceding fiscal year shall be divided by the total number of acre feet of water treated at the CITY's Otay Water Treatment Plant to determine the unit cost of the O&M Costs for said period. Such unit cost shall be multiplied by the water delivered to OTAY during such period.

4.3.3 An adjustment shall be made by January 10 of each year in the projected O&M Costs calculated per section 3.1 to reflect the total O&M Costs of producing and delivering the treated water to OTAY for the preceding fiscal year. The CITY shall bill or credit OTAY for such O&M Costs. Upon receipt of audited financial statements received after January 10 of each year, adjustments shall be made by the CITY in the succeeding monthly billings consistent with the costs and expenses actually incurred by the CITY.

4.4 After the Agreement commencement date and OTAY'S receipt of treated water from the CITY, the CITY shall bill OTAY monthly on or before the 15th of each month for the amounts due for the previous month's costs hereunder.

ARTICLE V MAJOR REPAIR, REPLACEMENT OR RECONSTRUCTION COSTS AND EXPENSES

5.1 A project is considered a major repair, replacement, or reconstruction if its total projected cost exceeds $100,000.

5.2 In the event major repair, replacement, or reconstruction becomes necessary at the CITY's Otay Water Treatment Plant in order to supply OTAY with treated water pursuant to this agreement, the total cost shall be divided by the number of years of expected useful life of such improvement. Such number shall then be divided by the number of acre-feet treated at the CITY's Otay Water Treatment Plant in the past fiscal year to arrive at a cost per acre-foot. This cost per acre foot shall then be added to the cost of each acre foot of treated water delivered to OTAY and billed thereafter for the number of years of expected useful life of the improvement, as agreed to between the CITY and OTAY. This cost shall be adjusted annually based upon the actual water treated at the CITY's Otay Water Treatment Plant each fiscal year. For example, a major repair costs $200,000 and is expected to have a useful life of 20 years; a total of 30,000 acre feet was treated at the Otay Water Treatment Plant during the past fiscal year; and OTAY pays the CITY $500 per acre foot for treated water delivered. The following calculation would apply: $200,000 divided by 20 years equals $10,000 per year, $10,000 per year divided by 30,000 acre feet per year equals $0.33 per acre foot, $500 plus $0.33 equals the new rate of $500.33 per acre foot of treated water that the CITY charges OTAY. If in the following fiscal year 32,000 acre feet are treated, then the adjustment would be $10,000 divided by 32,000 acre feet which equals $0.31 per acre foot. The $0.31 would then be added to the updated cost OTAY pays the CITY per acre foot.

5.3 Major repair, replacement, or reconstruction project costs and expenses shall include, but not be limited to, the following: planning, surveying, design, construction, easement acquisition, environmental studies and/or environmental impact reports, processing required permits through agencies, implementation of

environmental mitigation measures, field inspection, project administration to complete such replacement project, debt service and other financing costs where applicable, and any other reasonable costs and expenses incurred by the CITY ("Replacement Costs").

5.4 The CITY shall maintain the Otay Water Treatment Plant and Lower Otay Reservoir outlet works in good repair and good working order in accordance with sound engineering practices by the CITY. It shall be the duty of the CITY to make any repair, replacement, or reconstruction necessary to keep such facilities in good operating condition.

5.5 Prior to the CITY undertaking any major repair, replacement, or reconstruction of the Otay Water Treatment Plant or the Lower Otay Reservoir outlet works, it shall review with OTAY the costs thereof. The CITY retains the right to proceed in any event.

5.6 In the event of an emergency, any necessary repairs, replacements, or reconstruction shall be implemented as expeditiously as possible, with notification as soon as reasonably possible to OTAY of the emergency and required repairs, replacements, and reconstruction.

5.7 Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 5.2 above, if as a result of natural disaster, operation of federal or state law or other causes beyond the control of the parties hereto, it becomes necessary for the CITY to undertake major repairs, replacements, or reconstruction of the Otay Water Treatment Plant, and OTAY has contributed to the expansion of the plant pursuant to section 2.1.3 of this Agreement, OTAY shall reimburse the CITY for its proportionate share of the cost of such repairs, replacements, or reconstruction not covered by grants, insurance, FEMA, etc. For example, if the Otay Water Treatment Plant can treat a maximum of 60 MGD and OTAY has contributed to the construction of 10 MGD pursuant to terms of this Agreement, then OTAY's share would be one-sixth (16.67 percent).

ARTICLE VI SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST

6.1 In the event that, at the sole discretion of the CITY, the CITY chooses to lease, privatize or sell the Otay Water Treatment Plant to any third party, the CITY agrees that it will require, as a condition of said lease, privatization or sale, a commitment on the part of said third party to honor the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

6.2 Nothing contained herein shall prevent the CITY from entering into a financing agreement which may impose limits on the CITY's power to sell the Otay Water Treatment Plant or any appurtenant facilities, if the CITY believes that such financing is in the CITY's best interests. Neither the entry into such a financing

agreement by the CITY nor its performance thereunder by the CITY shall constitute a breach or default by the CITY hereunder.

ARTICLE VII INDEMNIFICATION

The CITY and OTAY agree to defend, indemnify, protect and hold each other harmless from all claims, demands, losses and liability to the extent that the same are the result of error, omission or negligent act of its officers or employees, or any other persons acting pursuant to its control and performing under this agreement. However, the CITY and OTAY'S duty to indemnify and hold the other party harmless shall not include any claims or liability arising from the sole negligence or willful misconduct of the other party, its agents, officers, or employees.

ARTICLE VIII AMENDMENTS

This Agreement may be modified or amended by the parties hereto at any time. Provided, however, that any modification or amendment must be mutually agreed upon and executed in writing. Verbal modifications or amendments shall be of no effect.

ARTICLE IX WATER TREATMENT STANDARDS

The CITY shall treat all water to be sold to OTAY in accordance with all requirements of federal and state authorities, laws, rules, regulations, and standards. The treated water shall be of a quality substantially the same as delivered by the CITY to its customers.

ARTICLE X ASSIGNMENT

10.1 Neither OTAY nor the CITY shall assign this Agreement nor any interest herein, or permit the transfer thereof by operation of law or otherwise, without first obtaining the consent of the other expressed in writing and signed by the City Manager or OTAY General Manager.

10.2 Such consent shall not be unreasonably withheld by either party.

10.3 Any attempted transfer or assignment shall not create any right whatsoever in the transferee or assignee.

ARTICLE XI TERMINATION

11.1 In the event OTAY shall be adjudicated as bankrupt or become insolvent or subject to a receivership, the CITY may, at its discretion, terminate this Agreement without further notice.

11.2 In the event OTAY shall fail or refuse to perform any term, covenant, or condition of this Agreement and shall fail to cure such default within ninety days after written notice from the CITY, then the CITY may terminate this Agreement by giving OTAY written notice of its election to terminate this Agreement.

ARTICLE XII FORCE MAJEURE

In the event the rights and obligations of the parties to this Agreement shall be subject to unanticipated delays or cancellations caused by strikes, fire, accident, acts of God, orders of any military, civil, or governmental authority, litigation, or other cause beyond the control of the parties, the rights or obligations of the parties affected thereby shall be continued for a period equal to the period resulting from such delay.

ARTICLE XIII CONSTRUCTION OF THIS AGREEMENT

13.1 Any notice, demand, request, consent, approval or communication that either party desires or is required to give to the other party shall be in writing addressed to the other party as follows:

To CITY: c/o City Manager
City Administration Building
202 C Street MS 9A
San Diego, CA 92101

To OTAY: c/o General Manager
Otay Water District
2554 Sweetwater Springs Blvd.
Spring Valley, CA 91978-2096

or such other address as may have been specified by notifying the other party of the change of address. Notice shall be deemed served on the fourth business day following the day of mailing only if mailed with the United States Postal Service, by certified mail, return receipt requested.

13.2 Time is of the essence for each provision of this Agreement.

13.3 Nothing contained in this Agreement shall be construed as a limitation upon the powers of CITY as a chartered city of the State of California or OTAY.

13.4 All provisions hereof, expressed as either covenants or conditions on the part of CITY or OTAY to be performed or observed shall be deemed to be both covenants and conditions.

13.5 This Agreement shall be construed and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of California. OTAY covenants and agrees to submit to the personal jurisdiction of any state court located in San Diego County for any dispute, claim, or matter arising out of or related hereto. Either party may refer any dispute to non-binding mediation for resolution based on mutual consent.

13.6 This Agreement, including Attachments and/or Exhibits, contains all of the agreements of the parties and all prior negotiations and agreements are merged herein.

13.7 The Section headings and any captions are inserted herein only as a matter of convenience and for reference and shall have no effect on the interpretation of this Agreement.

13.8 When required by the context of this Agreement, the singular shall include the plural.

13.9 The unenforceabilty, invalidity or illegality of any provision of this Agreement shall not render the other provisions unenforceable, invalid or illegal, provided that the purpose and intent of the Agreement can be reasonably carried out without the unenforceable or invalid provision.

13.10 If either party commences an action against the other party arising out of or in connection with this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to request the court for an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit from the losing party.

13.11 The failure of CITY to enforce a particular term, condition, or provision of this Agreement shall not constitute a waiver of that condition or provision or its enforceability.

13.12 All payments to the CITY under the terms of this Agreement shall be made payable to the City Treasurer, and submitted to the CITY Water Department.

13.13 All payments required under this Agreement shall be deemed sufficiently paid if made by check collected on first presentation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Agreement is executed by the City of San Diego, acting by and through its City Manager, pursuant to ~~Ordinance No. O-~~ R-291138 RESOLUTION NO. authorizing such execution, and by OTAY.

Dated this _____ day ~~of~~ JAN 11 1999 1998.

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

By _____
Larry Gardner
Water Department Director

Dated this 19th day of November, 1998.

OTAY WATER DISTRICT

By _____
General Manager

Approved as to form and legality on this day 15 of JANUARY ~~, 1998.~~ 1999

CASEY GWINN
City Attorney

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

H:\WPDOCS\OWD9I.DOC.JM

R-291138

(R-99-620)

RESOLUTION NUMBER R-291138

ADOPTED ON JAN 11 1999

WHEREAS, the economy and employment and quality of life of the San Diego County region is dependent on a reliable and affordable water supply which requires cooperation of local water agencies both directly and through their joint imported water agency, the San Diego County Water Authority ("CWA"); and

WHEREAS, treated water surplus to CITY needs (Surplus), hereby defined as treated water surplus over the Otay Water Treatment Plant's peak daily demand, is available to serve OTAY from the Otay Water Treatment Plant; and

WHEREAS, by making Surplus treated water available to OTAY, the CITY and the region will benefit by increased use of existing resources and potential savings in future regional facilities; and

WHEREAS, by entering into an agreement to provide Surplus treated water to OTAY, the CITY will improve the efficiency of its treatment plant operations and generate additional income; and

WHEREAS, the CWA will benefit by being able to defer deliveries of approximately ten MGD of treated water to OTAY; and

WHEREAS, the CWA recommends that each of its member agencies provide sufficient water reserves to withstand up to ten days of cutoff from imported treated water supplies; and

WHEREAS, OTAY relies exclusively on imported treated water and on imported raw water treated by others, for supplying its service area with water; and

WHEREAS, OTAY has determined that the most viable approach to establishing adequate reserves is to build a maximum of five days covered treated water storage and to secure treated water supply commitments from other agencies for the remainder; and

WHEREAS, the City is willing to sell Surplus treated water to OTAY from the CITY's Otay Water Treatment Plant and additional treated water in the future; and

WHEREAS, OTAY's future needs (OTAY's Needs) are for 10 to 20 million gallons per day (MGD) of treated water; and

WHEREAS, the CITY is willing to expand its Otay Water Treatment Plant to meet future OTAY and CITY needs; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, that the City Manager be and is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into an Agreement, a copy of which is on file in the Office of the City Clerk as Document No. RR-291138, with the Otay Water District regarding the purchase of surplus treated water from the City of San Diego.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City is authorized to sell OTAY treated water, surplus to the City's needs, from the CITY's Otay Water Treatment Plant upon execution of the agreement;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City is authorized to sell OTAY additional treated water;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that OTAY will pay the City's actual operating costs for treatment, which includes operation, maintenance, and overhead expenses, plus the prevailing CWA raw water rate regardless of whether local or imported raw water is treated;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the agreement term is for fifty years.

APPROVED: CASEY GWINN, City Attorney

By Lisa Foster
Lisa Foster
Deputy City Attorney

LAF:jp
11/20/98
Or.Dept:Water
Aud.Cert:
R-99-620

Passed and adopted by the Council of San Diego on

JAN 11 1999

by the following vote:

YEAS: Mathis, Wear, Kehoe, Stevens, Warden, Stallings, McCarty, Vargas, Mayor Golding.

NAYS: None.

NOT PRESENT: None.

AUTHENTICATED BY:

SUSAN GOLDING
Mayor of The City of San Diego, California

CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR
City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California

(Seal)

By: MARY A. CEPEDA, Deputy

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of RESOLUTION NO. R- 291138, passed and adopted by the Council of The City of San Diego, California on JAN 11 1999.

CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR
City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California

(SEAL)

By: Mary A. Cepeda, Deputy

Appendix F
City of San Diego Agreement for SBWRP

ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE OTAY WATER DISTRICT AND THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO FOR PURCHASE OF RECLAIMED WATER FROM THE SOUTH BAY WATER RECLAMATION PLANT

OCT 20 2003

This Agreement is made and entered into this _____ day of 2003 between the Otay Water District ("Otay"), and the City of San Diego ("City") [collectively "Party(ies)"], with reference to the following:

RECITALS

A. WHEREAS, City owns and operates the South Bay Water Reclamation Plant ("SBWRP"), which has a design production capacity of approximately fifteen million gallons per day of tertiary water from collected and treated wastewater; of which 10 million gallons per day is available for sale; and

B. WHEREAS, Otay provides water service, including Reclaimed Water service, to its customers and is willing to finance and construct facilities to transmit tertiary water from the SBWRP to its service area; and

C. WHEREAS, Otay is seeking additional Reclaimed Water to meet projected demands; and

D. WHEREAS, City desires to sell some or all of the Reclaimed Water it produces at its SBWRP and Otay desires to purchase said water; and

E. WHEREAS, Maximizing the use of Reclaimed Water benefits the region by providing local supply to meet local demand thereby lessening the demand of the San Diego region for imported water.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and conditions set forth herein and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties do hereby agree as follows:

Section 1. <u>Definitions</u>

The following terms shall have the following meanings whenever used in this Agreement, unless the context requires otherwise:

1.1 "Annual Contract Amount" means the quantity of Reclaimed Water, in acre-feet on an annual basis, that City agrees to produce, make available, deliver, and sell to Otay and Otay agrees to accept, and/or purchase from City.

1.2. "Average Day Amount" means the quantity, in millions of gallons, of Reclaimed Water to be used for production planning purposes.

DOCUMENT NO. RR-298502

FILED OCT 20 2003

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

1.3 "Beginning Date" means the date that Otay begins receiving Reclaimed Water from City under this Agreement or the Effective Date hereof, whichever is later. Beginning Date may occur after the Effective Date of this Agreement.

1.4 "Billing Cycle" has the meaning contained in Section 2.1 herein.

1.5 "City" means the City of San Diego, a charter city.

1.6 "Commodity Rate" means the rate for Reclaimed Water of Three Hundred Fifty dollars ($350.00) per acre-foot.

1.7 "Constant Rate of Delivery" has the meaning contained in Section 4.2 herein.

1.8 "Demand schedule" has the meaning contained in Section 4.1 herein.

1.9 "Effective Date" means the date that the last Party executed the Agreement.

1.10 "Fiscal Year" means July 1 through June 30.

1.11 "Maximum Day Demand" means the quantity of Reclaimed Water delivered during any day.

1.12 "MGD" means millions of gallons of Reclaimed Water per day.

1.13 "Monthly Meter Charges" means the amount charged by the City each month for a meter installed by the City.

1.14 "Otay" means the Otay Water District.

1.15 "Point of Delivery" means the location to which City agrees to deliver Reclaimed Water to Otay, more particularly described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and by this reference incorporated herein.

1.16 "Reclaimed Water" has the meaning set forth in Title 22, Division 4 of the California Code of Regulations for "recycled water" and means water which, as a result of treatment of wastewater, is suitable for a direct beneficial use or a controlled use that otherwise would not occur.

1.17 "Reserved Capacity" has the meaning set forth in Section 2.2.

1.18 "SBWRP" means the South Bay Water Reclamation Plant.

1.19 "Term" has the meaning set forth in Section 8 herein.

1.20 "Total Capacity Reservation Charge" has the meaning set forth in Section 2.2 herein.

Section 2. Rates and Charges

2.1 City agrees to produce, make available, deliver and sell to Otay, and Otay agrees to accept, and/or purchase from City, Reclaimed Water for Three Hundred Fifty dollars ($350.00) per acre-foot as of the Effective Date of this Agreement. Otay agrees that for each year thereafter or any portion thereof (referred to herein as a "Billing Cycle"), the Commodity Rate for Reclaimed Water will escalate at the same rate adopted by the City council for other Reclaimed Water customers. The City will provide documentation supporting any increases prior to the Effective Date.

2.2 Otay shall pay City a one time Capacity Reservation Charge of $ 3,600,000.00 ("Total Capacity Reservation Charge"). $1,800,000.00 shall be paid upon execution of this Agreement. The remaining $1,800,000.00 shall be paid upon delivery of Reclaimed Water or January 1, 2007, whichever occurs first, unless an unforeseen delay has occurred pursuant to Section 3.2.3 of this Agreement. No additional capacity reservation charges will be charged to Otay for the Term of this Agreement.

2.2.1 The Total Capacity Reservation Charge paid by Otay shall reserve 6.0 MGD of capacity ("Reserved Capacity") for the quantities of Reclaimed Water specified in Section 3 of this Agreement. The Reserved Capacity shall be limited to a period of twenty years and coincide with the Term of this Agreement. The Total Capacity Reservation Charge shall not apply to any extensions or modifications to the term of this Agreement.

2.2.2 The Total Capacity Reservation Charge paid by Otay shall reserve and limit capacity to the specific Term of this Agreement and relate to the quantities of Reclaimed Water specified in Section 3 of this Agreement.

2.3 In addition to Commodity Charges, Otay shall pay the prevailing Monthly Meter Charges to be based on the rate for the 10" size meter as specified in the City of San Diego Reclaimed Rate Schedule.

Section 3. Quantity

3.1 Upon the execution of this Agreement, City agrees to produce, make available, deliver, and sell to Otay and Otay agrees to purchase from City, the Annual Contract Amount of Reclaimed Water per the schedule set forth in Exhibit B of this Agreement. Such amount shall be calculated between January 1 and December 31 of each year of the Term of this Agreement.

3.2 Otay agrees to purchase from the City no less than the Annual Contract Amount of Reclaimed Water from the time it commences delivery of Reclaimed Water. Otay is obligated

to pay the Annual Contract Amount even if it is not able to take or use the Reclaimed Water to the extent the reclaimed water is not sold to another party, as per Section 11.2.

3.2.1 For the first year that Otay accepts delivery of Reclaimed Water, the Annual Contract Amount will be prorated based on the number of days remaining in that calendar year.

3.2.2 The Annual Contract Amount is subject to adjustments for interruptions in service not caused by Otay, as more fully described in Section 4.4 of this Agreement.

3.2.3 In the event that Otay has failed to take delivery of Reclaimed Water from the City by January 1, 2007, Otay shall remain responsible to take and pay for the delivery of the Reclaimed Water or pay the Annual Contract Amount unless the Parties agree to an extension beyond January 1, 2007, due to an unforeseen delay in construction of any of the following facilities:

1. Otay pipeline connecting to the City pipeline at the north end of Dairy Mart Road Bridge.

2. The Otay 680-1 pump station.

3. The 450-1 reservoir off of Brandywine Road in Chula Vista

4. City construction of facilities up to and including the delivery point.

3.3 On or before January 1 of each year, Otay may request that the schedule set forth in Exhibit B be revised to increase the quantity of Reclaimed Water that Otay purchases from the City. If such adjustment does not adversely impact City's ability to serve other City customers, including the SBWRP, and Otay has complied with all obligations in this Agreement, City may not unreasonably reject such an adjustment in the schedule.

3.4 Unless mutually agreed in writing by City and Otay, the quantity of Reclaimed Water to be purchased by Otay as set forth in Exhibit B shall not be reduced.

3.5 Subsequent to the execution of this Agreement, and subject to the availability of Reclaimed Water, deliveries to Otay in excess of the Maximum Day Demand shall not constitute a breach of this Agreement. Such excess deliveries shall be considered interruptible in nature, and they can be discontinued at any time.

Section 4. Rate of Production

4.1 Otay shall provide City a Demand Schedule that includes a two-week rolling daily demand schedule each weekday. Otay may request one change up to 10% in the Demand Schedule by giving three days advance notice.

4.2 Reclaimed Water produced at the SBWRP shall be pumped to Otay's 450 zones in an amount equal to or greater than 6 MGD, and balanced by City to attempt to meet the Demand Schedule provided by Otay. City shall notify Otay three days in advance if the Demand Schedule amount above 6 MGD, cannot be delivered.

4.3 SBWRP production of Reclaimed Water will meet Otay's peak summer and winter seasonal orders, subject to availability as specified in the Demand Schedule.

4.4 The Parties recognize that factors beyond the control of the City could cause operational difficulties resulting in the temporary production of Reclaimed Water which does not meet the terms of this Agreement or other regulatory agencies for Otay's intended uses. In such case, the City has sole discretion and may temporarily suspend Otay's supply of Reclaimed Water from the City's facilities. City shall use its best efforts to re-establish the production of Reclaimed Water and shall re-establish Otay's supply of such water accordingly. Otay will be responsible in providing a standby water supply that may be necessary in the event of an interruption in the supply of Reclaimed Water and hereby waives any right which it might have to recover from the City damages attributable to such interruption.

Section 5. Billing

5.1 City will read the meter at the end of each month and bill Otay within 15 calendar days thereafter at Otay's address: 2554 Sweetwater Springs Boulevard, Spring Valley, CA 91978. Billing shall not commence until after the Beginning Date.

5.2 Payment shall be received at City's address listed on the invoice on or before the twentieth calendar day after the date of the invoice.

5.3 In the event Otay fails to pay any amount when due, interest thereon shall accrue at the rate of ten percent per annum from the date when due until payment is received. Failure to make a payment when due constitutes a default under this Agreement.

5.4 City shall be responsible for metering the Reclaimed Water delivered to Otay at the Point of Delivery and reading such meter for purposes of billing Otay. The quantity of Reclaimed Water for which payment is due by Otay in any month shall be the total quantity of Reclaimed Water Delivered to Otay in the preceding month as determined by the measuring

equipment described in Section 6 below.

5.4.1 Notwithstanding the foregoing, on or prior to February 15 of each Calendar Year City shall determine the actual quantity of Reclaimed Water taken by Otay in the prior Calendar Year. If the total quantity of Reclaimed Water taken is less than the Annual Contract Amount, then the City shall bill Otay for the difference between the Annual Contract Amount and the quantity of Reclaimed Water taken. Otay shall pay the invoice on or before March 30 of each Calendar Year.

5.5 Within thirty days after the end of each calendar year quarter, City shall furnish Otay with a statement showing the quantities of all Reclaimed Water delivered for the quarter.

Section 6. <u>Measuring Equipment</u>

6.1 At City's sole cost and expense, City shall furnish and install at the Point of Delivery, Exhibit A, a measuring system for recording the quantity of Reclaimed Water delivered to Otay.

6.2 During all reasonable hours, Otay shall have access to the measuring equipment and records pertinent to such measuring equipment and the quantity of Reclaimed Water delivered to Otay.

6.3 City shall be responsible for maintaining the measuring equipment in good order and condition. City shall conduct tests at least once every twelve months to determine the accuracy of such metering equipment. City shall notify Otay at least forty-eight hours in advance of the time and location of such test. If Otay requests an additional test within twelve months, City shall charge, and Otay shall pay, an amount equal to City's cost to perform such test.

6.4 At its sole cost and expense, Otay shall have the right to independently test such measuring equipment at any time during normal business hours upon forty-eight hours prior notice to City. City shall have the right to monitor such tests.

6.5 In the event the test of the measuring equipment shows that the equipment registers either more than 110 percent or less than ninety percent of the actual amount of Reclaimed Water delivered for a given flow rate, the total quantity of Reclaimed Water delivered to Otay will be deemed to be the Average Day Amount as measured by the measuring equipment when in working order. This adjustment shall be for a period extending back to the time when the inaccuracy began, if such time is ascertainable; and if such time is not ascertainable, for a period extending back to the last test of the measuring equipment or 120 days, whichever is less. In the event the metering equipment is deemed to be inaccurate, the metering equipment shall be calibrated to the manufacturer's specifications for the given flow rate or replaced at the City's sole cost and expense with accurate measuring equipment that is tested before it is placed in service.

6.6 In the event of a dispute between City and Otay regarding the accuracy of the testing equipment used by City or Otay to conduct an accuracy test, City and Otay may mutually agree to have an independent accuracy test conducted. Such test shall be conducted by an independent measuring equipment company or other third Party suitable to both City and Otay. The cost of the test shall be paid equally by City and Otay.

Section 7. Responsibility for Quality of Reclaimed Water

7.1 City shall meet all applicable federal, state, and local health and water quality requirements for Reclaimed Water produced at the SBWRP and delivered to Otay at the Point of Delivery. The Reclaimed Water shall not exceed 1000 mg/l TDS.

7.2 Otay shall be responsible for all water handling facilities it owns and operates from and beyond the Point of Delivery. Otay agrees to be responsible for maintaining and operating such facilities at its own cost and expense. Otay shall ensure that connections to the Point of Delivery are properly designed, constructed, operated and maintained.

7.3 Otay shall be responsible for the quality of the Reclaimed Water from the Point of Delivery. Otay shall be in exclusive control and possession of the Reclaimed Water after it passes through the Point of Delivery and shall be solely responsible for any injury or damage to persons or property caused thereby. Otay shall meet all applicable federal, state, and local health and water quality requirements for Reclaimed Water from the Point of Delivery. Otay shall be solely responsible for obtaining and complying with all environmental approvals and permits.

7.4 Otay shall clearly mark all infrastructure as a non-potable water source not suitable for drinking. Otay shall educate and train its employees and users on the use of the Reclaimed Water consistent with Title 22, California Code of Regulations standards.

Section 8. Term

8.1 The Term of this Agreement shall be for twenty years, commencing on January 1, 2007 or when Otay begins to take Reclaimed Water, whichever occurs first.

8.2 Otay can renew this Agreement for an additional 20-year Term or a portion thereof, subject to the payment of additional applicable capacity reservation charges to the City.

Section 9. Grants and Subsidies

9.1 City and Otay will cooperate with each other in the efforts to obtain grants and subsidies for the Reclaimed Water distribution from the South Bay Plant. Otay shall have the right to apply for and receive all appropriate benefits from the Metropolitan Water District and

the San Diego County Water Authority incentives (LRP), Title XVI revenue, subsidies, and local grants, associated with costs of transporting and delivering Reclaimed Water through its transmission system.

Section 10. Pipelines

10.1 For the Term of this Agreement, City shall provide Otay with a portion of the transmission capacity to Otay in a 4,000-foot, 30-inch transmission system through the Dairy Mart Road Bridge, in an amount equal to the quantity identified in Section 3.

10.2 At Otay's sole cost and expense, Otay shall plan, design, construct, operate and maintain the transmission piping system for transport of Reclaimed Water from the 4,000-foot, 30-inch conveyance system to the Otay 450 zone reservoirs. This pipeline shall be referenced in the facility book of the City and such reference shall clearly indicate that the pipeline is the property of Otay. Appurtenances and valve caps on the pipeline shall be marked "Otay Water District." Otay shall be permitted to use lockout caps in valve casings to ensure that City employees do not close Otay valves. Otay's facility books shall show all City mains and appurtenances in the general area of the pipeline to enable staff to better identify the source of any leak.

10.3 The Parties agree to jointly establish procedures for giving notice of any leaks, shutting down the pipeline in the event of a major break, repairing or maintaining the pipeline and using facilities for the purpose of repairing or maintaining the pipeline. Such procedures shall be effective upon the completion of the pipeline construction.

10.4 The Otay transmission main piping system travels through the City service area. Otay agrees to allow the City to utilize 1 MGD transmission capacity in the pipeline to serve Reclaimed Water customers in the City's service area.

Section 11. Right to Market

11.1 City and Otay shall each have the right to market Reclaimed Water to other entities. The Reclaimed Water rate charged by Otay to other entities or individuals shall not exceed 110% of Otay's costs, including capital costs, operation and maintenance, and the cost of the Reclaimed Water purchased from the City. Otay agrees that any proposed sale of Reclaimed Water to another Party must be approved by City. City agrees that City: (1) shall not unreasonably withhold approval; and (2) shall provide Otay with notice of approval or disapproval within forty-five (45) calendar days of receipt of notification from Otay.

9/10/03

10/14/03

11.2 City shall have the right to contract for the sale of Reclaimed Water up to the 6.00 MGD allocated to but unused by Otay as reflected in Exhibit B to this Agreement. This means that as Otay ramps up its demand for but does not require 6.00 MGD; City may sell any surplus Reclaimed Water to other customers.

Section 12. Environmental Approvals

12.1 City and Otay shall provide mutual cooperative support and assistance with any and all environmental approvals, completing processes, and meeting all requirements for projects associated with the SBWRP Reclaimed Water production, transmission, pumping, and storage facilities necessary to the successful discharge of their respective responsibilities under this Agreement.

12.2 City and Otay shall cooperate in obtaining any required or necessary modifications of the Regional Water Quality Control Board surface water or groundwater regulations to allow for the use of SBWRP Reclaimed Water within Otay service areas.

Section 13. Indemnification

13.1 With respect to any liability, including but not limited to claims asserted or costs, losses, attorney fees, or payments for injury to any person or property caused or claimed to be caused by the acts or omissions of Otay, or Otay's agents, officers, and employees, Otay agrees to defend, indemnify, protect, and hold City its agents, officers, and employees harmless from and against any and all liability. Also covered is liability arising from, connected with, caused by, or claimed to be caused by the active or passive negligent acts or omissions of City, its agents, officers, or employees which may be in combination with the active or passive negligent acts or omissions of Otay, its agents, officers, or employees, or any third Party. Otay's duty to defend, indemnify, protect, and hold harmless shall not include any claims or liability arising from the sole negligence or sole willful misconduct of City, its agents, officers, or employees.

13.2 With respect to any liability, including but not limited to claims asserted or costs, losses, attorney fees, or payments for injury to any person or property caused or claimed to be caused by the acts or omissions of City, or City's agents, officers, and employees, City agrees to defend, indemnify, protect, and hold Otay its agents, officers, and employees harmless from and against any and all liability. Also covered is liability arising from, connected with, caused by, or claimed to be caused by the active or passive negligent acts or omissions of Otay, its agents, officers, or employees which may be in combination with the active or passive negligent acts or omissions of City, its agents, officers, or employees, or any third Party. City's duty to defend, indemnify, protect, and hold harmless shall not include any claims or liability arising from the sole negligence or sole willful misconduct of Otay, its agents, officers, or employees.

Section 14. Insurance

14.1 Otay shall provide a Certificate of Insurance indicating that the City is named as an additional insured with coverage for both bodily injury and property damage in the form of a

combined single limit liability policy in the amount of not less than One Million Dollars ($1,000,000). Further, the insurance policies shall be non-surplus, and issued by an entity licensed in the State of California, and have attached a rider whereby it is provided that in the event of expiration or proposed cancellation of such policies for any reason whatsoever, the City shall be notified by registered mail, return receipt requested, in no event less than 30 calendar days before expiration or cancellation is effective. The policy shall be kept in force for the duration of this Agreement. All deductibles on any policy shall be the responsibility of Otay. A certificate of this insurance shall be filed with the City upon execution of this Agreement. Failure to provide insurance as required in this section shall constitute a material breach of this agreement.

Section 15. Miscellaneous

15.1 Amendment: This Agreement may be modified or amended by the Parties at any time. Such modifications or amendments must be mutually agreed upon and executed in writing. Verbal modifications or amendments shall be of no effect.

15.2 Integration: This Agreement, including attachments and/or exhibits, contains all of the Agreements of the Parties and all-prior negotiations and Agreements are merged herein.

15.3 Notice: Any notice, demand, request, consent, approval of communication that Either Party desires or is required to give to the other Party shall be in writing addressed to the other Party as follows:

To City of San Diego:
Water Department Director
600 B Street, Suite 1300
San Diego, CA 92101

To Otay Water District:
General Manager
2554 Sweetwater Springs Blvd.
Spring Valley, CA 91978-2096

15.4 Mandatory Mediation: If a dispute arises out of, or relates to this Agreement, or the breach thereof, which cannot be resolved by the Parties, the Parties agree to mandatory mediation under the Rules of the American Arbitration Association or any other neutral organization agreed upon before having recourse in a court of law. Any agreements resulting from mediation shall be documented in writing by all Parties. All mediation results shall be "non-binding" and inadmissible for any purpose in any legal proceeding, unless all Parties otherwise agree upon, such admission in writing.

15.5 Waiver: No failure of either City or Otay to insist upon the strict performance by the other of any covenant, term or condition of this Agreement, nor any failure to exercise any right or remedy consequent upon a breach of any covenant, term, or condition of this Agreement,

shall constitute a waiver of any such breach or of such covenant, term or condition. No waiver of any breach shall affect or alter this Agreement, and each and every covenant, condition, and term hereof shall continue in full force and effect to any existing or subsequent breach.

15.6 Assignment: Otay shall not assign the obligations under this Agreement without City's prior written approval. Any assignment in violation of this paragraph shall constitute a default and is grounds for immediate termination of this Agreement, at the sole discretion of City. In no event shall any putative assignment create a contractual relationship between City and any putative assignee.

15.7 Successors-in-Interest: This Agreement and all rights and obligations contained herein shall be in effect whether or not any or all Parties to this Agreement have been succeeded by another entity, and all rights and obligations of the Parties shall be vested and binding on their successors-in-interest.

15.8 Laws and Venue: This Agreement is entered into and shall be construed and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of California. Venue for actions arising out of the Agreement shall be in the City of San Diego, California.

15.9 Force Majeure: If the performance of any act required of City or Otay is directly prevented or delayed by reason of strikes, lockouts, labor disputes, unusual governmental delays, acts of God, fire, floods, epidemics, freight embargoes, or other causes beyond the reasonable control of the Party required to perform an act, that Party shall be excused from performing that act for the period of time equal to the period of time of the prevention or delay. In the event City or Otay claims the existence of such a delay, the Party claiming the delay shall notify the other Party in writing of that fact within 10 calendar days after the beginning of any such claimed delay.

15.10 Negotiated Agreement: The Parties agree that they have the right to be advised by counsel with respect to the negotiations, terms, and conditions of this Agreement, and the decision whether to seek advice of counsel with respect to this Agreement is the sole responsibility of each of the Parties. This Agreement shall not be construed in favor of or against either Party by reason of the extent to which each Party participated in the drafting of this Agreement.

15.11 Counterparts: This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, which when taken together shall constitute a single signed original as though all Parties had executed the same page.

15.12 Abandonment: If any part of this Agreement is abandoned or indefinitely delayed, due to circumstances beyond the control of both Parties, this Agreement may be terminated by the City and Otay. In this event, each Party shall bear its own costs without liability to the other Party.

15.13 Delegation of Authority: When this Agreement refers to an act or approval to be performed by the City, that act or approval shall be performed by the City Manager, Water Department Director, or designee.

15.14 Authority to Sign: Each Party signing on behalf of a corporation, partnership, joint venture or governmental entity hereby declares that he, she, or it has the authority to sign on behalf of his, her or its respective corporation, partnership, joint venture, entity and agrees to hold the other Party or Parties hereto harmless if he, she or it does not have such authority.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have executed this agreement effective the date first written above.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO

By _____
City Manager

OTAY WATER DISTRICT

By _____
General Manager

Approved as to form and legality on this 31 day of 10, 2003

CASEY GWINN, CITY ATTORNEY

By _____
Deputy City Attorney

P:\WORKING\WO2980\SBWRP Water Purch\SBWRP Agreement Final 7-1-03.doc

R- 298502



6/24/03

EXHIBIT A

CITY OF SAN DIEGO RECLAIMED WATER
POINT OF DELIVERY TO OTAY

EXHIBIT B

SCHEDULE OF RECLAIMED WATER DELIVERY

AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE OTAY WATER DISTRICT AND THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
FOR PURCHASE OF RECLAIMED WATER

Calendar Year	Average Annual Demand (mgd)*	Annual Contract Amount (mg)**	Annual Contract Amount Acre Feet
2003	2.100	767	2,352
2004	2.230	816	2,505
2005	2.350	858	2,632
2006	2.480	905	2,778
2007	2.600	949	2,912
2008	2.730	999	3,066
2009	2.850	1,040	3,192
2010	2.980	1,088	3.338
2011	3.610	1,318	4,044
2012	3.740	1,369	4,201
2013	3.860	1,409	4,324
2014	3.990	1,456	4,469
2015	4.110	1,500	4,604
2016	4.240	1,551	4,762
2017	4.370	1,595	4,895
2018	4.490	1,639	5,029
2019	4.620	1,686	5,175
2020	4.730	1,731	5,312
2021	4.820	1,759	5,399
2022	4.900	1,789	5,488
2023	4.980	1,818	5,578
2024	5.060	1,847	5,668
2025	5.140	1,876	5,758
2026	5.220	1,905	5,847

*mgd: Million Gallons per Day
**mg: Million Gallons

(R-2004-346)

RESOLUTION NUMBER R-298502

ADOPTED ON OCT 20 2003

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of The City of San Diego, that the City Manager is authorized to execute, for and on behalf of the City, an agreement with the Otay Water District for the purchase of reclaimed water from the South Bay Water Reclamation Plant, under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement on file in the office of the City Clerk as Document No. RR-298502, together with any reasonably necessary modifications or amendments thereto which do not increase project scope or cost and which the City Manager shall deem necessary from time to time in order to carry out the purposes and intent of this project and agreement.

APPROVED: CASEY GWINN, City Attorney

By

Deborah L. Berger
Deputy City Attorney

DLB:jc
09/26/03
Aud.Cert:n/a
Or.Dept:Water
R-2004-346
Form=auagr.frm

Passed and adopted by the Council of San Diego on OCT 20 2003 by the following vote:

YEAS: **PETERS, ZUCCHET, ATKINS, LEWIS, MAIENSCHEIN, FRYE, MADAFFER, INZUNZA, MAYOR MURPHY.**

NAYS: **NONE.**

NOT PRESENT: **NONE.**

AUTHENTICATED BY:

DICK MURPHY
Mayor of The City of San Diego, California

CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR
City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California

(SEAL)

By: Mary Cepeda, Deputy

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of RESOLUTION NO. R-298502, passed and adopted by the Council of The City of San Diego, California on OCT 20 2003.

CHARLES G. ABDELNOUR
City Clerk of The City of San Diego, California

(SEAL)

By: Mary A. Cepeda Deputy

Appendix G
District Code of Ordinance Section 26
Water Recycling Plan and Implementation Procedures

SECTION 26 WATER RECYCLING PLAN AND IMPLEMENTING PROCEDURES

26.01 FINDINGS

The state policies regarding use of recycled water are in the best interest of the Otay Water District. The majority of jurisdictions in San Diego County have adopted measures to promote water reclamation. This ordinance is necessary to protect the common water supply of the region which is vital to public health and safety, and to prevent endangerment of public and private property.

San Diego County is highly dependent on limited imported water for domestic, agricultural and industrial uses. The reliability of the supply of imported water is uncertain. By developing and utilizing recycled water, the need for additional imported water can be reduced. In light of these circumstances, certain uses of potable water may be considered unreasonable or to constitute a nuisance where recycled water is available.

26.02 USE OF RECYCLED WATER

A. District Policy: It is the policy of the District that recycled water shall be used within the jurisdiction wherever its use is financially and technically feasible, and consistent with legal requirements, preservation of public health, safety and welfare, and the environment.

A customer's recycled water service must at all time be in compliance with any requirements of service, including but not limited to the requirements established under this Section 26, the District's Rules and Regulations for Recycled Water Use, the California Water Code, commencing with Section 13520, the California Health and Safety Code, Section 116555, the California Code of Regulations, Titles 17 and 22, and Water Agency Standards.

B. Required Use for Greenbelt Purposes: Pursuant to Section 13550 of the California Water Code, no customer of the District shall make, cause, use or permit the use of potable water supplied by the District for greenbelt uses, including, but not limited to, cemeteries, golf courses, parks and highway landscaped areas, when, following notice and a hearing, the District finds that recycled water is available for such greenbelt uses and that the following conditions are met:

1. the recycled water is of adequate quality;

2. the recycled water may be furnished to such areas at a reasonable cost, comparable to or less than the cost of supplying potable domestic water;

3. the State Department of Health Services has determined that such use would not be detrimental to public health; and

4. the use of recycled water will not adversely affect downstream water rights, will not degrade water quality.

The findings may include terms and conditions under which recycled water shall be used. In addition, the District may assist the customer in obtaining any permits or approvals required for the use of recycled water.

26.03 DEFINITIONS

The following terms are defined for purposes of this ordinance:

A. Agricultural Purposes: Agricultural purposes include the growing of field and nursery crops, row crops, trees, and vines and the feeding of fowl and livestock.

B. Artificial Lake: A human-made lake, pond, lagoon, or other body of water that is used wholly or partly for landscape, scenic or noncontact recreational purposes.

C. Commercial Office Building: Any building for office or commercial uses with water requirements which include, but are not limited to, landscape irrigation, toilets, urinals and decorative fountains.

D. Recycled Water Distribution System: A piping system intended for the delivery of recycled water separate from and in addition to the potable water distribution system.

E. Greenbelt Areas: A greenbelt area includes, but is not limited to golf courses, cemeteries, parks and landscaping.

F. Industrial Process Water: Water used by any industrial facility with process water requirements which include, but are not limited to, rins-

ing, washing, cooling and circulation, or construction, including any facility regulated for industrial waste or other objectionable discharge under District Code of Ordinances Sections 52.04, 52.05 and 52.06.

G. Off-Site Facilities: Water facilities from the source of supply to the point of connection with the on-site facilities, normally up to and including the water meter.

H. On-Site Facilities: Water facilities under the control of the owner normally downstream from the water meter.

I. Potable Water: Water which conforms to the federal, state and local standards for human consumption.

J. Recycled Water: Recycled water means water which, as a result of treatment, is suitable for a direct beneficial use or controlled use that would not otherwise occur. (See Water Code Section 13050(n).)

K. Recycled Water Use Permit: A recycled water permit means a permit issued by the District approving and conditioning recycled water service for a particular site.

L. Recycled Water Site Supervisor: A person responsible for the safe and efficient installation, operation and maintenance of a recycled water use site, including but not limited to compliance with all applicable permits, enforcement of the recycled water producer's rules and regulations and the prevention of potential hazards, such as cross-connections. The Recycled Water Site Supervisor must be certified by an approved Recycled Water Site Supervisor Certification Training Class offered within the County of San Diego and must have evidence of valid certification at all times while acting as Recycled Water Site Supervisor.

M. Temporary Recycled Water Use Permit: Temporary recycled water use permit means a permit issued by the District, at its discretion, to allow temporary use of recycled water pending issuance of a recycled water use permit or pending renewal of such permit following suspension or termination due to a violation of the provisions of this Section.

N. Waste Discharge: Waste Discharge means water deposited, released or discharged into a sewer system from any commercial, industrial or residential source which contains levels of any substance or substances which may cause substantial harm to any water treatment or reclamation facility or which may prevent any use of reclaimed water authorized by law.

26.04 WATER RECLAMATION MASTER PLAN

A. General: The General Manager shall prepare and adopt a Water Recycling Master Plan to define, encourage, and develop the use of recycled water within the District's boundaries. The Master Plan shall be updated not less often than every five years.

B. Contents of the Water Recycling Master Plan: The Master Plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

1. Plants and Facilities. Evaluation of the location and size of present and future recycling treatment plants, distribution pipelines, pump stations, reservoirs, and other related facilities, including cost estimates and potential financing methods.

2. Recycled Water Service Areas. A designation, based on the criteria set forth in Section 26.02 and the information derived from Section 26.04B.1. and this Section 26.04B.2. of the areas within the District that can or may in the future use recycled water in lieu of potable water. Recycled water uses may include, but are not limited to, the irrigation of greenbelt and agricultural areas, filling of artificial lakes, and appropriate industrial and commercial uses.

3. Designate Tributary Areas. For each water reclamation facility identified in the Master Plan, designate proposed tributary areas. Within such areas, discharges to the sewage system shall be subject to permitting, monitoring and control measures to protect public health, safety and public and private property. Designation of tributary areas shall be adopted by ordinances, and may be included in the Master Plan. Prior to designation of tributary areas, appropriate notice shall be given to property owners and residents of the area.

4. Quality of Water to be Recycled. For each water reclamation treatment facility, evaluate water quality with respect to the effect on anticipated uses of recycled water to be served by each treatment facility. Evaluate sources of waste discharge and sewer inflow that may, directly or cumulatively, substantially contribute to adverse water quality conditions in recycled water.

5. Tributary Protection Measures. Develop recommended control measures and management practices for each designated tributary area to maintain or improve the quality of recycled water. Such control measures may include capital improvements to the sewer collection system and waste discharge restrictions for industrial, commercial and residential discharges.

6. Mandatory Recycled Water Use. For each recycled water service area, evaluate whether greenbelt irrigation, agricultural irrigation, commercial office buildings, filling of artificial lakes, or industrial processes shall be limited to the use of recycled water. As appropriate, mandate construction of recycled water distribution systems or other facilities in new and existing developments for current or future recycled water use as a condition of any development approval or continued water service if future reclamation facilities are proposed in the Master Plan that could adequately serve the development, in accordance with the procedures described in Section 26.05. Identify resources and adopt measures to assist water users in the financing of necessary conversions.

7. Rules and Regulations for Recycled Water Use. Establish general rules and regulations governing the use and distribution of recycled water.

8. Public Awareness Program. Establish a comprehensive water reclamation public awareness program.

9. Coordination Among Agencies. An examination of the potential for initiating a coordinated effort between the District and other

regional agencies to share in the production and utilization of recycled water.

26.05 PROCEDURES

A. Existing Potable Water Service.

1. Preliminary Determination. Based upon the Master Plan, upon the designation of each recycled water service area or the commencement of the design of new recycled water facilities, the General Manager shall make preliminary determinations as to which existing potable water customers shall be converted to the use of recycled water. Each water customer shall be notified of the basis for a determination that conversion to recycled water service will be required, as well as the proposed conditions and schedule for conversion.

2. Notice. The notice of the preliminary determination, including the proposed conditions and time schedule for compliance, and a recycled water permit application shall be sent to the water customer by certified mail.

3. Objections; Appeals. The water customer may file a notice of objection with the District within thirty (30) days after any notice of determination to comply is delivered or mailed to the customer, and may request reconsideration of the determination or modification of the proposed conditions or schedule for conversion. The objection must be in writing and specify the reasons for the objection. The preliminary determination shall be final if the customer does not file a timely objection. Staff (Engineering Department) shall review the objection and shall confirm, modify or abandon the preliminary determination. Upon issuance of a final determination in writing by Staff, customer may appeal the determination upon written application to the Board of Directors after the final determination made by the Staff (Engineering Department). The customer's written application to the Board of Directors to appeal the final determination must be received within thirty (30) days of the customer receiving the final determination.

B. Development and Water Service Approvals.

1. Conditions. Upon application by a developer, owner or water customer (herein referred to as "applicant") for a tentative map, subdivision map, land use permit or other development project as defined by Government Code Section 65928 or for new or altered water service, the District Staff shall review the Master Plan and make a preliminary determination whether the current or proposed use of the subject property is required to be served with recycled water or to include facilities designed to accommodate the use of recycled water in the future. Based upon such determination, use of recycled water and provision of recycled water distribution systems or other facilities for the use of recycled water, and application for a permit for such use may be required a condition of approval of any such application, in addition to any other conditions of approval for service.

2. Alterations and Remodeling. On a case-by-case basis, upon application for a permit for the alteration or remodeling of multifamily, commercial or industrial structures (including, for example, hotels), the District Staff shall review the Master Plan and make a preliminary determination whether the subject property shall be required to be served with recycled water or to include facilities designed to accommodate the use of recycled water in the future. Based upon such determination, use of recycled water and provision of recycled water distribution systems or other facilities for the use of recycled water, and application for a permit for such use, may be required as a condition of approval of the application.

3. Notice of Determination. A notice of the basis for the preliminary determination, proposed conditions of approval and schedule for compliance shall be provided to the applicant prior to approval of the development application or application for water service.

4. Requested Service. On a case-by-case basis, upon application for a permit to use recycled water on a property not covered by Sections 26.05.A.1, 26.05.B.1, or 26.05.B.2 above, the General Manager shall review the Master Plan and make a determination whether the subject property shall be served with recycled water.

Based upon such determination, the application for the permit shall be accepted and processed subject to Section 26.05.C.

C. Recycled Water Permit Process. Upon a final determination by the General Manager that a property shall be served with recycled water, or adoption of a condition of development approval or water service requiring use or accommodation of the use of recycled water, the water customer owner or applicant shall obtain a recycled water permit.

1. Permit Conditions. The permit shall specify the design and operational requirements for the applicant's water distribution facilities and schedule for compliance, based on the rules and regulations adopted pursuant to Section 26.04.B and shall require compliance with both the California Department of Health Services Wastewater Recycling Criteria (see California Code of Administrative Regulations, Title 22), and requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board.

2. Plan Approval. Plans for the recycled and non-recycled water distribution systems for the parcel shall be reviewed by the District Engineer and a field inspection conducted before the plans are approved.

3. Meter Permit Issuance. Upon completion of construction and approval by the District and the County Department of Environmental Health the meter permit shall be issued. Recycled water shall not be supplied to a property until inspection by the District determines that the applicant is in compliance with the permit conditions.

4. Recycled Water Use Permit Issuance. If the site has a certified Recycled Water Site Supervisor, a Recycled Water Use Permit will be issued by the District. If the site does not have a certified Recycled Water Site Supervisor identified, a Temporary Recycled Water Use Permit may be issued, for a maximum of 120 days, to allow the site to receive recycled water while a proposed Recycled Water Site Supervisor is being certified. Failure to secure a certified Recycled Water Site Supervisor for the site under a Temporary Recycled Water Use Permit may

result in discontinuation of recycled water service to the site.

D. Temporary Use of Potable Water. At the discretion of the General Manager, potable water may be made available on a temporary basis, until recycled water is available. Before the applicant receives temporary potable water, a water reclamation permit, as described in Section 26.05.C, must be obtained for new on-site distribution facilities. Prior to commencement of recycled water service, an inspection of the on-site facilities will be conducted to verify that the facilities have been maintained and are in compliance with the recycled water permit and current requirements for service. Upon verification of compliance, the applicant shall be notified of the corrective actions necessary and shall have at least thirty (30) days to take such actions prior to initiation of enforcement proceedings.

E. Recycled Water Rate. The rate charged for recycled water shall be established by Ordinance of the Board of Directors.

F. Certified Recycled Water Site Supervisor Requirements.

1. Each Approved Recycled Water Use Site is required to have a Certified Recycled Water Site Supervisor. It is the responsibility of the recycled water use site owner or property designee to assure a Certified Recycled Water Site Supervisor is assigned to the recycled water use site.

2. Each approved recycled water use site shall maintain and report annually proof of Recycled Water Site Supervisor certification, a current address, and a 24-hour emergency contact phone number for the assigned Recycled Water Site Supervisor on a form approved by the Otay Water District. Failure to report the prescribed Recycled Water Site Supervisor information annually may result in discontinuation of recycled water service to the site.

3. Recycled Water Site Supervisors shall hold a valid and current certification from a Recycled Water Site Supervisor Certification Training Course recognized by the San Diego

County Health Department and approved by Otay Water District. Evidence of said certification shall be available on site and upon request by the District. Certification alone does not constitute District approval. Recertification of the Recycled Water Site Supervisor is required every five years.

4. The Recycled Water Site Supervisor shall be solely responsible for communications with the property owner or property designee for all on site recycled water issues.

5. Periodic inspection of the Approved Recycled Water Use Site may be made by the District to verify conformance with the approved Recycled Water Use Permit. If at any time the Otay Water District conducts an inspection of a recycled water use site and the Recycled Water Site Supervisor's certification is expired or it has been determined that the recycled water use site has failed to meet the requirements of the permit, recycled water service to the site shall be discontinued until the recycled water site is brought back into compliance with the approved Recycled Water Use Permit.

6. The District reserves the right to recommend removal of a Recycled Water Site Supervisor if it has been determined upon inspection that the Recycled Water Site Supervisor's actions have placed the public at risk through improper conduct, testing, repairs, unapproved modifications, and/or reporting with respect to an Approved Recycled Water Use Site.

26.06 REGULATION OF WASTE DISCHARGE TO SEWERAGE SYSTEMS

A. Intent. The District recognizes that to maintain adequate wastewater quality for water reclamation treatment processes, and to protect public and private property, restrictions may be required on certain industrial, commercial and residential waste discharges to a sewerage system that is located within a designated tributary area of an existing or planned reclamation facility.

B. Adopted Tributary Protection Measures. Waste discharges to the sewerage system from any indus-

trial, commercial or residential source may be restricted or prohibited upon a finding, following a noticed public hearing, that the type or class of discharge involved is capable of causing or may cause substantial damage or harm to any sewage treatment or reclamation facility or to any significant user or users or potential user or users of recycled water within an area which has been planned for recycled water service. Prohibitions for certain discharges and guidelines for acceptability of wastes are set forth in District Code of Ordinances Sections 52.04, PROHIBITIONS AGAINST DISCHARGE OF OBJECTIONABLE WASTES, which prohibits discharge of certain items into the District sewer system, including, but not limited to, brine discharge from on-site self-regenerating water softener units; 52.05, GUIDELINES TO DETERMINE ACCEPTABILITY OF WASTES; and 52.06, DISCHARGE OF INDUSTRIAL WASTE.

26.07 SANCTIONS. In addition to the remedies established under Division IV of this Code, the following sanctions and remedies apply to violations of the provisions of this Section.

A. Public Nuisance. Discharge of wastes or the use of recycled water in any manner in violation of this ordinance or of any permit issued hereunder is hereby declared a public nuisance and shall be corrected or abated as directed by the General Manager. Any person creating such a public nuisance is guilty of a misdemeanor.

B. Injunction. Whenever a discharge of wastes or use of recycled water is in violation of this ordinance or otherwise causes or threatens to cause a public nuisance, the District may seek injunctive relief as may be appropriate to enjoin such discharge or use.

C. Permit Suspension or Revocation. In addition to any other provision of this Code or state statute or rule authorizing termination of water service, the General Manager may suspend or revoke a permit issued hereunder if a violation of any provision of this ordinance or the Rules and Regulations for Recycled Water Use is found to exist or if a discharge of wastes or use of recycled water causes or threatens to cause a nuisance. If a permit is revoked, the General Manager may, at its discretion, issue the recycled water user a temporary recycled water permit for up to 120 days to allow service to continue while corrective measures are completed.

D. Penalty. Any owner and/or operator who violates this ordinance shall, for each day of violation, or portion thereof, be subject to an administrative fine as described in Section 72.05.

26.08 VALIDITY

If any provision of this Section 26 or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of Section 26 and the application of such provisions to other persons or circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

Appendix H
Water Authority Documentation of Supply Reliability

Section 5
Member Agency Supplies

5.1 Introduction

Local resources developed and managed by the Water Authority's member agencies are critical to securing a diverse and reliable supply for the region. Local projects reduce demands for imported water and provide agencies with a drought-resilient supply. This section provides general information on the local resources being developed and managed by the member agencies. These supplies include surface water, groundwater, recycled water, potable reuse and desalinated seawater.

The Water Authority, working closely with its member agencies, took the following steps to update the yields anticipated from the member agencies' local supplies:

1. Provided the member agencies with the projected supply numbers included in the Water Authority's Updated 2010 Plan and requested they update the figures for their specific project(s) and separate the projects into three project categories: "verifiable," "additional planned," and "conceptual." These categories are based on the stages of development, as defined in the introduction of Section 4, "San Diego County Water Authority Supplies."
2. Prepared revised projections based on input from agencies.
3. Presented revised supply numbers to member agencies at several meetings and continued working with them to ensure the figures accurately reflected their project implementation efforts.
4. Distributed the administrative draft of the 2015 Plan to member agencies for their review, providing the agencies another opportunity to review and revise the updated local supply figures prior to Water Authority Board approval.

Before 1947, the San Diego region relied on local surface water runoff in normal and wet weather years and on groundwater pumped from local aquifers during dry years when stream flows were reduced. As the economy and population grew, local resources became insufficient to meet the region's water supply needs. From the 1950s onward, the region became increasingly reliant on imported water supplies. Since 1980, a range of 5 to 36 percent of the water used within the Water Authority's service area has come from local sources, primarily from surface water reservoirs with yields that vary directly with annual rainfall. A small but growing share of local supply comes from recycled water and groundwater recovery projects, with additional local supply planned from potable reuse and seawater desalination. Yield from these projects is considered drought-resilient since the projects are primarily independent of precipitation. In fiscal year 2015, total local water sources provided 10 percent of the water used in the Water Authority's service area.

5.2 Surface Water

5.2.1 Description

The regional surface water yield is supported by 24 surface reservoirs with a combined capacity of 746,385 AF. These reservoirs are located in seven of San Diego County's nine coastal watersheds. Runoff in these watersheds occurs at the crest of the county's Peninsular Range and drains into the Pacific Ocean. The oldest of these reservoirs, Cuyamaca, was constructed in 1887. Table 5-1 lists the 24 reservoirs, together with their operating agency and storage capacity.

Olivenhain Reservoir, completed in 2003, is the region's newest reservoir. It is part of the Water Authority's Emergency Storage Project (ESP) and has a storage capacity of 24,789 AF. The ESP adds 90,100 AF of additional storage capacity and is designed to protect the region from disruptions in the water delivery system. In addition, the 2002 Regional Water Facilities Master Plan (Master Plan) identified an opportunity to augment the ESP with a carryover storage component at San Vicente. The Water Authority completed the ESP and Carryover Storage Project (CSP) portion of the San Vicente Dam Raise in mid-2014, which provides an additional 152,000 AF of water storage capacity. Refer to Section 11.1.2 and 11.2.3 for additional information on the Water Authority's emergency and carryover storage.

Table 5-1 Major San Diego County Reservoirs

Agency (Owner)	Reservoir	Capacity (AF)
Carlsbad MWD	Maerkle	600
Escondido, City of	Dixon	2,606
Escondido, City of	Wohlford	6,506
Fallbrook PUD	Red Mountain	1,335
Helix WD	Cuyamaca	8,195
Helix WD	Jennings	9,790
Poway, City of	Poway	3,330
Rainbow MWD	Morro Hill	465
Ramona MWD	Ramona	12,000
San Diego, City of	Barrett	34,806
San Diego, City of	El Capitan	112,807
San Diego, City of	Hodges	30,633
San Diego, City of	Lower Otay	49,849
San Diego, City of	Miramar	6,682
San Diego, City of	Morena	50,694
San Diego, City of	Murray	4,684
San Diego, City of	San Vicente [1]	249,358
San Diego, City of	Sutherland	29,508

Agency (Owner)	Reservoir	Capacity (AF)
San Dieguito WD/Santa Fe ID	San Dieguito	883
San Diego County Water Authority	Olivenhain	24,789
Sweetwater Authority	Loveland	25,400
Sweetwater Authority	Sweetwater	28,079
Valley Center MWD	Turner	1,612
Vista ID	Henshaw	51,774
Total Capacity		746,385

= Connected to Water Authority aqueduct system.

[1] The Water Authority has storage rights to 152,100 AF of capacity in San Vicente Reservoir (100,000 AF is designated as CSP storage; 52,100 AF is designated as emergency storage).

Figure 5-1
Major San Diego County Reservoirs



5.2.2 Issues

Management

The Water Authority's member agencies manage most of the region's reservoirs. The San Vicente Dam Raise was completed in mid-2014 and CSP water began to be stored a year later. Together with the city of San Diego, the Water Authority coordinates San Vicente operations to optimize the use of their respective storage pools. The Water Authority also coordinates storage in Lake Hodges with the city, in order to manage its pumped storage project. The Lake Hodges Pumped Storage Project delivers water uphill to Olivenhain Reservoir in off-peak hours, generating electricity during peak demand periods through a coordinated release schedule. Also, in coordination with its member agencies, the Water Authority manages the imported conveyance system to achieve the optimal use of local and imported water resources, which include the local reservoirs. To reduce the need for imported water purchases, the reservoirs are operated to maximize the use of this local supply. Local surface water supplies can also offset dry-year shortfalls in imported water. Maximizing local yield reduces losses due to evaporation and spills, but it also results in increased demands for imported water during dry years when imported water is more likely in short supply. Most member agencies maintain some portion of their storage capacity for emergency storage. To optimize the use of local storage, the Water Authority works with its member agencies through periodic storage agreements and through its annual Aqueduct Operating Plan. Storage agreements allow for carryover storage to be placed in member agency reservoirs and to provide increased local storage capacity, which can be used during peaks on the aqueduct system. The aqueduct operating plans coordinate imported water deliveries and optimize reservoir fill opportunities. Local yield is maximized by the member agencies that operate the reservoirs.

Water Quality

See Section 7, "Water Quality," for a relevant discussion of water quality issues related to the region's water supply.

5.2.3 Projected Surface Water Supplies

Surface water supplies can represent the largest single local resource in the Water Authority's service area. However, annual surface water yields can vary substantially due to fluctuating hydrologic cycles. Since 1990, annual surface water yields have ranged from a low of 4,100 AF in fiscal year 2015 to a high of 140,300 AF in fiscal year 1984. Water Authority member agencies project average annual surface water use to decrease slightly, from 51,580 AF in 2020 to 51,180 AF in 2040.

A list of the individual reservoirs, expected yield, and basis for the supply figure can be found in **Appendix F**, Table F-1. Table 5-2 shows the projected average surface water supply within the Water Authority's service area, and the yields are utilized in the reliability analysis included in Section 9, "Water Supply Reliability."

Member agencies expect to include specific information on the projected yields from local reservoirs in 2015 plans.

Table 5-2. Projected Surface Water Supply (Normal Year – AF/YR)

2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
51,680	51,580[1]	51,480	51,380	51,280	51,180

[1] Post-2015 supply adjusted downward to account for increase in California American Water Company (Cal Am) demands from City of San Diego.

5.3 Groundwater

One of the elements identified in the Water Authority's resource mix is the use and optimization of groundwater supplies by member agencies. The Water Authority does not currently hold groundwater basin rights, nor does it own or operate groundwater facilities within San Diego County. Although opportunities are limited, groundwater is currently used to meet a portion of the municipal water demands throughout the Water Authority's service area from MCB Camp Pendleton in the north to National City in the south. This section provides a general description of municipal groundwater development within the Water Authority's service area, the issues associated with development of this supply, and projected agency yields. Inclusion of specific information required under the Act on groundwater basins and projects is expected in member agency 2015 plans.

5.3.1 Description

Within the past five years, water supply agencies within the Water Authority's service area have produced an annual average of approximately 18,944 AF of potable water supplies from groundwater. This total represents production from both brackish groundwater desalination facilities and municipal wells producing groundwater not requiring desalination. It does not include production from privately owned water wells used for irrigation and domestic purposes, or several thousand acre-feet of groundwater produced annually from the Warner Basin by the Vista ID. This groundwater is discharged into Lake Henshaw and reported as local surface water supply by the City of Escondido and Vista ID.

In addition to providing a local supply to water agencies, groundwater is also a source of supply for numerous private well owners, who draw on groundwater to help meet their domestic and agriculture water needs. In the Ramona area alone, over 1,000 privately owned wells provide a supplementary source of water for Ramona MWD customers. Similar domestic uses occur throughout the Water Authority's service area. These domestic supplies help to offset demand for imported water provided by the Water Authority and its member agencies. Although significant, the amount of groundwater pumped by private wells cannot be accurately quantified nor estimated within the Water Authority's entire service area. One agency, the Yuima MWD, did begin to report yield from its mutual water companies located within their service area in 2015, which totaled approximately 6 AF in normal year deliveries.

Groundwater production in the Water Authority's service area is limited by a number of factors, including the limited geographic extent of the more productive sand and gravel (alluvial) aquifers; the relatively shallow nature of most of the alluvial aquifers; lack of rainfall and groundwater recharge; and degraded water quality resulting from human activities, such as septic tank use.

Shallow and narrow river valleys filled with alluvial sand and gravel deposits are characteristic of the more productive groundwater basins in the San Diego region. Outside of these more productive

aquifers, groundwater is developed from fractured crystalline bedrock and semi-consolidated sedimentary deposits that occur throughout the region. However, these aquifers have limited yield and storage and are best suited for meeting domestic water needs that do not require higher flow rates. Figure 5-2 shows the locations of the principal alluvial groundwater basins within the Water Authority's service area.

Figure 5-2
Alluvial Groundwater Basins

Although groundwater supplies are less plentiful in the San Diego region than in some other areas of California, such as the Los Angeles Basin in Southern California and the Central Valley in Northern California, the Water Authority believes that sufficient undeveloped brackish groundwater supplies exist that could help meet a greater portion of the region's future water demand. Several agencies within the Water Authority's service area have identified potential projects that may provide several thousands of acre-feet of additional groundwater production in the coming years. A general summary and description of these projects is presented below.

Groundwater Extraction and Disinfection Projects

Groundwater that can be extracted and used as a potable water supply, with little more than disinfection, generally occurs outside the influence of human activities and within the upper reaches of the east–west trending watersheds. Wells producing higher quality water are operated by MCB Camp Pendleton (Santa Margarita River watershed) and the Sweetwater Water Authority (San Diego Formation aquifer). The Vista ID also operates numerous high-quality extraction wells in the Warner Basin, located in the upper San Luis Rey River watershed. The water from these wells is discharged to Lake Henshaw and eventually to the San Luis Rey River where it is then diverted farther downstream for use in Escondido and elsewhere. The unit cost of water produced from simple groundwater extraction and disinfection projects is low and generally well below the cost of imported water. Although a substantial amount of the higher quality groundwater within the Water Authority's service area is already utilized, the primary focus for future local groundwater development is brackish groundwater recovery and recharge projects.

Brackish Groundwater Recovery Projects

Groundwater high in salts and total dissolved solids (TDS) and other contaminants, that requires advanced treatment prior to potable use, is typically found in shallow basins in the downstream portions of watersheds. Brackish groundwater recovery projects use membrane technology, principally reverse osmosis, to treat extracted groundwater to potable water standards. The City of Oceanside's 6.37-MGD capacity Mission Basin Desalter and the Sweetwater Authority's existing 4.0-MGD Richard A. Reynolds Groundwater Desalination Facility are the only currently operating brackish groundwater recovery and treatment facilities within the Water Authority's service area. The City of San Diego is currently gauging the feasibility of developing two brackish groundwater projects, including the Mission Valley Brackish Groundwater Recovery Project. Unit costs for brackish groundwater recovery projects are considerably higher than those for simple groundwater extraction and disinfection projects due to the additional treatment requirements and the cost of concentrate (brine) disposal. However, where economical options exist for disposal of brine, this type of groundwater project has proven to be an economically sound water-supply option.

Groundwater Recharge and Recovery Projects

Artificial recharge and recovery projects, also referred to as conjunctive-use projects, can increase groundwater basin yields by supplementing the natural recharge process. Conjunctive-use projects divert water supplies to percolation basins or injection wells to supplement natural rainfall runoff recharge. Captured rainfall runoff, recycled water, imported water, or a combination thereof, can be used to recharge groundwater basins when water levels have been lowered sufficiently by pumping. Groundwater basins can be operated similar to surface water reservoirs to supply stored water to the region if imported deliveries are limited due to high demand, supply and facility constraints, or a

combination thereof. The Fallbrook PUD and MCB Camp Pendleton are currently exploring the feasibility of such projects.

5.3.2 Issues

Local water agencies often need to consider a multitude of issues during the planning, permitting, design, construction and operation of a groundwater project. The issues can include dealing with hydrogeological uncertainties, high upfront study and subsurface investigation costs, higher unit costs association with brackish groundwater recovery and treatment, project funding considerations, water rights, regulatory and environmental concerns, and possible contamination of groundwater that might occur after the project is constructed and facilities are brought online. Although these issues in the past have discouraged decision makers and have limited groundwater development in San Diego County, state-wide drought conditions and water supply reliability concerns are prompting renewed consideration of the viability of local groundwater development and cleanup projects for this region.

Hydrogeological and Environmental Impact Uncertainty

In groundwater basins not recently used as a source of a municipal water supply by an agency, and where a general lack of information exists regarding issues such as the physical nature of the aquifer materials, existing wells and groundwater production, water quality, and potential impact of pumping to riparian habitat, significant resources must be expended prior to determining the feasibility of a project. Subsurface exploration and field investigations are costly and time consuming. In addition, data management and utilization generally requires the development of costly large-scale numerical models. These issues, in conjunction with financial considerations, often dictate that groundwater projects be developed, and production increased incrementally, in a planned and managed fashion.

Economic and Financial Considerations

Because of the saline nature of the water and the presence of other contaminants in many of the groundwater basins in San Diego County, the cost of groundwater development often requires demineralization and brine disposal facilities, which can be costly to construct and operate.

Institutional, Legal, and Regulatory Issues

Institutional and legal issues can also impact project development. Because groundwater basins often involve multiple water agencies and/or numerous private wells and water-right holders, water rights and management authority should be addressed before a project progresses beyond the planning stage. Agencies are often reluctant to initiate groundwater development projects that go beyond the feasibility study stage unless jurisdiction and water rights issues are resolved beforehand. As challenging as those issues may be, recent drought conditions have prompted local agencies to attempt to resolve or overcome those barriers to groundwater development and proceed to groundwater project implementation. In September 2014, Gov. Jerry Brown signed a package of three bills known as the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA), which sought to provide local agencies with a framework for managing groundwater basins in a manner that ensured basin resiliency, recognized that groundwater is most effectively managed at the local level, and empowered local agencies to achieve basin sustainability within 20 years. The SGMA

further respected regional differences and provided for a tailored approach to planning. Other goals of the SGMA included:

- Established minimum standards for sustainable groundwater management
- Improved coordination between land use and groundwater planning
- Provided state technical assistance
- Created a mechanism for state intervention if, and only if, a local agency was not managing its groundwater sustainably
- Protected water rights

DWR Bulletin 118 identified 515 alluvial groundwater basins in California. DWR conducted an initial prioritization of the identified 515 basins, and those basins deemed high-and medium-priority basins are required by SGMA to develop Groundwater Sustainability Plans (GSPs) via a designated Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA). A GSA can be a local agency, a combination of local agencies, or a county. It is the GSA's responsibility to develop and implement a GSP that considers all beneficial uses and users of groundwater in the basin. GSAs must be formed by June 30, 2017. In the San Diego region, four local basins were deemed medium priority:

- San Luis Rey Valley
- San Pasqual Valley
- Santa Margarita Valley
- San Diego River Valley

Regional and local agencies impacted by this requirement are taking steps to ensure SGMA compliance within the allotted timeframe. Uncertainty over future regulatory requirements for drinking water supplies can also pose additional barriers to project development. When developing facilities and compliance plans for groundwater development and/or groundwater recharge projects, agencies must take into account proposed or potential regulatory changes related to water quality issues. Some of the regulations for which changes are expected over the next decade include state and federal drinking water standards and California Division of Drinking Water (DDW) groundwater recharge regulations.

Environmental Regulatory Constraints

Issues related to the environmental impacts that could potentially result from the fluctuation of groundwater levels when large quantities of groundwater are extracted are common to many of the groundwater projects proposed within the principal alluvial aquifers in the Water Authority's service area. These issues include potential impacts on endangered species habitat and groundwater-dependent vegetation. Impacts may occur if a project results in seasonal or long-term decreases in the depth of the groundwater. Although potential environmental impacts can generally be mitigated, mitigation costs can reduce the cost-effectiveness of a project.

Water Quality

Remediation of groundwater contamination presents a significant, ongoing operations and maintenance cost that presents barriers to project implementation. See Section 7.5, "Groundwater," for additional information on water quality for groundwater supplies.

Funding

Grant funding for groundwater development has been steadily increasing. Beginning with Title XVI of Public Law (PL) 102-575, the Reclamation Wastewater and Groundwater Study and Facilities Act, which initially authorized the federal government to fund up to 25 percent of the capital cost of authorized recycling projects. PL 104-266, the Reclamation Recycling and Water Conservation Act of 1996, authorized two additional projects. One of those projects included funding for the City of Oceanside's Mission Basin Brackish Groundwater Desalting Demonstration Project (additional detailed Title XVI funding information can be found under Section 5.4.3).

Since 1994, this project, along with the Sweetwater Authority's groundwater desalination facility, also benefitted from receiving Groundwater Recovery Program Funding from Metropolitan. To date, over $13.9 million has been received from this funding source for both the City of Oceanside and Sweetwater Authority's groundwater brackish projects.

Along with local and federal funding sources, in 2007, the San Diego Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Program submitted a grant proposal to DWR for Proposition 50 funds. As a result of this grant award, the Santa Margarita Conjunctive Use Project was awarded grant funding to enhance groundwater basin recharge and recovery to provide water supplies for both MCB Camp Pendleton and Fallbrook PUD, which also resolved a long-standing water rights dispute. The project will provide approximately 3,100 acre-feet per year (AF/YR) of new local supply from the Santa Margarita River by conjunctively managing the groundwater basin. Additionally, 1,380 acres of sensitive habitat will also be preserved along the river as a result of this project. In Southern California, wastewater, brackish water and urban runoff are high in total dissolved solids (TDS) and other impurities that require advanced treatment to allow beneficial reuse.

The North San Diego County Cooperative Demineralization Project funded under Proposition 84 - Round 1 Implementation Grant, focuses on developing new local water supplies and managing water quality issues by constructing an advanced water treatment facility (San Elijo Water Reclamation Facility) to mitigate high TDS sources, increase beneficial reuse, and study the feasibility of brackish to potable water desalination in North San Diego County.

In 2014, also with Proposition 84 grant funding awarded through the San Diego IRWM, the Sweetwater Authority will be able to expand their production of potable water from desalinated brackish groundwater through expansion of the Richard A. Reynolds Groundwater Desalination Facility for an additional 5,200 AF/YR. The project involved drilling five new wells in the San Diego Formation, construction of an additional 20,000 linear feet of conveyance and potable pipelines, and facility modifications. The desalinated groundwater produced by the project will be added directly into the potable water supply, which will offset imported water purchases. Brine discharge from this project will also help to maintain the brackish quality in the Sweetwater River estuary, protecting against incursion by non-native freshwater species. The project was implemented by the Sweetwater Authority, in partnership with the City of San Diego. This multi-year project is expected to be completed in fiscal years 2016 and 2017.

5.3.3 Projected Groundwater Supply Yield

The Water Authority has worked closely with its member agencies to develop groundwater yield projections. The most reliable projections have been developed by considering only existing (verifiable) groundwater projects, which include planned expansions to existing projects.

Table 5-3 shows the projected annual yield from verifiable groundwater projects in five-year increments, based on projections and implementation schedules or existing projects and planned expansions provided by the member agencies. These are included in the reliability analysis found in Section 9, "Water Supply Reliability." Table F-2, Appendix F contains a list of the projects and the projected supplies.

Table 5-3. Projected Groundwater Supply (Normal Year – AF/YR)

2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
23,773	31,240	32,430	33,470	33,470	33,470

An overall projected increase in groundwater production from 2020 and beyond is due primarily from the expansion of the brackish groundwater recovery and treatment project currently operated by the City of Oceanside. The City of Oceanside completed an expansion of the capacity of its Mission Basin Desalter (6.37-MGD / 4.0-MGD expansion). The new conveyance and pumping facilities, completed in November 2013, can now convey all of the production produced. Monitoring will continue of ultimate production capacity or "safe yield" of the Mission Basin.

As previously described in Section 5.3.2, the Sweetwater Authority and the City of San Diego have joined together to expand the capacity of the Richard A. Reynolds Desalination Facility (owned by the Sweetwater Authority), and share in the cost of the project and the total 8,800 AF of water produced. The Reynolds Desalination Facility treats a local groundwater supply that has previously been non-potable or unusable. The facility was initially designed and constructed to accommodate an expansion in the production capacity.

Additional Planned Projects – Groundwater

Maximizing groundwater development is critical to diversifying the region's water supply portfolio. Beyond the projections of the more reliable and verifiable projects included in Table 5-3, member agencies have also identified two additional planned projects, with an estimated total of 3,600 AF/YR of additional yield in 2040. The Otay WD Rancho Del Rey Groundwater Well Development Project is expected to yield 500 AF/YR by 2030. Fallbrook PUD/MCB Camp Pendleton's Santa Margarita Conjunctive-Use Project is projected to yield an additional 3,100 AF/YR by 2020. These additional yields are considered additional planned supplies and are reflected in Section 10, "Scenario Planning – Managing an Uncertain Future," as potential strategies to manage future uncertainty planning scenarios. These additional planned projects, as well as the conceptual projects provided by the member agencies, are also included in Table F-2, Appendix F.

5.4 Water Recycling

Another local supply identified in the Water Authority's resource mix is the optimization of recycled water use. Every gallon of recycled water used within the region reduces the need to import or

develop other water supplies. This section provides a general description of recycled water development within the Water Authority's service area, the issues associated with developing this supply, and projected regional yield. Documentation on specific existing and future recycling projects is expected to be part of the 2015 plans for those agencies that include water recycling as a supply. The Water Authority coordinated the preparation of this section with its member agencies and those wastewater agencies that operate water recycling facilities within the Water Authority's service area.

5.4.1 Description

Water may be recycled for non-potable or potable purposes. This section considers non-potable recycling. Non-potable recycling is the treatment and disinfection of municipal wastewater to provide a water supply suitable for non-drinking uses. Non-potable recycling in the San Diego Region started back in the 1960s when Padre Dam MWD began recycling water for use in Santee Lakes. Water recycling started to increase significantly in the 1990s. Today agencies in San Diego County use recycled water to fill lakes, ponds, and ornamental fountains; to irrigate parks, campgrounds, golf courses, freeway medians, community greenbelts, school athletic fields, food crops, and nursery stock; and to control dust at construction sites. Recycled water can also be used in certain industrial processes, in cooling towers, and for flushing toilets and urinals in non-residential buildings. Recycled water is also used for street sweeping and firefighting purposes.

Local agencies must consider a number of issues when developing recycled water projects, including economic and financial considerations; regulatory, institutional, and public acceptance issues; and water quality concerns related to unknown or perceived health and environmental risks. These issues, if unresolved, can limit the amount of recycled water use in San Diego County. The following sections discuss some of the specific challenges associated with recycled water development.

With additional water treatment, recycled water can also be treated to drinking (potable) water standards. Additional information on this highly treated water source (termed "potable reuse") can be found in Section 5.5.

Economic and Financial Considerations

The capital-intensive cost of constructing recycled water projects and managing a dual distribution system has traditionally been a barrier to project implementation. The up-front capital costs for construction of treatment facilities and recycled water distribution systems can be high, while full market implementation is usually phased in over a number of years, resulting in very high initial unit costs that affect cash flow in the early project years. Some local agencies have been successful in expanding recycled water by requiring developers to install dual plumbed systems as new development infrastructure is built. Otay WD, Carlsbad MWD, and the City of San Diego have all been successful utilizing this approach.

The high costs associated with converting existing water customers to non-potable recycled water use have also been challenging. This situation is compounded by the seasonal nature of recycled water demands, a lack of seasonal storage and the lack of large industrial water users in San Diego County that can use recycled water. Projects that serve a large portion of irrigation demands, like the majority of the projects in the Water Authority's service area, often use only half of their annual production capacity due to these seasonal demand patterns. The unit costs associated with these projects are generally higher than those of projects that serve year-round demands, since the project

facilities must be sized to accommodate seasonal peaking. Projects that serve mostly irrigation demands also tend to have less stable revenue bases because irrigation demands are heavily influenced by hydrologic conditions.

Recycled water is typically stored in storage tanks and ponds. Availability of seasonal and operational storage can help ensure a continuous demand and production of recycled water throughout the year, thus making projects more cost-effective. To be economically feasible, a project's benefits must offset or exceed its associated costs. Project benefits can take the form of (1) revenues from the sale of recycled water; (2) increased supply reliability; (3) increased control over the cost of future water supplies; and (4) avoided water and wastewater treatment, storage, and conveyance costs. Agencies developing recycled water projects must be able to quantify these benefits to determine the economic feasibility of a project. In addition, financial incentives and grant funding from federal and state agencies are critical to offsetting project costs and project implementation.

Regulatory

Two state agencies have primary responsibility for regulating the application and use of recycled water: the State Water Resources Control Board Division of Drinking Water (DDW) and the California Regional Water Quality Control Boards (Water Boards). As of July 1, 2014, the administration of the Drinking Water Program (DWP) transferred from the Department of Public Health (DPH) to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB). That reorganization specifically created the new DDW. This transfer of responsibility aligned the state's drinking water and water quality programs in an integrated organizational structure that positioned the state to both protect water quality and the public health as related to water quality, while meeting current needs and future demands on water supplies. Planning and implementing water recycling projects entails numerous interactions with these regulatory agencies prior to project approval.

The DDW is responsible for establishing statewide criteria for recycled water uses in Title 22 of the California Administrative Code. Under Title 22, the standards are established for each general type of use based on the potential for human contact with recycled water. The highest degree of standards for recycled water is for unrestricted body contact.

The San Diego Water Board is charged with issuing permits and enforcing requirements for the application and use of recycled water for each recycled operation, which ensures compliance with basin plan objectives and incorporates recommendations from the DDW. As part of the permit application process, applicants must demonstrate that the proposed recycled water operation will meet the ground and surface water quality objectives in the basin management plan, and will comply with Title 22 requirements. With the consent of the recycled water supplier, the San Diego Water Board and DDW may delegate review of individual non-potable use sites to the County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health (DEH).

Coordination between the regulatory agencies responsible for monitoring development of recycled water is important, along with the development of a reasonable and consistent application of regulations. Project proponents need to work closely and cooperatively with regulatory agencies in their efforts to satisfy the regulations and still be able to develop much needed, cost-effective water recycling projects. To address regulatory gaps, the Water Authority and its member agencies met with local regulators in 2012 to tackle some of these regulatory concerns. This resulted in the drafting and execution of Consent Agreements between the DEH (with consent from DDW) and the

member agencies that helped to target and focus limited regulatory staff resources to new sites and higher risk, complicated recycled water sites. Ongoing oversight of recycled water sites deemed low risk is now handled intenally by experienced, water agency staff. Cost savings and expedited recycled water hook-ups have resulted.

More recently, Water Authority staff provided direct technical assistance to local agencies by coordinating ad-hoc committee meetings and drafting technical documents to assist agencies to expedite the approval and installation of hauled recycled water fill stations and use of hauled recycled water for the following recycled water uses:

1. Street sweeping and cleaning of sidewalks and outdoor work areas,
2. Dust control, soil compaction, and construction,
3. Sewer flushing,
4. Pressure testing of newly constructed tertiary recycled water or sewer force main pipelines and gas pipelines,
5. Use of recycled water for irrigation of commercial and residential landscapes, crops, and nursery stock, and
6. Fire protection.

Acting regionally has simplified and expedited local agencies' efforts to offer their customers the option to use recycled water for these approved uses. This, in turn, has helped reduce demand on potable water systems.

Institutional

The primary institutional issue related to the development of water recycling in San Diego County is interagency coordination, such as when the wastewater agency that produces the recycled water is not the water purveyor within the reuse area. At those times, effective communication and cooperation between both agencies regarding the distribution of recycled water and providing service to the water customer is vital and should begin early in the planning process.

These institutional arrangements require contracts and/or agreements between the parties and/or agencies involved, the terms of which must be established on a case-by-case basis. The agreements usually define the reporting and compliance responsibilities, the amount of recycled water deliveries, water pricing, and a financing plan that identifies which agency will receive the financial incentives. Many local entities in the San Diego region have responsibilities to provide both water and wastewater services. Where the water and wastewater agencies are not the same, close collaboration takes place for planning, permitting and operating recycled water facilities. These close relationships have helped to advance recycled water in the San Diego region.

Public Acceptance

Without public acceptance, siting, financing, constructing, and operating a water-recycling project becomes increasingly difficult. For many in the public, a general sense of water quality and safety concerns exists due to a lack of understanding regarding the water recycling treatment process. The most successful means to obtaining public acceptance is through education and involvement. Recent

focus group findings indicate that the public is now more accepting of the safety and beneficial use of recycled water for non-potable uses, particularly during drought events.

5.4.2 Wastewater Generation, Collection, Treatment, and Disposal

Approximately 200 MGD of wastewater is currently generated, collected, treated, and disposed of within the Water Authority's service area and provides significant potential for recycled water use. Most of the large wastewater treatment plants are located along the coast for easy and convenient access to an ocean outfall. These plants serve most of the San Diego region's highly urbanized areas. Figure 5-3 identifies the location of the wastewater treatment plants and the associated outfall systems. The coastal location of the plants is not always conducive to development of recycled water. Most of the market for recycled water is located at higher elevations, making distribution systems costly. However, recycled water costs could be offset by possible savings on wastewater treatment costs where those savings are available. Table F-3, Appendix F shows a detailed list of the wastewater treatment plants within the county, their capacities at various levels of treatment, and the type of disposal. In addition, according to the County of San Diego, approximately 10 to 15 MGD of wastewater within the Water Authority's service area is generated and disposed of through private systems, such as septic tanks.

5.4.3 Encouraging Recycled Water Development

The Act requires agencies to describe in their plan the actions, including financial incentives, that agencies may take to encourage the use of recycled water. Table 5-4 summarizes the existing funding programs used by the Water Authority's member agencies. Water and wastewater agencies develop some of the programs, while others are developed or funded by other water providers and agencies, such as the Water Authority, Metropolitan, and state and federal agencies. In addition to helping with funding, regional coordination on planning and regulatory issues can also reduce costs associated with development of recycled water.

Funding Programs

Another important component of a successful recycling project is securing diversified funding and establishing funding partnerships. The Water Authority has focused on providing and facilitating the acquisition of outside funding for water recycling projects.

Financial assistance programs that have been utilized by San Diego County agencies include the Water Authority's Local Water Supply Development Program, Metropolitan's Local Resources Program (LRP), the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) Title XVI Grant Program, the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) low-interest loan programs and the IRWM Plan Grant Program. Together, these programs offer funding assistance for all project phases, from initial planning and design, to construction and operation. Financial assistance programs administered by the Water Authority and Metropolitan have provided $7.7 million to San Diego County agencies during fiscal year 2015.

Figure 5-3
Wastewater Treatment and Water Recycling Facilities



Table 5-4. Programs to Encourage Recycled Water Use

Incentive Programs Local Water Supply Development (Water Authority – existing executed agreements) Local Resources Program (Metropolitan – existing executed agreements) On-site Retrofit Pilot Program provides financial incentives directly to public or private property owners to convert potable water irrigation or industrial water systems to recycled water service. (Metropolitan)
Grants Title XVI Funding Program (U.S. Bureau of Reclamation) Public Facility Retrofit Program provides grant funding assistance for site retrofits and construction costs to increase recycled water use. (administered by Water Authority, funded through Proposition 50 grant funding, State of California) Proposition 84 Planning Grants and Implementation (State of California)
Low Interest Loans Clean Water State Revolving Fund Program (State of California)
Long-Term Contracts to Ensure Price and Reliability
Funding Assistance to State Water Resources Control Board to fund staff position(s) to expedite water recycling projects (Water Authority**)**
Regional Planning and Regulatory Assistance Regional coordination with member agencies and regulatory agencies such as the San Diego Regional Board, Division of Drinking Water, and the San Diego County Department of Environmental Health on recycled water issues Initiate, review, and comment on statewide regulatory developments and legislation to support local projects. Preparation of guidelines and Engineering reports in conjunction with member agencies, such as Recycled Water Fill Stations.

Local Water Supply Development Program

The Water Authority administers the Local Water Supply Development (LWSD) Program (formerly referred to as the Recycled Water Development Fund (RWDF) Program initially adopted by the Board in April 1991), which is designed to ensure the financial feasibility of local water recycling projects during their initial years of operation. To date, the Water Authority has entered into LWSD agreements with 11 water and wastewater agencies for a combined project yield of over 30,000 AF/YR. Over $40 million in Water Authority incentive funding has been awarded to program participants. In fiscal year 2015, the Water Authority provided local agencies with $3.7 million in LWSD incentives for agencies with existing executed agreements.

The Reclamation Wastewater and Groundwater Study and Facilities Act – Title XVI

Since 1995, the Title XVI Grant Program has been a significant source of funding for San Diego area water recycling projects. Title XVI of Public Law (PL) 102-575, the Reclamation Wastewater and Groundwater Study and Facilities Act, authorized the federal government to fund up to 25 percent of the capital cost of authorized recycling projects, including the San Diego Area Water Reclamation Program, an inter-connected system of recycling projects serving the Metropolitan Sewage System service area. PL 104-266, the Reclamation Recycling and Water Conservation Act of 1996, authorized two additional projects in northern San Diego County: the North San Diego County Area

Water Recycling Project and the Mission Basin Brackish Groundwater Desalting Demonstration Project. The North San Diego County project received its final federal funding in 2008 when it reached its maximum federal funding limit of $20 million. The City of Oceanside's Mission Basin project was also completed and received final funding totaling $3,484,000. To date, San Diego agencies have been authorized to receive more than $110.8 million under the Title XVI grant program. The funding mechanism for the San Diego Area projects (and all other authorized Title XVI projects) was changed from direct appropriations to a competitive process that requires applications to be submitted in response to an annual Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA). The Sweetwater Authority has successfully applied for funds from the last two FOA solicitations. It is expected that the Sweetwater Authority will apply again for federal funding and, if successful, will utilize this grant funding to fully fund its Richard A. Reynolds Groundwater Desalination Facility expansion project. Solicitation of additional funding by the City of San Diego, Padre Dam MWD, and the City of Escondido is anticipated for their respective potable reuse projects.

Clean Water State Revolving Fund/Water Recycling Grants

The SWRCB, through the Division of Financial Assistance, offers low interest financing agreements for water quality projects and water reclamation facilities. For fiscal years 2014 and 2015, the SWRCB made 33 binding commitments totaling over $789 million in low cost financing to eligible projects. The Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) offers agencies a below-market interest rate that can result in substantial savings on debt service. Approximately $69 million was appropriated to the SWRCB in fiscal year 2016 for funding water recycling projects. An example of funding awarded to one of the Water Authority's member agencies is a $29.5 million funding commitment to the Carlsbad MWD for advanced treatment, storage, and recycled water distribution costs. Additional construction funding can be obtained through Water Recycling Grants that provide up to 35 percent of actual eligible construction costs incurred up to a maximum of $15 million, including construction allowances. Planning grants of up to $75,000 maximum are also provided for eligible facilities planning/feasibility study costs.

Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Grant Funding: Propositions 50 and 84

In 2007, the San Diego IRWM program submitted a grant proposal to DWR for Proposition 50 grant funds and was awarded $25 million in grant funding. Section 8 describes the IRWM program in more detail. Operation of the Recycled Water Retrofit Assistance Program, managed and administered by Water Authority staff on behalf of its member agencies, continues to provide Proposition 50 grant funding to the Water Authority's member agencies and their customers. Project grant funding facilitated the retrofitting of user sites to receive recycled water and provided direct funding to water and wastewater agencies to make the required alterations and distribution system expansions to bring recycled water to their respective customer base.

In 2011, the San Diego IRWM program was awarded $7.9 million in Proposition 84 Round 1 grant funds. One of the projects funded through this source was the Phase I North San Diego County Regional Recycled Water Project (NSDCRRWP). This project is an effort by North San Diego County water and wastewater agencies to regionalize recycled water systems by identifying new agency interconnections, seasonal storage opportunities, and indirect potable water use that would maximize supplies, reduce wastewater discharges to the ocean, reduce energy consumption due to diminished delivery of imported water, and allow recycled water to play an even more significant role in meeting the region's future water needs. This project involved the support of many partners, including the Olivenhain MWD, Carlsbad MWD, Vallecitos WD, Santa Fe ID, City of Oceanside,

Leucadia WD, City of Vista/Buena Sanitation District, San Elijo Joint Powers Authority, City of Escondido, and Rincon del Diablo MWD.

In 2013, the San Diego IRWM program was awarded approximately $10 million in grant funds made available through Proposition 84 Round 2. One of the selected projects helped to implement the 10 priority sub-projects identified in Phase I of the NSDCRRWP. The Phase II NSDCRRWP helped to increase connectivity between recycled water facilities in North San Diego County. This effort increased the use of recycled water by allowing it to be distributed across the North County region, and produced an estimated 6,790 AF/YR of recycled water. Project benefits included: reducing imported water dependency, reducing discharge of recycled water to the ocean, reducing energy consumption from pumping imported water, and providing more recycled water for future water needs. The agencies involved with this effort were the Leucadia Wastewater District, Vallecitos WD, Vista ID, Rincon del Diablo MWD, Olivenhain MWD, Santa Fe ID, Carlsbad MWD, the City of Escondido, the City of Oceanside, and the San Elijo Joint Powers Authority. Grant funding totals are listed under Table 5.4.1 below:

Table 5.4.1 Recycled Water Grant Sources

	Lead Agency	Grant Award
Proposition 50		
Ray Stoyer Water Recycling Facility Demo. Plant for AWT	Padre Dam MWD	$3,000,000
Recycled Water Retrofit Assistance Program	SDCWA	$800,000
Recycled Water Distribution System Expansion	City of San Diego	$476,5146
Proposition 84 Round 1		
North San Diego County Regional Recycled Water Project	Olivenhain MWD	$1,455,000
North San Diego County Demineralization Project	San Elijo JPA	$1,018,500
Proposition 84 Round 2		
No. S. D. County Regional Recycled Water Project Phase II	Olivenhain MWD	$3,452,000
Failsafe Potable Reuse at the Advanced Water Purification Facility	WateReuse Research Foundation	$2,113,000
Proposition 84 Drought Round		
Fallbrook Plant Nurseries Recycled Water System Expansion	Fallbrook PUD	$772,000
Carlsbad Recycled Water Plant and Distribution System	Carlsbad MWD	$4,000,000

	Lead Agency	Grant Award
Proposition 84 Final Round		
Padre Dam AWT Phase I Expansion	Padre Dam MWD	$6,000,000
Safari Park Drought Response and Outreach	Zoological Society of San Diego	$2,900,000
Integrated Water Resource Solutions for the Carlsbad Watershed	San Elijo JPA	$2,500,000
Escondido AWT for Agriculture	City of Escondido	$2,000,000
UC San Diego Water Conservation and Watershed Protection Project	UC San Diego	$1,435,000
	TOTAL	**$36,210,646**

Optimizing the Use of Recycled Water – Regional Coordination

In support of the SWRCB call for salinity and nutrient planning, the Water Authority, in cooperation with the Southern California Salinity Coalition (SCSC), worked in partnership with San Diego Regional Board staff to develop guidelines for the development of Salinity/Nutrient Management Plans. The State Water Resources Control Board Recycled Water Policy (Recycled Water Policy) encouraged a stakeholder-driven process for the development of plans for the management of salt and nutrients on a basin-wide basis, as opposed to an individual discharge permit level. The San Diego region was unique in that the planning process encompassed 17 fairly small groundwater basins with varying levels of use and variable water quality. Consistent with the Recycled Water Policy, the Southern California Salinity Coalition and the San Diego County Water Authority worked with local stakeholders and San Diego Regional Board staff to develop agreed-upon guidelines for development of salt and nutrient management plans within the region.

The guidelines established priorities and recommended levels of effort for the plans depending on the size and importance of each basin within the region. Other key components of the guidelines were the recommended technical approaches for completing the salt and nutrient management plans and suggested strategies for managing salts. These guidelines were supported by both the stakeholders and the Regional Board staff. The final guidelines were endorsed by the San Diego Regional Board through a resolution adopted at their November 2010 board meeting. The agreement between the Regional Board and local stakeholders provided regulatory certainty for stakeholders and helped expedite the development of these plans within the region. Also with support from the San Diego Regional Board, IRWM grant funding was secured to help fund the development of five local Salinity Nutrient Management Plans. The following water agencies were awarded Proposition 84 grant funding and developed Salinity/Nutrient Management Plans for the following basins:

- City of San Diego for the Hodges/San Pasqual Basin
- Padre Dam MWD for the Santee Basin
- Rincon del Diablo MWD for the Escondido Basin
- Fallbrook PUD for the Middle Santa Margarita Basin

- Ramona MWD for the San Vicente/Gower Basin

Of the basins listed above, three fell under the higher priority Tier A basin category as defined in the Salt/Nutrient Management Guidelines. One fell under Tier B, and another was listed as a Tier C basin. The salt and nutrient management plans were completed and submitted to the State of California in October 2013. The implementation of these plans will improve overall water quality and use of groundwater resources within the San Diego region.

5.4.4 Projected Recycled Water Use

The Water Authority worked closely with its member agencies to determine the projected yield from existing and planned recycled water projects. Table 5-5 shows the estimated annual yield from the projects in five-year increments based on the implementation schedules provided by the member agencies and the likelihood of development. These projected supply yields will be included in the reliability analysis found in Section 9, "Water Supply Reliability." Table F-4, Appendix F contains a detailed list of the projects and projected supplies.

Table 5-5. Projected Recycled Water Use (Normal Year – AF/YR)

2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
32,595	47,460	48,825	48,959	49,159	49,459

The Water Authority's 2010 Plan projected a verifiable water yield of 38,660 AF/YR in the year 2015. As shown in Table 5-5 above, the actual yield for 2015 was 32,595 AF/YR. The increase in projected recycled water use shown in Table 5-5 in 2020 and beyond is primarily from the expansion of existing facilities.

For example, the City of Oceanside is in the process of expanding their current recycled water system with a tertiary treatment capacity expansion of 0.8 MGD at the San Luis Rey Water Reclamation Facility (SLRWRF) in addition to the construction of a 1.5-MGD tertiary treatment train, existing pump station upgrades, construction of a 1-million-gallon recycled water reservoir, and construction of over 4,500 linear feet of recycled water pipeline to serve approximately 5,225 AF/YR of recycled water demand. Another San Diego North County agency, the Carlsbad MWD, will be expanding its Water Recycling Facility's capacity to 7 MGD from its current 4 MGD, a 75 percent capacity increase. The expansion project includes adding 18 miles of new pipe to the existing recycled water distribution system for irrigation and other non-drinking purposes, and building a new 1.5 million-gallon reservoir for recycled water storage. It is projected that, by 2020, one-third of the City of Carlsbad's total water supplies will be recycled water. Recycled water development helps relieve pressure on the region's potable water supplies by providing a drought-proof, locally controlled water supply source.

Further, the City of Escondido's Advanced Water Treatment for Agriculture project, funded under Proposition 84, will construct a new microfiltration/reverse osmosis (MFRO) advanced treatment facility with a total production capacity of 2.0 MGD. Water treated at the MFRO facility will be blended with tertiary treated water from an existing recycled water plant and distributed to agricultural customers in the northern and eastern areas of Escondido. The City of Escondido has partnered with Escondido Growers for Agricultural Preservation, Vista ID, City of San Diego, and Rincon del Diablo MWD to implement this project. This project supports the San Diego region's goals

of supply reliability and sustainability, and protects water quality while supporting local agriculture and the economy.

Additional Planned Projects – Recycled Water

Maximizing recycled water development is critical to diversifying the region's water supply portfolio. Beyond the verifiable project yields included in Table 5-5 above, member agencies have also identified additional planned projects. Aside from Carlsbad MWD and City of Escondido projects described above, the Padre Dam MWD, MCB Camp Pendleton, and Santa Fe ID have identified additional planned projects that are projected to yield an additional 2,468 AF/YR by 2035. These yields are considered additional planned supplies and are utilized in Section 10, "Scenario Planning – Managing an Uncertain Future." These additional planned projects, as well as the conceptual projects provided by the member agencies, are also included in Table F-4, Appendix F.

5.5 Potable Reuse

5.5.1 Background and Description

Recycled water can be further treated for potable reuse through the use of multi-barrier advanced purification treatment processes, which may include technologies such as reverse osmosis and advanced oxidation. The advanced treated water may be passed through a natural barrier, such as a groundwater basin or surface water reservoir, and provided with additional treatment to render wastewater suitable for potable purposes. Projects that include a natural barrier are considered indirect potable reuse. Projects that deliver advanced treated water directly to a raw or treated water pipeline are considered direct potable reuse.

Several Water Authority member agencies are completing studies pertaining to potable reuse in San Diego County through groundwater recharge or reservoir augmentation. Two agencies, the City of San Diego and the Padre Dam MWD, have implemented pilot projects to determine potable reuse project viability. Detailed member agency project information can be found in Section 5.5.3.

Currently, numerous drivers make potable reuse an attractive option not only for the San Diego region, but for the state of California as well. Climate change is creating unpredictable weather patterns, which may result in recurring droughts and cause scarcity of water supply. Potable reuse is a renewable resource, which can provide a cost-effective and sustainable, high-quality water supply. Being able to maximize the use of all recycled water can reduce the impacts and costs associated with discharging waste to the ocean. De facto or incidental reuse has taken place for many years as wastes are discharged to rivers and collected and treated for potable water supplies downstream. Clean Water Act standards placed on waste discharges and treatment requirements for water suppliers through the Safe Drinking Water Act have been designed to avoid waterborne disease outbreaks and to ensure a safe and reliable potable water supply for customers. These requirements protect the public from waterborne disease outbreaks and health impacts from chemical constituents and emerging compounds. The longest standing groundwater recharge project in California has been in existence since 1962. Recycled water may be percolated through into the groundwater, or highly treated water can be directly injected into the groundwater basin.

Locally, potable reuse was first considered in the early and mid-1990s. The Water Authority and the City of San Diego proposed a potable reuse project that would deliver advanced treated water from

the North City Water Reclamation Plant and convey it to San Vicente Reservoir where it would blend with imported and local surface water prior to being treated at a surface water treatment plant. The Water Authority created a citizens advisory group to advise the Water Authority on the suitability of potable reuse as a water supply for San Diego County. In 1994, the Repurified Water Review Committee recommended that potable reuse be pursued as part of diversified mix of water supplies. The Water Authority sponsored the work of an Independent Advisory Panel of experts for indirect potable reuse and, along with the City of San Diego, conducted detailed studies that were submitted to state health authorities to determine regulatory guidelines for an indirect potable reuse project blending advanced treated recycled water in San Vicente Reservoir. The California Department of Public Health (CDPH) approved this concept of reservoir augmentation in 1996, but no reservoir augmentation project has been built in San Diego or other parts of California. In 1998, the Water Authority co-funded a report by the National Research Council on "Issues in Potable Reuse: The Viability of Augmenting Drinking Water Supplies with Reclaimed Water," which concluded that planned indirect potable reuse was a viable option. In 2006, the City of San Diego again began planning for a potable reuse project and, in 2012, CDPH and the San Diego Regional Board conceptually approved the City of San Diego's proposed indirect potable reuse project for surface water augmentation through San Vicente Reservoir.

The SWRCB DDW, formerly CDPH, has the authority to permit direct potable reuse projects, but no projects have been proposed or approved in California. Two direct potable reuse projects have been approved in Texas in response to extreme drought conditions and were placed into operation. The many years of advanced research concerning potable reuse in California and elsewhere have proven that reliable technology is now available to allow agencies to consider direct potable reuse as a potentially viable and acceptable treatment option. A direct potable reuse treatment scheme, if approved by DDW, will permit water suppliers in San Diego to maximize the use of existing infrastructure and produce a new, safe, and viable potable water supply for the San Diego region. As water supplies become scarcer, particularly in the arid west, more such projects will likely be proposed.

Economic and Financial Considerations

Potable reuse projects are being considered when they are deemed more cost effective and feasible than non-potable recycled water projects. Potable reuse projects have an advantage as they do not require construction of a dual distribution system, and once treatment and conveyance facilities have been constructed, the full amount of water produced can be immediately available to augment local water supplies. Costs for potable reuse are in range with other locally developed supplies. An added cost advantage may result as a potable reuse project may also contribute to meeting waste discharge requirements. Cost of conveyance to move advanced treated water to a local reservoir can be a significant component of a project cost. If the advanced treated water can be delivered to a reservoir closer to the point of production, it can significantly reduce project costs. Regulatory requirements on which reservoirs can be used, and whether direct potable reuse can be permitted by the regulatory agencies, will have a significant impact on overall project costs.

Institutional

The institutional arrangements between wastewater agencies and water suppliers will be similar for potable reuse projects in the region as they are for recycled water, as described in Section 5.4.1. An additional factor to consider will be the ongoing coordination between operators of advanced treatment facilities and the operators of local surface water treatment facilities for projects with a

downstream surface water treatment plant. Increased coordination will be required to ensure a safe reliable drinking water supply.

Public Acceptance

Like recycled water, public acceptance for potable reuse projects is critical for the success of the project. Potable reuse projects are under a high level of public scrutiny to ensure the safety of the drinking water supply. While the technology for potable reuse projects has been proven, these projects may fail due to lack of public acceptance. In the San Diego region, project proponents have done a significant level of public outreach for potable reuse projects. Tours of demonstration facilities, such as those constructed by the City of San Diego and Padre Dam MWD, have proven highly successful in convincing the public of the safety of the product water. Polls in the San Diego region have demonstrated increasing public acceptance of potable reuse as a safe water supply. The Water Authority has worked with the member agencies through the Potable Reuse Coordination Committee (PRCC) to coordinate and develop common language and messaging to be used throughout the region. This ad-hoc Committee, consisting of regional agencies interested in developing and promoting potable reuse projects meets on an as needed basis, to engage and keep the member agencies informed on the latest potable reuse regulatory, legislative, and stakeholder outreach efforts at the local and state levels.

Legislative and Regulatory

Legislative Requirements to Develop Potable Reuse Regulations

Potable reuse projects require a high level of regulatory scrutiny and are currently approved on a case-by-case basis. Historically, an expert panel has been convened to look at project specifics and provide recommendations to the project proponent and DDW. While all projects will build on the knowledge and efforts obtained through past indirect potable reuse projects, local reservoir augmentation projects are anticipated as the first to be approved in California. The California Legislature passed SB 918 in 2010 and SB 322 in 2013, legislation sponsored and actively supported by the Water Authority, which expedited specific regulations for indirect potable reuse use surface water augmentation. This legislation also sought acknowledgement by the State of California that direct potable reuse was a viable water supply option. Specifically, the bills directed the Department of Public Health to:

1. Adopt regulations for indirect potable reuse through groundwater recharge by Dec. 31, 2013 (later moved to July 1, 2014).
2. Form an expert panel to provide recommendations to DDW on the surface water augmentation regulations and feasibility of direct potable reuse.
3. Form a public advisory group representing diverse water supply, environmental, and business interests to provide input to the expert panel on issues related to direct potable reuse, with all of the public advisory group meetings to be open and transparent public meetings.
4. Adopt regulations for surface water (reservoir) augmentation by Dec. 31, 2016.
5. Report to the legislature by Dec. 31, 2016, on the ability to adopt regulations for direct potable reuse.

Development of Potable Reuse Regulations

In June 2014, CDPH adopted regulatory criteria for approval of groundwater recharge projects. SB 322 was critical in helping move the evaluation of direct potable reuse forward in a timely manner in California.

In February 2014, CDPH formed the Public Advisory Group, which has met regularly. The City of San Diego and Padre Dam MWD are represented on the Public Advisory Group as well as other San Diego interests. In its first meeting, the Public Advisory Group provided recommendations to DDW on the formation of an Expert Panel to provide recommendations on technical issues related to surface water augmentation criteria and the feasibility of adopting regulations for potable reuse. The Expert Panel consists of 12 experts in the fields of toxicology, wastewater treatment, drinking water supplies treatment, drinking water standards, epidemiology, limnology, microbiology, and chemistry. The Public Advisory Group has weighed in on developing common terminology to describe potable reuse and the need and approach for having certified and qualified operators for advanced treatment facilities. The Advisory Group has also expressed interest in economic impacts and viability of implementing potable reuse as well as public health concerns including emerging contaminants, and will raise issues of public interest that may need to be addressed by the Expert Panel. The Advisory Group has also discussed concerns on how DDW may delineate between surface water augmentation and direct potable reuse. As the State of California develops criteria for surface water augmentation, projects using multi-purpose and smaller reservoirs could be considered direct potable reuse.

The Expert Panel has also been meeting regularly since 2014. The Expert Panel has considered the proposed research agenda of the WateReuse Research Foundation and provided recommendations to the DDW regarding possible research gaps. The DDW presented for consideration a proposed framework for surface water augmentation, the focus of which will be on multiple treatment barriers for removal of pathogens and chemical constituents and approaches for ensuring the reliable monitoring and operation of the treatment processes. To minimize the need for a case-by-case review of projects, the surface water augmentation criteria should provide for flexibility in the range of reservoir size and configuration that may be acceptable for surface water augmentation projects. The Expert Panel will also develop a white paper with a list of issues as it relates to direct potable reuse. Concepts regarding direct potable reuse are similar to the framework for surface water augmentation with an increased emphasis on reliability, including monitoring, operator qualifications, and response to treatment failures.

Permitting of Potable Reuse Projects

Potable reuse projects require close collaboration between the San Diego Water Board and DDW. Local groundwater recharge projects are permitted by the San Diego Water Board under reclamation criteria or waste discharge requirements. The Water Board will incorporate recommendations from the DDW to ensure the protection of public health. Groundwater projects will conform to the groundwater recharge criteria.

Local surface water augmentation projects will be permitted by the San Diego Water Board for the discharge into local reservoirs. For any discharge to waters of the United States, a discharge permit meeting federal Clean Water Act requirements is required. The new advanced treated supply will also be permitted by DDW as a drinking water supply under the Safe Drinking Water Act. While no direct potable reuse projects have been permitted in California, it is expected that these projects, if

delivered directly to a piped system, would be permitted by DDW as a drinking water supply. Projects not falling within an existing set of regulations may be reviewed by DDW and the San Diego Water Board on a case-by-case basis. Projects determined protective of public health and the environment would be issued the appropriate permits.

Importance of Science Based Regulations

The primary obligation of all drinking water suppliers is to protect public health. Regulations and transparency of information ensure that drinking water is safe and that information is available to the public to instill confidence that the public's health is protected. Any potable reuse project will be required to achieve the same high standard of public health protection as any other drinking water supply. Because of the high standard involved in protecting public health and the extensive use of treatment technology to meet drinking water standards for potable reuse, science-based research is essential to both the regulatory development process and instilling public confidence. The Water Authority has been active in promoting the importance of research in regulation development.

In 2012, the WateReuse Association and the WateReuse Research Foundation launched a potable reuse initiative and raised over $6 million to fund the research necessary to overcome any regulatory, scientific, technical and public perception barriers to potable reuse. The San Diego region has been a strong supporter of the direct potable reuse initiative. The Water Authority and several member agencies have directly contributed to this effort. An additional $2.113 million through the San Diego IRWM Program and DWR will fund the WateReuse Research Foundation's "Failsafe Potable Reuse at the Advanced Water Purification Facility" project. The Water Authority supports this effort through its management of the San Diego IRWM grant program. WateReuse Research Foundation project research will be an important part of the State of California's development of indirect and potentially direct potable reuse regulations, and will provide objective, science-based information for the public to understand the levels of protection and safety that contribute to developing this important new water supply.

Funding of Potable Reuse Projects

The primary sources of outside funding available for potable reuse projects include Title XVI, Clean Water State Revolving Funds, and IRWM. These funding sources are described in more detail in Section 5.4.3.1. Propositions 50 and 84 have already provided support for potable reuse through the San Diego IRWM by funding for the City of San Diego's water purification demonstration project, Padre Dam MWD's potable reuse demonstration project, and the WateReuse Failsafe Potable Reuse Project. These projects provided the research necessary to move potable reuse forward in the San Diego region and in California. Proposition 84 will also provide funding for the Padre Dam Phase IA recycled water treatment plant expansion, which will increase supply available for potable reuse projects.

5.5.2 Water Authority Activities in Support of Potable Reuse

The Water Authority has been a staunch supporter and an active participant in advancing the goal of implementing potable reuse in San Diego County for over 20 years. The more recent focus of the Water Authority's efforts to advance potable reuse has been through advocacy for legislation and regulations that move projects forward in the near term. Water Authority staff has been prominently involved through participation in the WateReuse Association Potable Reuse Task Force; WateReuse Legislative and Regulatory Committee; and though other regulatory advocacy

venues, including attending and providing comments at Expert Panel meetings by advocating and supporting member agency interests.

The Water Authority has also been able to track trends in public acceptance of recycled water through its public opinion survey. This effort provides a foundation for member agency outreach and measures the effectiveness of those outreach efforts. In 2012, 71 percent of respondents believed that it was possible to further treat recycled water used for irrigation to make the water pure and safe for drinking. This was an increase over the 2011 survey findings where 66 percent felt that it is possible to further treat recycled water for drinking purposes. However, both the 2011 and 2012 survey results represent a substantial increase over the 2009 survey response where just 53 percent thought it was possible.

Water Authority staff has been supporting member agencies in three key areas: public outreach and messaging, engaging with regulatory agencies and the Expert Panel, and helping secure funding for local projects. While member agencies will lead the development of their own specific projects, the need continues for regional coordination and collaboration on potable reuse issues. Water Authority staff will continue to engage with member agencies and the DDW to ensure that the regulatory framework developed by the DDW and reviewed by the Expert Panel considers the wide range of approaches expected as part of member agency projects.

In addition, Water Authority staff has supported member agencies by actively engaging in public outreach in support of member agency projects. This support has included:

1. Coordinate with member agencies through Joint Public Information Committee to develop common outreach messaging that will support potable reuse projects.
2. Coordinate with the member agencies through the Potable Reuse Coordination Committee.
3. Outreach to the general public to increase public acceptance of potable reuse through presentations, development of handout materials for public outreach events and use by the member agencies, and sharing of information through the Water Authority's website.
4. Communicate with regional, local, state, and federal elected officials on the importance of potable reuse for the San Diego region to gain support for potable reuse.
5. Communicate with SWRCB members and staff on the safety and importance of potable reuse.
6. Collaborate with other organizations that support potable reuse including WateReuse, the Water Reliability Coalition, and state and local environmental groups on common outreach to support potable reuse.
7. Advocate at the state and local level for reasonable regulations that will support the safe use of recycled water for local potable reuse projects.

5.5.3 Projected Supply through Potable Reuse

The Water Authority worked closely with its member agencies to determine the projected yield from existing and planned potable water reuse projects. Table 5-6 shows the estimated verifiable annual yield from the projects in five-year increments based on the implementation schedules provided by the member agencies and the likelihood of development. These projected supply yields will be included in the reliability analysis found in Section 9, "Water Supply Reliability". Table F-5, Appendix F contains a detailed list of the projects and projected supplies.

Table 5-6. Projected Potable Reuse Water Use (Normal Year – AF/YR)

2015	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
0	3,360	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000

The increase in projected potable reuse shown in Table 5-6 in 2025 and beyond is primarily from the expansion of planned facilities.

The City of Oceanside completed the investigative phase of their Indirect Potable Reuse (IPR) project to enhance water supply reliability. This will involve the recharging of the Mission Groundwater Basin using water treated at the San Luis Rey Water Reclamation Facility (SLRWRF). This project will utilize advanced treated recycled water for subsurface and surface replenishment supplied through the AWT facility. The Phase I Project includes the construction of a 3-MGD capacity AWT facility, three injection wells, and a separate conveyance pipeline to the injection wells, which will result in the production of 3,360 AF/YR to be used for groundwater injection. Phase II consists of adding 1,700 AF/YR of advanced treated water for surface spreading at two water basins. This will necessitate increasing the capacity of the AWT facility to accommodate this additional supply for a final capacity of 4.5 MGD, which will provide an ultimate yield of 5,000 AF/YR of groundwater recharge. Both Project Phases 1 and 2 will require the construction of monitoring wells. It is expected that the City of Oceanside's Indirect Potable Reuse Project will be operational in 2021.

Additional Planned Potable Reuse Projects

As part of the City of San Diego's effort to provide a local and sustainable water supply, the City of San Diego's Water Purification Demonstration Project (WPDP) examined the use of advanced water purification technology to provide a safe and reliable water supply, and determined if reservoir augmentation using this purified water was a feasible option for San Diego. The WPDP concluded in 2013. The information collected via various studies and the results of the WPDP determined that it was feasible for San Diego to use water purification technology.

Pure Water San Diego, the City's phased, multi-year program to produce purified water to supplement San Diego's drinking water supply, is scheduled to be operational by 2021. The long-term goal, producing 83 million gallons of purified water per day (one-third of San Diego's future drinking water supply), is scheduled for 2035.

The East County Regional Water Reuse Program Planning Study (Study) was partially grant funded and addressed the elements of the Recycled Water Facilities Planning Report outline provided by the SWRCB. The study evaluated the potential for expanding Title 22 tertiary recycled water for irrigation and developing potable reuse within the study area that included the following four agencies: Padre Dam Municipal Water District (Padre Dam MWD), Helix Water District (Helix WD), the County of San Diego (County), and the City of El Cajon (El Cajon). The objectives of the East County Regional Potable Reuse Program were as follows:

1. Produce potable water at a cost less than $2,000/AF.
2. Incur wastewater treatment cost at less than that projected for Metropolitan and associated Pure Water costs.
3. Yield at least 2 MGD for Phase 1 Groundwater Replenishment and Reuse Program (GRRP).

Potable reuse will require full advanced treatment in addition to conventional wastewater treatment by microfiltration (MF), reverse osmosis (RO), ultraviolet (UV) disinfection, and advanced oxidation process (AOP), which is similar to treatment provided in Orange County. Further treatment using free chlorine to produce Advanced Water Treatment (AWT) water is also planned. The addition of free chlorine provides supplemental log removal to meet DDW requirements that allow a reduction in the environmental buffer, meaning reduced travel time requirements. The Study also evaluated the feasibility to treat all wastewater generated by Padre Dam MWD, the County, and El Cajon using full advanced treatment at Padre Dam MWD's Ray Stoyer Water Recycling Facility (WRF) before being injected into the Santee Groundwater Basin or used to augment surface water at Lake Jennings. For surface water augmentation at Lake Jennings, AWT water will be blended with a mixture of imported surface water and local runoff in Lake Jennings before it is treated at Helix WD's R. M. Levy Water Treatment Plant. In March 2015, Padre Dam opened the Advanced Water Purification Demonstration Facility, which uses a four-step water purification process to treat recycled water using state-of-the-art technologies. Since its opening, this pilot facility has produced approximately 100,000 gallons of purified water each day for testing purposes to ensure it meets the public health objectives to earn approval from the State Water Resources Control Board's DDW. The water purified at the facility is not currently being distributed as drinking water.

Conceptual Potable Reuse Projects

Conceptual projects include the City of Escondido, which is planning to expand its non-potable water recycling program to include additional landscaping and agricultural irrigation, and incorporation of a future indirect potable reuse element. Escondido is pursuing this dual path for water supply reliability and to avoid the cost of a future ocean outfall expansion associated with its discharge of secondary treated wastewater. The Escondido City council has approved exploring this project alternative and has incorporated this approach into their long-range financial planning.

5.6 Member Agency Seawater Desalination

5.6.1 Carlsbad Desalination Plant

In June 2011, the Water Authority board of directors adopted guiding principles for the Water Authority to make available to its member agencies up to 49 percent of the Minimum Annual Demand Commitment (48,000 AF) and provide the opportunity for member agencies to enter into uniform contracts to make firm commitments to individually purchase from the Water Authority treated water in designated amounts that represent a portion of the Minimum Annual Demand Commitment. Vallecitos Water District (Vallecitos) and Carlsbad Municipal Water District (Carlsbad) both passed resolutions expressing their intention to enter into uniform contracts with the Water Authority to purchase desalinated water. Beginning in July 2016, Vallecitos and Carlsbad will purchase 3,500 and 2,500 AF/YR, respectively, for a full contract year, representing a total of 6,000 AF of member agency seawater desalination water supply annually.

5.6.2 Rosarito Beach Desalination Project, Otay Water District

The Otay Rosarito Beach Desalination Project is not considered a verifiable supply and is therefore not included in the reliability assessment in Section 9. The Otay project is considered an additional

planned project and is presented in Section 10 as a potential strategy to manage future uncertainty planning scenarios.

Plans are underway to develop a seawater desalination facility in Rosarito Beach by a private company, Consolidated Water Co. Ltd. This bi-national project would produce up to 100 MGD for potential distribution to the federal and /or state agencies serving the Rosarito and Tijuana areas, and to Otay Water District. The district has sought a presidential permit to build a cross-border pipeline to carry up to 50 million gallons per day from Mexico into its service area, which is currently awaiting approval.

Section 9
Water Supply Reliability

Under the Act, every UWMP must include an assessment of water supply reliability. The assessment must compare the total projected water supply and demands over the next 20 years in 5-year increments under normal, single dry-year, and multiple dry water years. The assessment contained in the 2015 Plan evaluates reliability through the next 25 years. In addition to the verifiable mix of resources utilized in the reliability assessment, additional planned resources by the Water Authority and its member agencies have also been identified. Additional planned projects can further reduce the region's reliance on sources of supply from Metropolitan, such as the Bay-Delta. This section presents a summary of the water demands and supplies within the Water Authority's service area along with the reliability assessment and discussion on additional planned projects. Results from the reliability assessment demonstrate that the region's existing and projected water resource mix is drought-resilient, with only minor shortages during multiple dry periods occurring 15 to 20 years in the future. These shortages can be mitigated through extraordinary water conservation actions and if necessary, dry-year transfers.

9.1 Development of Projected Water Resources Mix

In summary, development of the projected mix of resources to meet future demands is based on the following factors:

I. Member agency information on projected water recycling, potable reuse, groundwater, desalination, and surface water (discussed in Section 5);

II. Attaining the additional regional water use efficiency targets (Section 2)

III. Board approvals taken in regard to Water Authority supplies (Section 4 and 11):

   a. Agreement between IID and the Water Authority for Transfer of Conserved Water, and other related agreements (Section 4.2);

   b. Agreements related to the ACC and CC Lining Projects, and other related agreements (Section 4.3);

   c. Claude "Bud" Lewis Carlsbad Desalination Plant Water Purchase Agreement between the Water Authority and Poseidon Water (Section 4.5);

   d. Acceptance of San Vicente Dam Raise Project (emergency and carryover storage) as complete (Section 11.2.4);

   e. Approval of 2013 Regional Water Facilities Optimization and Master Plan Update (Section 1.6.4)

   f. Agreements and actions related to out-of-region groundwater banking program (Section 11.2.4).

9.2 Normal Water Year Assessment

Table 9-1 shows the normal year assessment, summarizing the total water demands within the Water Authority's service area through the year 2040 along with the supplies necessary to meet demands under normal conditions. Section 2 contains a discussion of the normal year water demands in the Water Authority's service area. If Metropolitan, the Water Authority and member agency supplies are maintained and developed as planned, along with achievement of the additional water conservation, no shortages are anticipated within the Water Authority's service area in a normal year through 2040.

In the reliability assessment, the projected supplies from Metropolitan are considered supplemental and are calculated as the increment of supply necessary to meet demands after taking into account member agency and Water Authority supplies. Metropolitan staff provided the Water Authority with estimated demands on Metropolitan that will be used in their 2015 Plan. The estimated demands are shown to be adequate to cover the supplemental need identified in Table 9-1. The data provided by Metropolitan is included in Appendix I.

Table 9-1. Normal Water Year Supply and Demand Assessment (AF/YR)[1]

	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
Water Authority Supplies					
IID Water Transfer	190,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000
ACC and CC Lining Projects	80,200	80,200	80,200	80,200	80,200
Carlsbad Desalination Plant	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000
Sub-Total	320,200	330,200	330,200	330,200	330,200
Member Agency Supplies					
Surface Water	51,580	51,480	51,380	51,280	51,180
Water Recycling	47,460	48,825	48,959	49,159	49,459
Seawater Desalination	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000
Potable Reuse	3,360	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
GW Recovery	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300
Groundwater	17,940	19,130	20,170	20,170	20,170
Sub-Total	139,640	143,735	144,809	144,909	145,109
Metropolitan Water District Supplies	123,343	157,918	178,591	197,215	222,326
Total Projected Supplies	**583,183**	**631,853**	**653,600**	**672,324**	**697,635**
Total Demands w/ Water Efficiency Savings	583,183	631,853	653,600	672,324	697,635

[1] Normal water year demands based on 1960 – 2013 hydrology.

9.3 Dry Water Year Assessment

In addition to a normal water year assessment, the Act requires an assessment to compare supply and demands under single dry and multiple dry water years over the next 20 years, in five-year increments. Section 2 describes the derivation of the dry water year demands. Table 9-2 shows the single dry-year assessment. The dry-year demands reflect long-term water use efficiency, but do not incorporate potential savings due to extraordinary conservation occurring during droughts. This approach allows for a more comprehensive shortage analysis and drought response planning.

The projected groundwater and surface water yields shown in the table are based on 2015 dry-year supplies during the present drought beginning 2012. The supplies available from member agency projected recycling, potable reuse, and groundwater recovery projects are assumed to experience little, if any, reduction in a dry-year. The Water Authority's existing and planned conserved supplies from the IID transfer, canal lining projects, and Carlsbad Desalination Plant are also considered "drought-resilient" supplies as discussed in Section 4. For this single dry-year assessment, it was assumed that Metropolitan would have adequate supplies in storage and would not be allocating supplies. With the previous years leading up to the single dry-year being wet or average hydrologic conditions, Metropolitan should have adequate supplies in storage to cover potential shortfalls in core supplies and would not need to allocate.

Table 9-2. Single Dry Water Year Supply and Demand Assessment Five Year Increments (AF/YR)

	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
Water Authority Supplies					
IID Water Transfer	190,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000
ACC and CC Lining Projects	80,200	80,200	80,200	80,200	80,200
Carlsbad Desalination Plant	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000
Sub-Total	320,200	330,200	330,200	330,200	330,200
Member Agency Supplies					
Surface Water	6,004	6,004	6,004	6,004	6,004
Water Recycling	47,460	48,825	48,959	49,159	49,459
Seawater Desalination	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000
Potable Reuse	3,360	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
GW Recovery	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300
Groundwater	15,281	15,281	15,281	15,281	15,281
Sub-Total	91,405	94,410	94,544	94,744	95,044
Metropolitan Water District Supplies	212,918	252,296	275,715	296,199	335,223
Total Projected Supplies	**624,523**	**676,906**	**700,459**	**721,143**	**760,467**
Total Demands w/ Water Efficiency Savings	624,523	676,906	700,459	721,143	760,467

If Metropolitan, the Water Authority and member agency supplies are maintained and developed as planned, along with achievement of the additional conservation target, no shortages are anticipated within the Water Authority's service area in a single dry-year through 2040.

In accordance with the Act, Tables 9-3, 9-4, 9-5, 9-6, and 9-7 show the multiple dry water year assessments in five-year increments. Similar to the single dry-year assessment, the member agencies' surface and groundwater yields shown in these tables are reflective of supplies available during the present drought beginning 2012, in years 2013, 2014 and 2015. While surface and groundwater yields are based on historic estimates and remain the same, recycled and brackish groundwater yields are based on projected growth in these member agency supplies. For the multi dry-year reliability analysis, the conservative planning assumption is that Metropolitan will be allocating supplies to its member agencies. By assuming allocations in this reliability assessment, it allows the Water Authority to analyze how storage supplies could potentially be utilized and the likelihood of shortages. Currently, Metropolitan allocates supplies through its Water Supply Allocation Plan. Because it is uncertain in the future how Metropolitan will allocate supplies to its member agencies, the analysis in the tables assumes they are allocated based on preferential right to Metropolitan supplies. As discussed in Section 6.1.1, Section 135, Preferential Right to Purchase Water, is included in Metropolitan's Act and allows a Metropolitan member agency to acquire for use within the agency supplies based on preferential rights at any time.

The Water Authority's annual preferential right percentage of Metropolitan supplies, used in Tables 9-3 through 9-7, is estimated through 2040 and is based on Metropolitan's current method of calculating preferential rights. In 2015, a Superior Court ruled Metropolitan under-calculated the Water Authority's preferential right to Metropolitan water. That ruling is being appealed. The analysis assumes total Metropolitan dry-year supplies available for allocation to be 1,500,000 AF throughout the planning period. This was maintained due to the numerous uncertainties associated with identifying Metropolitan's future available supplies. In Section 10, there are scenarios presented that modify the dry-year supplies available for allocation. This total supply assumes reduced deliveries from the State Water Project and Colorado River Aqueduct along with limited storage supplies.

Table 9-3. Multiple Dry Water Year Supply and Demand Assessment Five-Year Increments (AF/YR) – 2017-2019

	2017	2018	2019
Member Agency Supplies	114,600	96,027	83,308
Water Authority Supplies	230,200	260,200	290,200
Metropolitan Allocation (Preferential Right)	279,450	280,500	281,400
Total Estimated Core Supplies w/o Storage Takes	624,250	636,727	654,908
Total Demands w/ Water Efficiency Savings	604,829	628,721	660,670
Potential Supply (Shortage) or Surplus *(Difference between Supplies and Demands)*	19,421	8,006	-5,762
Utilization Carryover Supplies	0	0	5,762
Total Projected Core Supplies w/ Utilization of Carryover Storage Supplies	624,250	636,727	660,670
Remaining Potential Surplus Supply, or (Shortage) that will be handled through Management Actions	19,421	8,006	0

Table 9-4. Multiple Dry Water Year Supply and Demand Assessment Five-Year Increments (AF/YR) – 2021-2023

	2021	2022	2023
Member Agency Supplies	132,771	110,062	93,208
Water Authority Supplies	330,200	330,200	330,200
Metropolitan Allocation (Preferential Right)	282,750	283,050	283,350
Total Estimated Core Supplies w/o Storage Takes	745,721	723,312	706,758
Total Demands w/ Water Efficiency Savings	632,681	665,756	707,457
Potential Supply (Shortage) or Surplus *(Difference between Supplies and Demands)*	113,040	57,556	(699)
Utilization Carryover Supplies	0	0	699
Total Projected Core Supplies w/ Utilization of Carryover Storage Supplies	745,721	723,312	707,457
Remaining Potential Surplus Supply, or (Shortage) that will be handled through Management Actions	113,040	57,556	0

Table 9-5. Multiple Dry Water Year Supply and Demand Assessment Five-Year Increments (AF/YR) – 2026-2028

	2026	2027	2028
Member Agency Supplies	135,202	111,919	94,490
Water Authority Supplies	330,200	330,200	330,200
Metropolitan Allocation (Preferential Right)	283,500	283,350	283,050
Total Estimated Core Supplies w/o Storage Takes	748,902	725,469	707,740
Total Demands w/ Water Efficiency Savings	681,549	710,641	749,758
Potential Supply (Shortage) or Surplus *(Difference between Supplies and Demands)*	67,353	14,828	(42,018)
Utilization Carryover Supplies	0	0	42,018
Total Projected Core Supplies w/ Utilization of Carryover Storage Supplies	748,902	725,469	749,758
Remaining Potential Surplus Supply, or (Shortage) that will be handled through Management Actions	67,353	14,828	0

Table 9-6. Multiple Dry Water Year Supply and Demand Assessment Five-Year Increments (AF/YR) – 2031-2033

	2031	2032	2033
Member Agency Supplies	135,349	112,079	94,664
Water Authority Supplies	330,200	330,200	330,200
Metropolitan Allocation (Preferential Right)	281,550	280,950	280,350
Total Estimated Core Supplies w/o Storage Takes	747,099	723,229	705,214
Total Demands w/ Water Efficiency Savings	704,215	735,777	772,413
Potential Supply (Shortage) or Surplus *(Difference between Supplies and Demands)*	42,884	(12,548)	(67,199)
Utilization Carryover Supplies	0	12,548	40,000
Total Projected Core Supplies w/ Utilization of Carryover Storage Supplies	747,099	735,777	745,214
Remaining Potential Surplus Supply, or (Shortage) that will be handled through Management Actions	42,884	0	(27,199)

Table 9-7. Multiple Dry Water Year Supply and Demand Assessment Five-Year Increments (AF/YR) – 2036-2038

	2036	2037	2038
Member Agency Supplies	135,569	112,319	94,924
Water Authority Supplies	330,200	330,200	330,200
Metropolitan Allocation (Preferential Right)	278,850	278,550	278,100
Total Estimated Core Supplies w/o Storage Takes	744,619	721,069	703,224
Total Demands w/ Water Efficiency Savings	730,024	763,528	807,375
Potential Supply (Shortage) or Surplus *(Difference between Supplies and Demands)*	14,595	(42,459)	(104,151)
Utilization Carryover Supplies	0	42,459	40,000
Total Projected Core Supplies w/ Utilization of Carryover Storage Supplies	744,619	763,528	743,224
Remaining Potential Surplus Supply, or (Shortage) that will be handled through Management Actions	14,595	0	(64,151)

Under specific parameters assumed in the multi dry-year analysis, some level of shortage could potentially be experienced, as shown in Tables 9-6, and 9-7. The shortages are minor and due primarily to increasing water demands due to economic growth within the region.

As discussed in Section 11.2.4, the Water Authority has invested in carryover storage supply capacity, which can be utilized in dry-years to improve reliability. The carryover storage investment includes both surface water storage in San Vicente Reservoir and out-of-region groundwater storage in California's Central Valley, for a total of approximately 99,000 AF of storage supplies available.

As described in Section 11.2.4, there are a number of factors to consider when determining the utilization of carryover supplies to reduce or eliminate shortages. The storage take amount should

be handled on a case-by-case basis, considering such items as, current demand trends, core supply availability, hydrologic conditions, and storage supply available for withdrawal. These factors will vary depending upon the situation. For the analysis in the 2015 Plan, it was assumed the carryover storage supplies would be full going into the dry-year period. In determining the amount to utilize, the analysis uses general guidelines that approximately one third of the San Vicente Reservoir carryover supplies available in storage will be utilized in one year. Utilizing a portion of available storage supplies avoids depletion of storage reserves, thereby making water available for potential ongoing or future shortages. The supplies taken from carryover storage will be considered a Water Authority regional supply to be combined with Water Authority's core supplies and any potential dry-year transfers.

Under the Water Authority's current Transitional Special Agricultural Water Rate (TSAWR) program requirements, customers in the TSAWR class of service receive no water from the Carryover Storage Program during Stage 2 or 3 of the Water Shortage Drought Response Plan. During shortages, TSAWR deliveries are also cutback at the same level of Metropolitan's cutback to the Water Authority. Extension of the TSWR program had been approved by the Water Authority Board in March 2014 and will be revisited by the Board again in 2020. For planning purposes only, the assessments in Tables 9-3 through 9-7 do not factor in this program requirement, due to the uncertainties associated with the future of the program beyond 2020. This also provides a more conservative planning analysis.

In years where shortages may still occur, after utilization of carryover storage, additional regional shortage management measures, consistent with the Water Authority's Water Shortage and Drought Response Plan (described in Section 11.2.1), will be taken to fill the supply shortfall. These measures could include extraordinary conservation, achieved through voluntary or mandatory water-use restrictions. A description of the savings achieved during the 2012-2016 shortage period is described in Section 11.2.3. As discussed in the following section, the amount of savings achieved through extraordinary conservation measures could be limited due to demand hardening. In addition, the Water Authority could evaluate the option of securing dry-year transfers, which the Water Authority successfully acquired and utilized during the 2007-2011 shortage management period. (Description of the Water Authority's dry-year transfer program is included in Section 11.2.4.).

It should be emphasized that the amount of extraordinary conservation savings expected to be achieved through mandatory measures, such as water-use restrictions, could be less than that experienced in the previous shortage periods. This is due to the concept known as demand hardening. Demand hardening diminishes the ability or willingness of a customer to reduce demands during shortages as a result of having implemented long-term conservation measures. Responsiveness to drought pricing and general price increases will diminish because remaining essential uses are less responsive to price. This will reduce customer discretionary demands and create less flexibility in the managing of demand during shortages. This will increase the importance of acquiring supplemental dry-year supplies to eliminate or reduce potential supply shortages. Section 11.2.4 discusses the Water Authority's potential dry-year supplies. Long-term permanent conservation savings is critical to ensuring water is used most efficiently and will help avoid or minimize drought situations. Due to potential demand hardening, shortage management measures such as water-use restrictions and drought pricing, may not be as effective in the future in achieving necessary savings to help reduce the supply gap.

9.4 Reliability of Supply

The above sections identify the diverse mix of resources planned to meet future demands in both a normal and dry-year. Implementation of this regional resource mix will require maintaining and developing projects and programs by the Water Authority, its member agencies, and Metropolitan. The Water Authority coordinated with its member agencies and Metropolitan during preparation of the 2015 Plan on the future demands and supplies projected for the region. The steps being taken by the member agencies and Metropolitan to develop supplies are addressed in their respective urban water management plans. Section 4 contains the steps taken and remaining actions necessary to develop and maintain the Water Authority supplies.

The Act requires agencies to describe reliability of the water supply and vulnerability to seasonal and climatic shortage. Sections 9.2 and 9.3 describes the results of the water supply reliability assessment for the region, during normal water years, single dry years, and multiple dry years. The Act also requires the 2015 Plan to contain historic data on supplies available for the three water year types. The following is the historic total supplies, both local and imported, that were utilized during the periods identified: Normal/average (607,200AF) based on 30-year average between 1986 and 2015, single dry year (477,458AF) based on 2015, and multiple dry water years (581,828AF, 590,119AF, and 477,458AF) based on years 2013-2015. Supplies utilized in a non-allocation dry period could exceed the supplies utilized in a normal year, due to the ability to purchase additional imported supplies from Metropolitan. It should also be noted that in the reliability assessment, contained in Section 9.2, the average local supply yields are not based on historic yields, but projected numbers provided by member agencies. These figures more accurately reflect the expected yield based on current local agency policies and procedures on operations and management of the supply.

Key to long-term reliability will be the monitoring of supplies and demands in order to make necessary modifications to the core and dry-year resources identified in the normal and dry-year resource mixes. The Water Authority Board will monitor reliability of existing supplies and development of identified future supplies through the Annual Supply Report and five year updates to the UWMP.

The Act requires that, for any water source that may not be available at a consistent level of use, given specific legal, environmental, water quality, or climatic factors, that the agency describe, to the extent practicable, plans to replace that source with alternative sources or water demand management measures. As stated throughout the 2015 Plan, the Water Authority and its member agencies are planning to develop a diverse supply of resources. The unavailability of any one supply source will be buffered because of the diversity of the supplies: the region is not reliant on a single source. To replace or supplement an existing supply, the Water Authority could take steps to further long-term water use efficiency and work with member agencies to further maximize development of recycled water, potable reuse, groundwater, and seawater desalination. In order to adequately plan for potential supply uncertainties and identify alternative sources, the 2015 Plan contains a scenario planning process described in Section 10.

9.5 Additional Planned Supply Projects

The mix of current and future supplies is developed jointly between the Water Authority and its member agencies. The mix of supplies is being represented in two ways. Verifiable supplies are those supplies identified by the Water Authority or member agencies as having achieved a level of certainty in their planning and implementation, such as where California Environmental Quality Act has been satisfied, permits are in hand or contracts have been executed. As part of this general definition, these projects also have the political support of the governing body to more forward and be implemented at this time. Verifiable supplies are included in water supply assessments and verifications prepared by retail water agencies and used by the cities and county in their land use decisions regarding available water supplies for growth under SB 221 and SB 610. Those projects with adequate documentation regarding implementation and supply utilization, or existing projects already planned for expansion, were included in the assessments discussed in Sections 9.2 and 9.3. Additional planned supplies are those that have not yet achieved the same level of certainty as the verifiable supplies, but have progressed to a point where the Water Authority or a member agency has taken significant financial actions to pursue the project. Additional planned supplies are not included in supply verifications for SB 221 and SB 610.

These additional planned supplies are important to the region for a number of reasons. The Water Authority and member agencies must continue to strive to develop cost-effective local resources that can further diversify the region's supplies and reduce demands for imported water from Metropolitan. They provide objectives for the region to work towards by resolving any funding, regulatory, and other constraints associated with implementation. As part of conducting comprehensive supply planning, both the verifiable and additional planned projects are evaluated in regards to meeting future demands and the need for supplemental supplies from Metropolitan. Table 9-8 includes the evaluation of verifiable and additional planned projects compared with projected water demands in a normal year. It is important to emphasize that this evaluation is presented as a potential supply scenario and not the region's reliability analysis for purposes of compliance with state laws governing approval of land-use projects (SB 610 and 221).

Table 9-8. Supply Scenario with Additional Planned Projects (Normal Year AF/YR)

	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
Water Authority Supplies					
IID Water Transfer	190,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000
ACC and CC Lining Projects	80,200	80,200	80,200	80,200	80,200
Regional Seawater Desalination	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000
Sub-Total	320,200	330,200	330,200	330,200	330,200
Water Authority Additional Planned (Desal)	0	0	0	56,000	56,000
Water Authority Total	**320,200**	**330,200**	**330,200**	**386,200**	**386,200**
Member Agency Supplies					
Verifiable Total (Additional Planned)	139,640	143,735	144,809	144,909	145,109
Surface Water	0	0	0	0	0
Water Recycling	2,840	8,546	3,796	2,796	2,796
Desal	0	15,100	15,600	16,100	16,800
Reuse	4,470	29,086	46,686	106,099	106,099
GW Recovery	0	0	500	500	500
Groundwater	3,100	3,100	3,100	3,100	3,100
Member Agency Total	**150,050**	**199,567**	**214,491**	**273,504**	**274,404**
Total Projected Local Supplies	470,250	529,767	544,691	659,704	660,604
Metropolitan Water District Supplies	112,932	102,086	108,909	12,620	37,031
Total Supplies	**583,182**	**631,853**	**653,600**	**672,324**	**697,635**
Total Demands w/ Water Efficiency Savings	583,182	631,853	653,600	672,324	697,635

[1] Normal water year demands based on 1960 – 2008 hydrology.

The specific member agency local recycled water, potable reuse and brackish groundwater projects included in the figures are listed in Tables F-2 and F-4, respectively, in Appendix F. Also included in the Appendix are conceptual projects identified by the member agencies.

Appendix I
District Code of Ordinance Section 39
Water Shortage Response Plan

SECTION 39. WATER SHORTAGE RESPONSE PROGRAM

39.01 DECLARATION OF NECESSITY AND INTENT

(a) This Section establishes water management requirements necessary to conserve water, enable effective water supply planning, assure reasonable and beneficial use of water, prevent waste of water, prevent unreasonable use of water, prevent unreasonable method of use of water within the District in order to assure adequate supplies of water to meet the needs of the public, and further the public health, safety, and welfare, recognizing that water is a scarce natural resource that requires careful management not only in times of a water shortage, but at all times.

(b) This Section establishes regulations to be implemented during times of declared water shortages, or declared water shortage emergencies. It establishes four levels of actions to be implemented in times of shortage, with increasing restrictions on water use in response to worsening water shortage conditions and decreasing available supplies.

(c) The Level 1 water shortage response condition practices are voluntary and will be reinforced through local and regional public education and awareness measures that may be funded in part by the District. Beginning at the level 2 Water Shortage Response Condition, the District may implement water shortage pricing. When a water shortage response Level 2 condition is declared, all conservation practices and water-use restrictions may become mandatory and increasingly restrictive in order to attain escalating conservation goals.

(d) During a Water Shortage Response Level 3 condition or higher, the water conservation practices and water use restrictions established by this ordinance are mandatory and violations are subject to criminal, civil, and administrative penalties and remedies specified in Section 72 of this ordinance.

39.02 DEFINITIONS APPLICABLE TO THE PROGRAM

(a) The following words and phrases whenever used in this Section shall have the meaning defined in this sub-section:

1. “Grower” refers to those engaged in the growing or raising, in conformity with recognized practices of husbandry, for the purpose of commerce, trade, or industry, or for use by public educational or correctional institutions, of agricultural, horticultural or floricultural products, and produced: (1) for human consumption or for the market, or (2) for the feeding of fowl or livestock produced for human consumption or for the market, or (3) for the feeding of fowl or livestock for the purpose of obtaining their products for human consumption or for the market. “Grower” does not refer to customers who purchase water subject to the Metropolitan Interim Agricultural Water Program or the Water Authority Special Agricultural Rate programs.

2. “Water Authority” means the San Diego County Water Authority.

3. “DMP” means the Water Authority’s Drought Management Plan in existence on the effective date of this Section and as readopted or amended from time to time, or an equivalent plan of the Water Authority to manage or allocate supplies during shortages.

4. “Metropolitan” means the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California.

5. “Person” means any natural person, corporation, public or private entity, public or private association, public or private agency, government agency or institution, school district, college, university, or any other user of water provided by the District.

39.03 APPLICATION

(a) The provisions of this Section apply to any person in the use of any water provided by the District.

(b) This Section is intended solely to further the conservation of water. It is not intended to implement any provision of federal, State, or local statutes, ordinances, or regulations relating to protection of water quality or control of drainage or runoff. Refer to the local jurisdiction or Regional Water Quality Control Board for information on any storm water ordinances and storm water management plans.

(c) Nothing in this Section is intended to affect or limit the ability of the District to declare and respond to an emergency, including an emergency that affects the ability of the District to supply water.

(d) The provisions of this Section do not apply to use of water from private wells or to recycled water.

(e) Nothing in this Section shall apply to use of water that is subject to a special supply program, such as the Metropolitan Interim Agricultural Water Program or the Water Authority Special Agricultural Rate programs. Violations of the conditions of special supply programs are subject to the penalties established under the applicable program. A person using water subject to a special supply program and other water provided by the District is subject to this Section in the use of the other water.

(f) In addition, customers are encouraged not to wash down paved surfaces, including but not limited to sidewalks, driveways, parking lots, tennis courts, or patios, except when it is necessary to alleviate safety or sanitation hazards.

At all times, the following practices shall be in effect:

1. Prevent water waste resulting from inefficient irrigation, such as runoff or overspray. Similarly, stop water flows

onto non-targeted areas, such as adjacent property, non-irrigated areas, hardscapes, roadways, or structures.

2. Serve and refill water in restaurants and other food service establishments only upon request.

3. Offer guests in hotels, motels, and other commercial lodging establishments the option of not laundering towels and linens daily.

4. Repair all water leaks within forty-eight hours (48) of notification by the District unless other arrangements are made with the General Manager or designee.

39.04 WATER SHORTAGE RESPONSE LEVEL 1 – SUPPLY WATCH CONDITION

(a) A Water Shortage Response Level 1 condition is also referred to as a “Supply Watch” condition. A Level 1 condition applies when the Water Authority notifies its member agencies that due to water shortage or other supply reductions, there is a reasonable probability there will be supply shortages and that a consumer demand reduction of up to 10 percent is required in order to ensure that sufficient supplies will be available to meet anticipated demands. The General Manager shall declare the existence of a Level 1 and take action to implement the Level 1 conservation practices identified in this Section.

(b) During a Level 1 condition, the District will increase its public education and outreach efforts to emphasize increased public awareness of the need to implement the following water conservation practices. The same water conservation practices may become mandatory if the District declares a Level 2 condition:

1. Irrigate residential and commercial landscape before 10 a.m. and after 6 p.m. only. Customers are to water no more than three days a week using the suggested watering schedule as found on the District’s web page. New plantings and newly seeded areas are exempt for 30 days.

2. Use a hand-held hose equipped with a positive shut-off nozzle or bucket to water landscaped areas, including trees and shrubs located on residential and commercial properties that are not irrigated by a landscape irrigation system.

3. Irrigate nursery and commercial grower's products before 10 a.m. and after 6 p.m. only. Watering is permitted at any time with a hand-held hose equipped with a positive shut-off nozzle, a bucket, or when a drip/micro-irrigation system/equipment is used. Irrigation of nursery propagation beds is permitted at any time. Watering of livestock is permitted at any time.

4. Use re-circulated water to operate ornamental fountains.

5. Wash vehicles, including but not limited to motorcycles, farm equipment, trailers, boats and boat engines and motorhomes using a bucket and a hand-held hose with positive shut-off nozzle, mobile high pressure/low volume wash system, or at a commercial site that re-circulates (reclaims) water on-site. Vehicle washing is limited to once per week.

6. Use recycled or non-potable water for construction purposes when available.

39.05 WATER SHORTAGE RESPONSE LEVEL 2 – SUPPLY ALERT CONDITION

(a) A Water Shortage Response Level 2 condition is also referred to as a "Supply Alert" condition. A Level 2 condition applies when the Water Authority notifies its member agencies that due to cutbacks caused by water shortage or other reduction in supplies, a consumer demand reduction of 11 to 20 percent is required in order to have sufficient supplies available to meet anticipated demands. The District Board of Directors may declare the existence of a Level 2 condition and implement the Level 2 conservation practices identified in this section of the ordinance. The District may decide to implement some or all of the Level 1 practices.

(b) All persons using District water shall make every effort to comply with Level 1 water conservation practices during a Level 2, and also to comply with the following additional conservation measures:

1. Limit residential and commercial landscape irrigation to no more than three (3) days per week. This section shall not apply to homeowner's vegetable gardens, fruit trees, commercial growers, or nurseries.

2. Limit lawn watering and landscape irrigation using sprinklers to no more than fifteen (15) minutes per watering station per day. During the months of November through April, landscape irrigation shall not exceed seven (7) minutes per water watering station per assigned day. Watering times may need to be shortened to avoid run-off. This provision does not apply to landscape irrigation systems using water efficient devices, including but not limited to: weather based controllers, drip/micro-irrigation systems, rotating sprinkler nozzles and stream rotor sprinklers.

3. Water landscaped areas, including trees and shrubs located on residential and commercial properties, and not irrigated by a landscape irrigation system on the same schedule set forth above by using a bucket, hand-held hose with positive shut-off nozzle, or low-volume non-spray irrigation.

4. Irrigation is not allowed during a rainstorm and for forty-eight hours after one-quarter inch or more of rainfall is measured at Lindbergh Field. No washing down of paved surfaces, including but not limited to sidewalks, driveways, parking lots, tennis courts, or patios, except when it is necessary to alleviate safety or sanitation hazards.

39.06 WATER SHORTAGE RESPONSE LEVEL 3 – SUPPLY CRITICAL CONDITION

(a) A Water Shortage Response Level 3 condition is also referred to as a "Supply Critical" condition. A Level 3 condition applies when the Water Authority notifies its member agencies that due to increasing cutbacks caused by

water shortage or other reduction of supplies, a consumer demand reduction of between 21 and 40 percent is required in order to have sufficient supplies available to meet anticipated demands. The District Board of Directors may declare the existence of a Level 3 condition and implement the Level 3 conservation practices identified in this Section.

(b) All persons using District water shall comply with Level 1 and Level 2 water conservation practices during a Level 3 condition and shall also comply with the following additional mandatory conservation measures:

1. Limit residential and commercial landscape irrigation to no more than two (2) assigned days per week on a schedule established by the General Manager or designee and posted by the District. During the months of November through April, landscape irrigation is limited to no more than once per week on a schedule established by the General Manager or designee and posted by the District. This section shall not apply to commercial growers or nurseries.

2. Water landscaped areas, including trees and shrubs located on residential and commercial properties, and not irrigated by a landscape irrigation system on the same schedule set forth above by using a bucket, hand-held hose with a positive shut-off nozzle, or low-volume non-spray irrigation.

3. Stop filling or re-filling ornamental lakes or ponds, except to the extent needed to sustain aquatic life, provided that such animals are of significant value and have been actively managed within the water feature prior to declaration of a water shortage response level under this Section.

4. Stop operating non-residential ornamental fountains or similar decorative water features unless recycled water is used.

5. Stop washing vehicles except at commercial carwashes that re-circulate water, or by high pressure/low volume wash systems. If a commercial car wash cannot accommodate the vehicle because of the vehicle size or type, such as RVs, horse trailers, boats and commercial vehicles, customers will be

allowed to wash vehicles using a bucket and a hand-held hose with positive shut-off nozzle, mobile high pressure/low volume wash system.

(c) Upon the declaration of a Level 3 condition, the District may suspend new potable water service and statements of immediate ability to serve or provide potable water service (such as, will serve letters, certificates, or letters of availability) except under the following circumstances:

1. A valid, unexpired building permit has been issued for the project; or

2. The project is necessary to protect the public's health, safety, and welfare; or

3. The applicant provides substantial evidence of an enforceable commitment that water demands for the project will be offset prior to the provision of a new water meter(s) to the satisfaction of the District.

This provision shall not be construed to preclude the resetting or turn-on of meters to provide continuation of water service or to restore service that has been interrupted.

(d) Upon the declaration of a Level 3 condition, the District will suspend consideration of annexations to its service area.

(e) The District may establish a water allocation for property served by the District using a method that takes into consideration the implementation of conservation methods or the installation of water saving devices. If the District establishes a water allocation, it shall provide notice of the allocation by including it in the regular billing statement for the fee or charge or by any other mailing to the address to which the District customarily mails the billing statement for fees or charges for on-going water service. Following the effective date of the water allocation as established by the District, any person that uses water in excess of the allocation shall be subject to a penalty for each billing unit of water in excess of the allocation. The penalty for excess water

usage shall be cumulative to any other remedy or penalty that may be imposed for violation of this Section.

39.07 WATER SHORTAGE RESPONSE LEVEL 4 – SUPPLY EMERGENCY CONDITION

(a) A Water Shortage Response Level 4 condition is also referred to as a "Supply Emergency" condition. A Level 4 condition applies when the Water Authority Board of Directors declares a water shortage emergency pursuant to California Water Code section 350 and notifies its member agencies that Level 4 requires a demand reduction of more than 40 percent in order for the District to have maximum supplies available to meet anticipated demands. The District shall declare a Level 4 in the manner and on the grounds provided in California Water Code section 350.

(b) All persons using District water shall comply with conservation measures required during Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3 conditions and shall also comply with the following additional mandatory conservation measures:

1. Stop all landscape irrigation, except crops and landscape products of commercial growers and nurseries. *This restriction shall not apply to the following categories of use unless the District has determined that recycled water is available and may be lawfully applied to the use.*

A. Maintenance of trees and shrubs that are watered on the same schedule as noted in the Level 3 Condition, by using a bucket, hand-held hose with a positive shut-off nozzle, or low-volume non-spray irrigation;

B. Maintenance of existing landscaping necessary for fire protection as specified by the Fire Marshal of the local fire protection agency having jurisdiction over the property to be irrigated;

C. Maintenance of existing landscaping for erosion control;

D. Maintenance of plant materials identified to be rare or essential to the well being of rare animals;

E. Maintenance of landscaping within active public parks and playing fields, day care centers, school grounds, cemeteries, and golf course greens, provided that such irrigation does not exceed two (2) days per week according to the schedule established under the District's Level 3 Condition;

F. Watering of livestock; and

G. Public works projects and actively irrigated environmental mitigation projects.

2. Repair all water leaks within twenty-four (24) hours of notification by the District unless other arrangements are made with the District.

(c) The District may establish a water allocation for property served by the District. If the District establishes water allocation it shall provide notice of the allocation by including it in the regular billing statement for the fee or charge or by any other mailing to the address to which the District customarily mails the billing statement for fees or charges for on-going water service. Following the effective date of the water allocation as established by the District, any person that uses water in excess of the allocation shall be subject to a penalty for each billing unit of water in excess of the allocation. The penalty for excess water usage shall be cumulative to any other remedy or penalty that may be imposed for violation of any provision of this Section.

39.08 CORRELATION BETWEEN DROUGHT MANAGEMENT PLAN (DMP) AND WATER SHORTAGE RESPONSE LEVELS

(a) The correlation between the Water Authority's DMP stages and the District's water shortage response levels identified in this Section of the Code of Ordinance is described herein. Under DMP Stage 1, the District would implement Water Shortage Response Level 1 actions. Under DMP Stage 2, the District would implement Water Shortage Response Level 1 or Level 2 actions. Under DMP Stage 3, the District would implement Water Shortage Response Level 2, Level 3, or Level 4 actions.

(b) The water shortage response levels identified in this Section correspond with the Water Authority DMP as identified in the following table:

Water Shortage Response Levels	Use Restrictions	Conservation Target	DMP Stage
1 - Supply Watch	Voluntary	Up to 10%	Stage 1 or 2
2 - Supply Alert	Mandatory	11 to 20%	Stage 2 or 3
3 - Supply Critical	Mandatory	21 to 40%	Stage 3
4 - Supply Emergency	Mandatory	Above 40%	Stage 3

39.09 PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINATION AND NOTIFICATION OF WATER SHORTAGE RESPONSE LEVEL

(a) The existence of a Water Shortage Response Level 1 condition may be declared by the General Manager upon a written determination of the existence of the facts and circumstances supporting the determination. A copy of the written determination shall be filed with the Clerk or Secretary of the District and provided to the District Board of Directors. The General Manager may publish a notice of the determination of existence of Water Shortage Response Level 1 condition in one or more newspapers, including a newspaper of general circulation within the District. The District will also post notice of the condition on their website.

(b) The existence of Water Shortage Response Level 2 or Level 3 conditions may be declared by resolution of the District Board of Directors adopted at a regular or special public meeting held in accordance with State law. The mandatory conservation measures applicable to Water Shortage Response Level 2 or Level 3 conditions shall take effect on the tenth (10) day after the date the response level is declared. Within five (5) days following the declaration of the response level, the District shall publish a copy of the resolution in a newspaper used for publication of official notices.

(c) The existence of a Water Shortage Response Level 4 condition may be declared in accordance with the procedures specified in California Water Code sections 350 to 352 as note below:

***350**. The governing body of a distributor of a public **water** supply, whether publicly or privately owned and including a mutual **water** company, may declare a **water** shortage emergency condition to prevail within the area served by such distributor whenever it finds and determines that the ordinary demands and requirements of **water** consumers cannot be satisfied without depleting the **water** supply of the distributor to the extent that there would be insufficient **water** for human consumption, sanitation, and fire protection.*

***351**. Except in event of a breakage or failure of a dam, pump, Pipe line or conduit causing an immediate emergency, the declaration shall be made only after a public hearing at which consumers of such **water** supply shall have an opportunity to be heard to protest against the declaration and to present their respective needs to said governing board.*

***352**. Notice of the time and place of hearing shall be published pursuant to Section 6061 of the Government **Code** at least seven days prior to the date of hearing in a newspaper printed, published, and circulated within the area in which the **water** supply is distributed, or if there is no such newspaper, in any newspaper printed, published, and circulated in the county in which the area is located.*

The mandatory conservation measures applicable to Water Shortage Response Level 4 conditions shall take effect on the tenth (10) day after the date the response level is declared. Within five (5) days following the declaration of the response level, the District shall publish a copy of the resolution in a newspaper used for publication of official notices. If the District establishes a water allocation, it shall provide notice of the allocation by including it in the regular billing statement for the fee or charge or by any other mailing to the address to which the District customarily mails the billing statement for fees or charges for on-going water service. Water allocation shall be effective on the fifth (5) day following the date of mailing or at such later date as specified in the notice.

(d) The District Board of Directors may declare an end to a Water Shortage Response Level by the adoption of a resolution at any regular or special meeting held in accordance with State law.

Appendix J
District Code of Ordinance Section 72
Penalties and Damages

SECTION 72 PENALTIES AND DAMAGES

72.01 GENERAL

A. User and Owner Responsibility. Each person receiving service, or that owns a property that receives service, agrees to pay the District any applicable fees and charges. Such persons are also responsible for all costs and damages in connection with any violation of this Code relating to their service.

B. District Not Liable. The District shall bear no liability for any cost, damage, claim or expense incurred by District or any responsible party or third party on behalf of the District arising from or related to any violation, including, but not limited to, costs, damages, claims or expenses arising from any corrective action of the District. Such corrective actions include, but are not limited to, the removal, confiscation, disposition or use of any device, equipment, improvement or material encroaching on any District property or used in connection with any other violation.

C. District Obligation to Collect Damages. Pursuant to Government Code Section 53069.6, the District shall take all practical and reasonable steps, including appropriate legal action, if necessary, to recover civil damages for the negligent, willful, or unlawful damaging or taking of property of the District.

D. Assessment of Damages. Actual damages resulting from any violation, including late payment or failure or refusal to pay for service and any interest thereon, may be assessed and collected as part of a customer's monthly bill to the extent allowed by law. The District will separately invoice any actual damages not assessed on a monthly bill, including any damages assessed against any responsible person who is not a customer.

E. Unpaid or Partially Paid Bills. Bills issued by the District are due in full as provided in such bills. Failure to timely pay bills in full may lead to a reduction, suspension, or termination of service, as provided in Section 72.02(B), below, in Section 34 of this Code, or pursuant to other provisions of this Code or applicable law. In addition, if bills remain unpaid, in full or in part, the District may lien the delinquent real property and may assess damages and penalties established by District or otherwise authorized by law.

72.02 VIOLATIONS AND GENERAL PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS

A. Notice of Violation. Notice and a reasonable period of time to correct a violation will be given prior to the termination, reduction or suspension of service or the imposition of any administrative fine. However, the District may, without notice, correct any condition or violation that endangers the health or safety or impairs any District service, facility or property or is otherwise determined by the District to require immediate action.

1. Investigative Procedures. If a possible violation is identified, observed or reported, the District will contact the allegedly responsible party to investigate. If the violation is in fact occurring, District staff will issue a notice of violation or otherwise inform the responsible party that corrective actions must be taken within a period of time deemed reasonable by the District, taking into consideration the nature of the violation and the potential damage that can arise if the violation continues.

2. Content of Notice of Violation. The notice will describe the violation, indicate the actions that must be taken, and indicate the date by which those actions must be taken. Unless immediate action is required, the notice will provide a reasonable time for the violation to be corrected. The notice will also specify the amount of any delinquency, actual damages or other amounts due the District, if any, and the telephone number of a representative of the District who can provide additional information.

3. No Notice Required; District Action. If the District determines that immediate or prompt correction of the violation is necessary to prevent waste or to maintain the integrity of the water supply, systems or facilities of the District, or for the immediate protection of the health, safety or welfare of persons or property, or for any other compelling reason, the District will take any action deemed necessary (including suspension, reduction or termination of service; locking or removal of meters; or repairs of any improvements) and a notice will be left at the affected parcel specifying any further corrective actions required. Any costs incurred by District and any applicable fines will be the responsibility of the responsible party.

4. Notice; Failure to Comply. The responsible party will be given an opportunity to correct the violation and to provide verbal, written and pictorial exculpatory evidence. If such evidence does not exonerate the responsible parties and if the violation(s) are not corrected to the satisfaction of the District within the time provided, the District may assess cost and penalties, administrative fines and may take any other action or pursue any other remedy available. Furthermore, if the violation concerns any service requirement or facility, or to prevent waste or protect the integrity of the system or the health and safety of the public, the District may suspend, reduce or terminate service to the extent permitted by law.

B. Service Termination, Suspension or Reduction; Removing or Locking Meters. Service may be reduced, suspended or terminated for failure to pay for service or in connection with a violation of this Code or applicable law. Termination, suspension or reduction of service will proceed as follows:

1. Notice Prior to Termination, Suspension or Reduction of Service. Except as provided in Paragraph A, above, or in other provisions of this Code or applicable law, not less than ten (10) days notice will be given prior to the date service is reduced, suspended or terminated; provided that, where service is terminated due to failure to comply with the terms of an amortization agreement, under Section 34 of this Code, only forty-eight (48) hours prior notice is required. The notice will be delivered to

the affected parcel and, if the owner of record does not reside in the affected parcel, a copy of the notice will be forwarded to the owner's address on record with the assessor's office via any available means, such as personal delivery, certified mail return receipt requested, email, fax or fed-ex.

2. Termination for failure to pay for service. The District may discontinue any or all service due to failure to pay the whole or any part of a bill issued by the District. In connection with termination of water service, the provisions of Section 60373 of the Government Code, or any other appropriate provision of law, or as set forth in Section 34 of this Code of Ordinance, will be followed. In connection with sewer, Section 71672 of the California Water Code or other applicable requirements will be followed.

C. Reconnection or Reinstatement of Service, Unlocking or Reinstalling Meters. If service is reduced, suspended or terminated for any reason, each of the following conditions applicable to the situation must be satisfied or arrangements satisfactory to the General Manager or a designee must be made ***before*** service is reinstated:

1. Outstanding amounts for service bills, including any service charges for benefits derived from the violation, must be paid;

2. All required deposits (including any security deposits), actual damages, fines, costs, charges and penalties must be paid;

3. Any amounts due for the removal, locking, servicing, repair or replacement of meters or other facilities required for service must be paid at the rates in effect at the time of reinstatement, as set forth on **Appendix A** to this Code or other schedule of fees then in effect;

4. All violations and related damages or conditions must have been corrected and/or repaired and evidence satisfactory to the District to that effect and demonstrating that it is safe to reinstate service, must have been provided to and approved by the District; and

5. If the service was originally in the name of a tenant, the District may require the owner of the parcel to request the service account under his or her name and responsibility.

D. Owner Responsibility for Account. In addition to owners' obligations under subsection (A) of section 72.01 and subsection (C)(5) of Section 72.02, above, and any other remedies provided by this Code or by applicable law, Owners may be required to deliver to the District a form of acknowledgement or authorization for service to a tenant. In addition, if (i) a tenant engages in any violation, (ii) if the District has reduced, suspended or terminated any service to a tenant three (3) times within any twenty-four (24) month period or (iii) the tenant has failed or refuses to comply with the terms of payment arrangements with the District four (4) times, the District reserves the right to demand that the property owner take responsibility for services to the tenant-

occupied parcel. The General Manager or a designee shall develop procedures to implement these requirements.

E. Right of Access to Customer's Premises; Interference. If any person refuses to consent to an investigation of a possible violation, or prevents or refuses to allow access to District staff or authorized representatives to any premises or facility during an investigation or in connection with any termination, reduction or suspension of service, the District may seek an injunction or a warrant, as provided in Section 71601 of the Water Code.

F. Other Remedies. In addition to the actions contemplated in this Section, the District may seek other remedies authorized or required by any applicable law, including imposing an administrative fine, pursuant to Section 72.06, or pursuing other available civil or criminal remedies.

72.03 CERTAIN SPECIFIC OPERATIONAL VIOLATIONS

A. Unauthorized Connections. The District shall bear no cost or liability for any unauthorized connection. In addition to other remedies, any unauthorized connection is subject to a Type II fine, pursuant to Section 72.06 depending upon the severity, duration and reoccurrence of the violation and any other factors the District may reasonably take into consideration.., Further, the District may demand that the unauthorized connection be immediately disconnected. In the alternative, if the customer refuses to take immediate action, or if immediate actions is necessary as set forth in Section 72.02(A)(3), above, the District may immediately disconnect, remove, confiscate, destroy or dispose of any parts installed or used for the unauthorized connection, all at the expense of the customer and any other responsible party. To the extent allowed by law, the District may also, immediately or as otherwise deemed advisable by the District, terminate service to any parcel and any person that allows, uses or benefits from such unauthorized connection.

B. Water waste. No customer shall knowingly permit leaks or other wastes of water, including, but not limited to, allowing runoff on any portion of his or her property, engaging in non-permitted uses of water, or failing to take corrective action after notice of any leaks or water waste is given. If the District determines that water waste is occurring, the District will:

1. Notify the customer that they are in violation of the District's Code of Ordinances.

2. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the District may, without prior notice, repair or replace any District controlled facilities at the cost of the person identified as the responsible party, if any.

3. If the water waste is due to a condition within the customer's property or facilities, the District may (i) require the customer to repair or replace the affected facilities, immediately or within a reasonable time, depending on the situation; or (ii) if necessary to prevent further waste, adjust, lock or remove the meter. If any repair

or replacement required is not completed in a timely manner, the District may perform the repair or replacement at the cost of the customer or may terminate service without further notice.

C. Meter Tampering. In addition to other remedies, tampering is subject to a Type II fine pursuant to Section 72.06 depending upon the severity, duration and reoccurrence of the violation and any other factors the District may reasonably take into consideration. Additionally, tampering may be prosecuted as a crime under Section 498 of the California Penal Code, as set forth in Section 73.01 of this Code.

D. Fire Service Violation. Fire service is subject to compliance with all provisions of this Code and the law concerning water service, and failure to comply with such provisions may result in the reduction, suspension, termination or disconnection of water service for fire protection, without any liability to District. Furthermore, illegal connections or other violations relating to fire service are subject to either a Type I or Type II fine, at the option of the District, and may be prosecuted as crimes.

E. Backflow prevention, screens and other safety devices. If service requirements include the installation, testing and maintenance of backflow prevention devices (Section 23.04 of this Code), screens or other safety operational items, in addition to, or in lieu of, other remedies provided herein, the District may apply any of the remedies under Section VI and VII of the District's Ordinance No. 386, as amended or renumbered. Furthermore, violations relating to backflow testing may be prosecuted as set forth in Section 73.01 of this Code. Violations of backflow requirements or knowingly filing a false statement or report required by a local health officer are subject to either a Type I or Type II fine, at the option of the District, pursuant to Section 72.06, below.

F. Violation Concerning Recycled Water Service. In addition to any fine, revocation, suspension or penalty imposed under Section 26 in connection with any violation of said Section, including permit suspension or revocation under Section 26.07.C, the District may (i) suspend or terminate water and or sewer service to the property, the owner and/or the operator; (ii) require payment by the owner for any damage to the District facilities, reimbursement to District of costs and expenses, or fines imposed on the District in connection with such violation; or (iii) prosecute the responsible party under any applicable provision of this Code, the Water Code or the Penal Code. Additionally, any violation concerning recycled water service is subject to either a Type I or Type II fine, at the option of the District, pursuant to Section 72.06, below.

G. Violation Concerning Sewer Service. In addition to any other remedy, fine or penalty provided by this Code or applicable law, failure to comply with any requirements of sewer service, including requirements for the preservation of public health, safety and welfare and including, but not limited to, the requirements established under Article II, Chapter 2, Sections 50 to 56.04 of this Code, as hereafter amended or as supplemented by other District Rules and Regulations for Sewer Service, the California Health and Safety Code, the California Code of Regulations, Titles 17 and 22, and Water

Agency Standards. Furthermore, may be prosecuted as set forth in
Section 73.01 of this Code. Additionally, any violation concerning sewer service is subject to a Type I or Type II fine, at the option of the District, pursuant to Section 72.06, below.

H. Theft, Fraud, or Misappropriation. In addition to any other remedy, fine or penalty provided by this Code or applicable law, any violation involving theft, fraud or misappropriation of District water, services, or property is subject to a Type I or Type II fine, at the option of the District, pursuant to Section 72.06, below.

72.04 VIOLATIONS OF CONSERVATION OR OTHER WATER USE RESTRICTION PROVISIONS

The District has established and published conservation measures set forth in Section 39 of the Code. Commencing with declared Level 2 conditions, the District may assess water shortage rates and charges previously adopted. In addition, after notice of the declared water shortage level is given as required by law, any person who uses, causes to be used, or permits the use of water in violation of such requirements (other than a person who qualifies for an applicable exemption, if any) may be assessed damages, penalties and fines.

A. Additional provisions concerning use restriction violations. In addition to payment of actual damages, the following may apply to a violation of any water conservation or water use restriction measure:

1. A change on the account holder shall not cause the account to revert to pre-violation status unless the new account holder provides evidence that it is not related to the violator and had no responsibility for the prior account.

2. The District may reduce, suspend or terminate service to any parcel immediately and without further notice if the violation involves or results in water waste, as set for in Section 72.03(B), above.

3. Willful violations of mandatory conservation measures described in Section 39 of this Code may be enforced by terminating service to the property at which the violation occurs, as provided by Section 356 of the California Water Code.

B. Prosecution for violations of conservation measures. Pursuant to Section 377 and 71644 of the California Water Code, each violation of the District's Conservation Ordinance, set fort in Section 39 of this Code, may be prosecuted as a misdemeanor, punishable by imprisonment in the County jail for no more than thirty (30) days or by a fine, as set forth in subsection (C), below.

C. Assessment of fines for violations of conservation or water use restriction provisions. Any responsible party who fails to comply with any conservation or use restriction measure is subject to the assessment of an administrative Type I fine, added to account, pursuant to Section 72.06, below.

72.05 VIOLATIONS INVOLVING DISTRICT REAL PROPERTY

A. Removal, Disposition and Costs. The District has absolute discretion to determine the corrective action required in connection with any violation involving District real property, including requiring the owner of any unauthorized encroachment or improvement to remove it or taking action to remove it immediately and without notice. Any improvements or uses placed within or on any District property or right of way are subject to the following:

1. Costs and Damages. All costs and damages shall be the responsibility of the customer and any other responsible party. Furthermore, the District shall not be liable for costs to repair or replace any unauthorized encroachment or improvement, or any property, improvement or thing used in connection with, supported by or attached thereto.

2. Burden of proof. The burden shall be on the user to prove to the District's satisfaction, the authority, scope and extent of any right to access, improve or use the District's property. Only written evidence in the form of an agreement, deed, statute, recorded or official map or plat, governmental regulation or other right may be used to establish such claim of right.

B. Notice. In connection with any improvement or use that does not constitute a health hazard and does not interfere with the District's use of its property, the District will give written notice of up to sixty (60) days, at the discretion of the General Manager, to cease, terminate, eliminate or remove the offending improvement, structure or use. Any written notice will be given to the responsible party or posted at the property where the trespass or encroachment occurs. If the responsible party is not the owner of any real property affected by the violation, the District will also give notice to the owner of record at the address on record with the assessor's office via personal delivery, certified mail return receipt requested or via Fed-Ex.

C. Immediate action. In connection with any improvement that constitutes a health hazard or interferes with the District's use of any District property, the District will take any immediate action deemed necessary by the General Manager.

D. Fines. In addition to all other remedies provided under this Article or under applicable law, the District may impose a fine as provided in Section 72.06. Additionally, the District may impose a fine up to either the amount specified on any sign, or a Type I or Type II fine, at the option of the District, in connection with any trespass on District property in violation of a sign prohibiting trespassing, pursuant to Section 72.06, below.

E. Separate violation. A separate violation will accrue for each day after the deadline to cease, terminate, eliminate or remove the trespass or encroachment, as set forth in the notice.

72.06 ADMINISTRATIVE FINES

Any administrative fines established herein shall be in the nature of civil penalties and shall be additional and cumulative to any other fines, damages or any other charges established by the District and are also separate from and cumulative to any other civil or criminal penalty, fine or remedy. In connection with each violation, the District may assess a fine up to the amount specified in the schedule of fines for the type of fine being imposed.

Each day during which a violation is in effect constitutes a separate violation and violations are cumulative while the account is in the name of the original violator or any person that participated in or benefited from the violation. Except where the violation creates an immediate danger to health or safety, the person responsible for the continuing violation will be provided a reasonable period of time to correct or otherwise remedy the violation(s) prior to the imposition of administrative fines.

A. Assessment of Fines for Technical Violations of Other Code Provisions. Any person who engages in a violation of any provision of this Code is subject to the assessment of a separate administrative Type I Fine, unless subject to a more severe fine as set forth in this Code.

B. Assessment of Separate Fines.

Nothing in this code or the limits specified per violation shall prevent the imposition of separate fines for each separate violation committed during a single act. For example, in connection with a violation concerning sewer service that involves a trespass on any portion of the District's real property, separate fines may be assessed for the trespass, the damage to District personal property, the damage to District real property; the damage to the sewer system and the activity resulting on all the damages.

C. Types of Fines. The amount for each type of fine specified below may increase automatically to reflect any higher amount authorized by law or regulation. The District has determined to establish two types of fines based on the nature of the violation, as follows:

1. Type I Fine. Any violation that does not have the potential to endanger the health or safety of the public. The fine will not exceed the amount specified in the Section 36900(b) of the California Government Code or Appendix A for a first, second, third or each additional violation of that same ordinance or requirement within a twelve-month period.

2. Type II Fine. Any violation that has the potential to endanger the health or safety, including, but not limited to, unauthorized or illegal connections, meter tampering, water theft, , or knowingly filing a false statement or report required by a local health officer . The fine will not exceed the amount specified on Appendix A per each day the violation is identified or continues.

D. Collection of Fines. Any fines assessed by the District are payable directly to the District, are due upon issuance or as otherwise indicated on the notice or bill, and are delinquent 30 calendar days from the due date.

E. Notice of Administrative Fine; Content. Notice of an administrative fine pursuant to this section will contain the following information: (i) a brief description of the violation(s); (ii) the date and location of the violation(s); (iii) a brief description of corrective action(s) required, as appropriate; (iv) a statement explaining that each day the violation continues constitutes a new violation; (v) in the case of violations creating an immediate danger to health or safety, the amount of civil penalty assessed or, in all other cases, the amount of civil penalty to be assessed if the violation(s) are not corrected within the time provided by the notice; (vi) a statement of the procedure for payment and the consequences of failure to pay; (vii) contact information for the District employee that should be contacted to discuss the notice and provide evidence of compliance; and (viii) a brief statement describing the responsible party's right to request further review, pursuant to subsection (F), below.

F. Option for Board Review . Persons receiving a Notice of Administrative Fine may request Board review. The request for Board consideration must be in writing, must be received by the District Secretary within ten (10) calendar days from the date of the notice and must include contact information, an explanation of the basis for the request, and any supporting documentation said person(s) wish to provide to the Board for review and consideration. District staff will review the petitioner's request and will make a recommendation to the Board in light of its investigation. The District will provide notice of the date, time and place for Board consideration by electronic means, facsimile or first class mail sent to the return addressee indicated on the written request.

G. Any fines assessed pursuant to the Notice of Administrative Fines must be timely paid notwithstanding the filing of a request for Board review.

At the time of Board review, the petitioner may, address the Board and respond to the charges to show good cause why the fine should not be imposed; however, the customer is not entitled to a full judicial-type hearing with cross examination, sworn testimony, etc. In accordance with the provisions of Government Code Section 53069.4, the Board's determination shall be final and conclusive, and shall be deemed confirmed, if not appealed within 20 calendar days to the Superior Court of the County of San Diego.

Appendix K
BMP Reports 2011-2014

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2012

179 Otay Water District

GPCD in 2006: 199.6

GPCD in 2012: 127.27

GPCD Target for 2018: 158.29

Biennial GPCD Compliance Table

ON TRACK

		Target		Highest Acceptable Bound	
Year	Report	% Base	GPCD	% Base	GPCD
2010	1	96.4%	**186.09**	100%	**193.04**
2012	2	92.8%	**179.14**	96.4%	**186.09**
2014	3	89.2%	**172.19**	92.8%	**179.14**
2016	4	85.6%	**165.24**	89.2%	**172.19**
2018	5	82.0%	**158.29**	82.0%	**158.29**

CUWCC BMP Retail Coverage Report 2011

Foundational Best Managemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 1.1 Operation Practices

ON TRACK

179 Otay Water District

1. Conservation Coordinator provided with necessary resources to implement BMPs?

Name:	William Granger
Title:	Water Conservation Program Manager
Email:	richard.namba@otaywater.gov

2. Water Waste Prevention Documents

WW Document Name	WWP File Name	WW Prevention URL	WW Prevention Ordinance Terms Description
Option A Describe the ordinances or terms of service adopted by your agency to meet the water waste prevention requirements of this BMP.			72.03 CERTAIN SPECIFIC OPERATIONAL VIOLATIONS B. Water waste. No customer shall knowingly permit leaks or other wastes of water, including, but not limited to, allowing runoff on any portion of his or her property
Option B Describe any water waste prevention ordinances or requirements adopted by your local jurisdiction or regulatory agencies within your service area.			
Option C Describe any documentation of support for legislation or regulations that prohibit water waste.			
Option D Describe your agency efforts to cooperate with other entities in the adoption or enforcement of local requirements consistent with this BMP.			
Option E Describe your agency support positions with respect to adoption of legislation or regulations that are consistent with this BMP.			
Option F Describe your agency efforts to support local ordinances that establish permits requirements for water efficient design in new development.			

At Least As effective As No

CUWCC BMP Retail Coverage Report 2011

Foundational Best Managemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 1.1 Operation Practices **ON TRACK**

Exemption	No	0

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Retail Coverage Report 2012

Foundational Best Managemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 1.1 Operation Practices

ON TRACK

179 Otay Water District

1. Conservation Coordinator provided with necessary resources to implement BMPs?

Name: Richard Namba

Title: Water Conservation Specialist

Email: richard.namba@otaywater.gov

2. Water Waste Prevention Documents

WW Document Name	WWP File Name	WW Prevention URL	WW Prevention Ordinance Terms Description
Option A Describe the ordinances or terms of service adopted by your agency to meet the water waste prevention requirements of this BMP.			SECTION 72.03 CERTAIN SPECIFIC OPERATIONAL VIOLATIONS B. Water waste. No customer shall knowingly permit leaks or other wastes of water, including, but not limited to, allowing runoff on any portion of his or her property ...
Option B Describe any water waste prevention ordinances or requirements adopted by your local jurisdiction or regulatory agencies within your service area.			
Option C Describe any documentation of support for legislation or regulations that prohibit water waste.			
Option D Describe your agency efforts to cooperate with other entities in the adoption or enforcement of local requirements consistent with this BMP.			
Option E Describe your agency support positions with respect to adoption of legislation or regulations that are consistent with this BMP.			
Option F Describe your agency efforts to support local ordinances that establish permits requirements for water efficient design in new development.			San Diego County's Planning Department's Water Efficient Landscape permitting process that considers MAWA and ETWU standards adopted by California's Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance

At Least As effective As No

CUWCC BMP Retail Coverage Report 2012

Foundational Best Managemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 1.1 Operation Practices **ON TRACK**

Exemption	No	0

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2011

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 1.2 Water Loss Control **ON TRACK**

179 Otay Water District

Completed Standard Water Audit Using AWWA Software?	Yes
AWWA File provided to CUWCC?	Yes
Otay 2011 AWWA Reporting Worksheet.xls	
AWWA Water Audit Validity Score?	83
Complete Training in AWWA Audit Method	Yes
Complete Training in Component Analysis Process?	Yes
Component Analysis?	No
Repaired all leaks and breaks to the extent cost effective?	Yes
Locate and Repar unreported leaks to the extent cost effective?	Yes
Maintain a record keeping system for the repair of reported leaks, including time of report, leak location, type of leaking pipe segment or fitting, and leak running time from report to repair.	Yes

Provided 7 Types of Water Loss Control Info

Leaks Repairs	Value Real Losses	Value Apparent Losses	Miles Surveyed	Press Reduction	Cost Of Interventions	Water Saved (AF)

At Least As effective As No

Exemption No 0

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2012

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 1.2 Water Loss Control **ON TRACK**

179 Otay Water District

Completed Standard Water Audit Using AWWA Software?	Yes
AWWA File provided to CUWCC?	Yes
Otay 2012 AWWA Reporting Worksheet.xls	
AWWA Water Audit Validity Score?	78
Complete Training in AWWA Audit Method	Yes
Complete Training in Component Analysis Process?	Yes
Component Analysis?	No
Repaired all leaks and breaks to the extent cost effective?	Yes
Locate and Repar unreported leaks to the extent cost effective?	Yes
Maintain a record keeping system for the repair of reported leaks, including time of report, leak location, type of leaking pipe segment or fitting, and leak running time from report to repair.	Yes

Provided 7 Types of Water Loss Control Info

Leaks Repairs	Value Real Losses	Value Apparent Losses	Miles Surveyed	Press Reduction	Cost Of Interventions	Water Saved (AF)

At Least As effective As No

Exemption No 0

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2011

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 1.3 Metering With Commodity

ON TRACK

179 Otay Water District

Numbered Unmetered Accounts	No
Metered Accounts billed by volume of use	Yes
Number of CII Accounts with Mixed Use Meters	2231
Conducted a feasibility study to assess merits of a program to provide incentives to switch mixed-use accounts to dedicated landscape meters?	Yes
Feasibility Study provided to CUWCC?	Yes

Date: 2/28/2013

Uploaded file name: Copy_of_MUM_Final_Report_Nov_2012.docx

Completed a written plan, policy or program to test, repair and replace meters	Yes

At Least As effective As Yes

Refer to GPCD On track report below

Exemption No 2

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2012

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 1.3 Metering With Commodity

ON TRACK

179 Otay Water District

Numbered Unmetered Accounts	No
Metered Accounts billed by volume of use	Yes
Number of CII Accounts with Mixed Use Meters	993
Conducted a feasibility study to assess merits of a program to provide incentives to switch mixed-use accounts to dedicated landscape meters?	Yes
Feasibility Study provided to CUWCC?	Yes

Date: 11/30/2012

Uploaded file name: MUM Final Report Nov 2012.docx

Completed a written plan, policy or program to test, repair and replace meters	Yes

At Least As effective As Yes

Refer to 2010 GPCD on track report below

Exemption No 0

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2011

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 1.4 Retail Consrvation Pricing

On Track

179 Otay Water District

Implementation (Water Rate Structure)

	Customer Class	Water Rate Type	Conserving Rate?	(V) Total Revenue Comodity Charges	(M) Total Revenue Fixed Carges
	Other	Increasing Block	Yes	33877300	10163190
				33877300	**10163190**

Calculate: V / (V + M) **77 %**

Implementation Option: Use Canadian Water Wastewater Association Rate Design Model

☐ Use 3 years average instead of most recent year

Canadian Water and Wastewater Association

Upload file:

Agency Provide Sewer Service: Yes

Customer Class	Rate Type	Conserving Rate?
Other	Increasing Block	Yes

At Least As effective As Yes

District GPCD graph illustrates on target to meet target standard

Exemption No 0

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2012

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 1.4 Retail Consrvation Pricing

On Track

179 Otay Water District

Implementation (Water Rate Structure)

	Customer Class	Water Rate Type	Conserving Rate?	(V) Total Revenue Comodity Charges	(M) Total Revenue Fixed Carges
	Other	Increasing Block	Yes	39385200	18523600
				39385200	**18523600**

Calculate: V / (V + M) **68 %**

Implementation Option: Use Canadian Water Wastewater Association Rate Design Model

☐ Use 3 years average instead of most recent year

Canadian Water and Wastewater Association

Upload file:

Agency Provide Sewer Service: Yes

Customer Class	Rate Type	Conserving Rate?
Other	Increasing Block	Yes

At Least As effective As Yes

Review 2012 GPCD historical progress report

Exemption No 0

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2011

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

On Track

179 **Otay Water District** **Retail**

Does your agency perform Public Outreach programs? Yes

The list of wholesale agencies performing public outreach which can be counted to help the agency comply with the BMP

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

The name of agency, contact name and email address if not CUWCC Group 1 members

Did at least one contact take place during each quater of the reporting year? Yes

Public Outreach Program List	Number
Newsletter articles on conservation	200000
Flyers and/or brochures (total copies), bill stuffers, messages printed on bill, information packets	250000
Website	12000
Landscape water conservation media campaigns	2400
Total	**464400**

Did at least one contact take place during each quater of the reporting year? Yes

Number Media Contacts	Number
Articles or stories resulting from outreach	4
Television contacts	2
Total	**6**

Did at least one website update take place during each quater of the reporting year? Yes

Public Information Program Annual Budget

Annual Budget Category	Annual Budget Amount
Public Programs	13000
Total Amount:	**13000**

Public Outreah Additional Programs
Bill stuffers
Newsletter
Otay provided residential & commercial surveys

Description of all other Public Outreach programs

Joint water agency workshops & outreach events

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2011

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach **On Track**

At Least As effective As	No	
Exemption	No	0

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2012

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

ON TRACK

179 **Otay Water District** **Retail**

Does your agency perform Public Outreach programs? Yes

The list of wholesale agencies performing public outreach which can be counted to help the agency comply with the BMP

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

The name of agency, contact name and email address if not CUWCC Group 1 members

Did at least one contact take place during each quater of the reporting year? Yes

Public Outreach Program List	Number
Newsletter articles on conservation	200000
Flyers and/or brochures (total copies), bill stuffers, messages printed on bill, information packets	250000
Website	20000
Landscape water conservation media campaigns	5000
Total	**475000**

Did at least one contact take place during each quater of the reporting year? Yes

Number Media Contacts	Number
News releases	12
Articles or stories resulting from outreach	2
Total	**14**

Did at least one website update take place during each quater of the reporting year? Yes

Public Information Program Annual Budget

Annual Budget Category	Annual Budget Amount
Total Budget	40000
Total Amount:	**40000**

Public Outreah Additional Programs
Bill stuffers
Newsletter
Otay provided residential& commercial surveys
Website

Description of all other Public Outreach programs

Home Depot WaterSmart Plant Fairs

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2012

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

ON TRACK

Comments:

At Least As effective As	No	
Exemption	No	0

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2011

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 2.2 School Education Programs ON TRACK

179 Otay Water District **Retail**

Does your agency implement School Education programs? No

The list of wholesale agencies performing public outreach which can be counted to help the agency comply with the BMP

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

Materials meet state education framework requirements? Yes

Splash and Wet outdoor education modules

Materials distributed to K-6? Yes

2 children's activity books provided. Water books available for lending to teachers. Water experiential teaching resource box available for check out by teachers.

Materials distributed to 7-12 students? Yes (Info Only)

National Geographic water conservation themed booklets provided

Annual budget for school education program: 34300.00

Description of all other water supplier education programs

Water conservation themed workshops & seminars provided for residential & commercial customers.

Comments:

At Least As effective As No

Exemption No 0

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2012

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

BMP 2.2 School Education Programs ON TRACK

179 Otay Water District **Retail**

Does your agency implement School Education programs? Yes

The list of wholesale agencies performing public outreach which can be counted to help the agency comply with the BMP

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

Materials meet state education framework requirements? Yes

SPLASH and WET outdoor education modules

Materials distributed to K-6? Yes

2 -3 children's water conservation activity books provided. Teacher water resource activity boxes provided.

Materials distributed to 7-12 students? Yes (Info Only)

National Geographic water conservation themed booklets provided.

Annual budget for school education program: 25600.00

Description of all other water supplier education programs

Splash Lab or Green Machine funding. Water conservation classes for residential or commercial customers.

Comments:

At Least As effective As Yes

Refer to GPCD progress that illustrates the District is on track

Exemption No 0

Base Year Data

Agency name: **Otay Water District**

Reporting unit number: **179**

Reporting unit name : **Otay Water District**

Base Year 2008

BMP 1.3 Metering

Number of unmetered accounts in Base Year 0.00

BMP 3.1 & BMP 3.2 & BMP 3.3 Residential Programs

Number of Single Family Customers 40,698 and Multy Family Customers 3,432 in Base Year

BMP 3.4 WaterSense Specification (WSS) Toilets

Number of Single Family Units 23,625 and Number of Multi Family Units 6,236 prior to 1992

Average number of toilets per Single Family household	1.70	and Multi Family households	1.30
Five year average resale rate of Single Family households	4.90	and Multi Family households	7.7000
Average number of persons per Single Family households	3.50	and Multi Family households	2.70

BMP 4.0 & BMP 5.0 CII & Landscape

Total water use (in Acre Feet) by CII accounts	4,268.19
Number of accounts with dedicated irrigation meters	1,813.00
Number of CII accounts without meters or with Mixed Use Meters	422.00
Number of CII accounts	1,416.00

Comments

Resale average obtained from Richard D'Ascoli, Chief Executive Officer, The Pacific Southwest Association of REALTORS. The whole basis for this calculation assumes that the homes for sale still have 3.5 gpf toilets In reality, the majority of the homes for sale in our service area were built after 1992, plus just over 22,000 toilets were retrofit through our rebate program that ran from 1991-2010. The resale rate in portions of our service area are high due to foreclosures, which drives up the average. Zip codes with a high resale rate already have ULFTs. Zip codes used: 91913, 91914, 91915, 91978.

BMP1.1 Operation Practices - Retail Only 2011

Reporting unit name (District name): Otay Water District

Reporting unit number: 179

Conservation Coordinator: Yes

Contact Information

First Name: William

Last Name: Granger

Title: Water Conservation Program Manager

Phone: 619/670-2730

Email: richard.namba@otaywater.gov

Water Waste Prevention

WW Document Name	WWP File Name	WW Prevention URL	WW Prevention Ordinance Terms Description
Option A Describe the ordinances or terms of service adopted by your agency to meet the water waste prevention requirements of this BMP.			72.03 CERTAIN SPECIFIC OPERATIONAL VIOLATIONS B. Water waste. No customer shall knowingly permit leaks or other wastes of water, including, but not limited to, allowing runoff on any portion of his or her property
Option B Describe any water waste prevention ordinances or requirements adopted by your local jurisdiction or regulatory agencies within your service area.			
Option C Describe any documentation of support for legislation or regulations that prohibit water waste.			
Option D Describe your agency efforts to cooperate with other entities in the adoption or enforcement of local requirements consistent with this BMP.			
Option E Describe your agency support positions with respect to adoption of legislation or regulations that are consistent with this BMP.			
Option F Describe your agency efforts to support local ordinances that establish permits requirements for water efficient design in new development.			

BMP1.1 Operation Practices - Retail Only 2011

At Least As effective As	No	
Exemption	No	0

Comments:

BMP1.1 Operation Practices - Retail Only 2012

Reporting unit name (District name): Otay Water District

Reporting unit number: 179

Conservation Coordinator: Yes

Contact Information

First Name: Richard

Last Name: Namba

Title: Water Conservation Specialist

Phone: 619/670-2730

Email: richard.namba@otaywater.gov

Water Waste Prevention

WW Document Name	WWP File Name	WW Prevention URL	WW Prevention Ordinance Terms Description
Option A Describe the ordinances or terms of service adopted by your agency to meet the water waste prevention requirements of this BMP.			SECTION 72.03 CERTAIN SPECIFIC OPERATIONAL VIOLATIONS B. Water waste. No customer shall knowingly permit leaks or other wastes of water, including, but not limited to, allowing runoff on any portion of his or her property ...
Option B Describe any water waste prevention ordinances or requirements adopted by your local jurisdiction or regulatory agencies within your service area.			
Option C Describe any documentation of support for legislation or regulations that prohibit water waste.			
Option D Describe your agency efforts to cooperate with other entities in the adoption or enforcement of local requirements consistent with this BMP.			
Option E Describe your agency support positions with respect to adoption of legislation or regulations that are consistent with this BMP.			

Option F Describe your agency efforts to support local ordinances that establish permits requirements for water efficient design in new development.			San Diego County's Planning Department's Water Efficient Landscape permitting process that considers MAWA and ETWU standards adopted by California's Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance	

At Least As effective As No

Exemption No 0

Comments:

BMP 1.2 Water Loss Control 2011

Reporting unit name	Reporting unit number:
Otay Water District	179

AWWA Water Audit

Agency to complete a Water Audit & Balance Using The AWWA Software	Yes

Uploaded filename:

Otay 2011 AWWA Reporting Worksheet.xls

Water Audit Validity Score from AWWA spreadsheet:	83
Agency Completed Training In The AWWA Water Audit Method	Yes
Agency Completed Training In The Component Analysis Process	Yes
Completed/Updated the Component Analysis (at least every 4 years)?	No
Component Analysis Completed/Updated Date	

Water Loss Performance

Agency Repaired All Reported Leaks & Breaks To The Extent Cost Effective	Yes

Recording Keeping Requirements Beginning in Year 2

Does your agency maintain a record keeping system for the following?

Date/Time Leak Reported	Yes	Leak Location	Yes
Type of Leaking Pipe Segment or Fitting	No	Leak Running Time From Report to Repair	Yes
Leak Volume Estimate	No	Cost of Repair	Yes

Do you have an infrastructure rehabilitation and renewal program ?	Yes
Agency Located and Repaired Unreported Leaks to the Extent Cost Effective	Yes
Type of Program Activities Used to Detect Unreported Leaks	
Does your agency maintain in-house records of audit results or the completed AWWA worksheet for the completed audit which could be forwarded to CUWCC?	Yes
Does your agency keeps records of each component analysis performed, and incorporates results into future annual standard water balances?	Yes

Annual Summary Information

Complete the following table with annual summary information (required for reporting years 2-5 only)

Please describe your infrastructure rehabilitation and renewal activity below

Water operations staff will provide data

AWWA Model

Operational Efficiency Indicator

Apparent Losses per service connection per day:	1.41
Real Losses per service connection per day:	16.5
Real Losses per length of main per day:	N/A
Real Losses per service connection per day per psi pressure:	0.11

BMP 1.2 Water Loss Control 2011

Unavoidable Annual Real Losses(UARL):	687.48
Above, Real Losses=Current Annual Real Losses(CARL):	882.55
Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) [CARL/UARL]:	0.42

At Least As effective As	No	
Exemption	No	0

Comments:

BMP 1.2 Water Loss Control 2012

Reporting unit name	Reporting unit number:
Otay Water District	179

AWWA Water Audit

Agency to complete a Water Audit & Balance Using The AWWA Software	Yes

Uploaded filename:

Otay 2012 AWWA Reporting Worksheet.xls

Water Audit Validity Score from AWWA spreadsheet:	78
Agency Completed Training In The AWWA Water Audit Method	Yes
Agency Completed Training In The Component Analysis Process	Yes
Completed/Updated the Component Analysis (at least every 4 years)?	No
Component Analysis Completed/Updated Date	

Water Loss Performance

Agency Repaired All Reported Leaks & Breaks To The Extent Cost Effective	Yes

Recording Keeping Requirements Beginning in Year 2

Does your agency maintain a record keeping system for the following?

Date/Time Leak Reported	Yes	Leak Location	Yes
Type of Leaking Pipe Segment or Fitting	No	Leak Running Time From Report to Repair	Yes
Leak Volume Estimate	No	Cost of Repair	Yes

Do you have an infrastructure rehabilitation and renewal program ?	Yes
Agency Located and Repaired Unreported Leaks to the Extent Cost Effective	Yes

Type of Program Activities Used to Detect Unreported Leaks

In pipe video camera equipment to examine pipe integrity

Does your agency maintain in-house records of audit results or the completed AWWA worksheet for the completed audit which could be forwarded to CUWCC?	Yes
Does your agency keeps records of each component analysis performed, and incorporates results into future annual standard water balances?	No

Annual Summary Information

Complete the following table with annual summary information (required for reporting years 2-5 only)

Please describe your infrastructure rehabilitation and renewal activity below

Awaiting District operation staff reports to complete missing data fields

AWWA Model

Operational Efficiency Indicator

Apparent Losses per service connection per day:	1.42
Real Losses per service connection per day:	18.55
Real Losses per length of main per day:	N/A

BMP 1.2 Water Loss Control 2012

Real Losses per service connection per day per psi pressure:	0.12
Unavoidable Annual Real Losses(UARL):	697.37
Above, Real Losses=Current Annual Real Losses(CARL):	1011.36
Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) [CARL/UARL]:	0.47

At Least As effective As No

Exemption No 0

Comments:

BMP 1.3 Metering With Commodity 2011

Reporting unit name: Otay Water District

Reporting unit number: 179

Implementation

Does your agency have any unmetered service connections? No

If YES, has your agency completed a meter retrofit plan? No

Enter the number of previously unmetered accounts fitted with meters during reporting year:

Are all new service connections being metered? Yes

Are all new service connections being billed volumetrically? Yes

Has your agency completed and submitted electronically to the Council a written plan, policy or program to test, repair and replace meters? Yes

Meters Matrix

Account Type	Num Of Metered Accounts	Num Of Metered Accounts Read	Num Of Metered Accounts Billed By Volume	Billing Frequency	Estimated Bills Per Year	Meter Readings Per Year
Single-Family	43903	43903	43903	Monthly		
Commercial	797	797	797	Monthly		
Institutional	1434	1434	1434	Monthly		
Dedicated Irrigation	1223	1223	1223	Monthly		
Recycled	701	701	701	Monthly		

Number of CII Accounts with Mixed-use Meters: 2231

Number of CII Accounts with Mixed-use Meters Retrofitted with Dedicated Irrigation Meters during Reporting Period: 0

Feasibility Study

Has your agency conducted a feasibility study to assess the merits of a program to provide incentives to switch mixed-use accounts to dedicated landscape meters? Yes

If YES, please fill in the following information:

A. When was the Feasibility Study conducted 2/28/2013

Describe, upload or provide an electronic link to the Feasibility Study Upload File

Report was completed in February 2013

Copy_of_MUM_Final_Report_Nov_2012.docx

At Least As effective As Yes

Refer to GPCD On track report below

Exemption No 2

BMP 1.3 Metering With Commodity 2011

Comments:

BMP 1.3 Metering With Commodity 2012

Reporting unit name: Otay Water District

Reporting unit number: 179

Implementation

Does your agency have any unmetered service connections? No

If YES, has your agency completed a meter retrofit plan? No

Enter the number of previously unmetered accounts fitted with meters during reporting year:

Are all new service connections being metered? Yes

Are all new service connections being billed volumetrically? Yes

Has your agency completed and submitted electronically to the Council a written plan, policy or program to test, repair and replace meters? Yes

Meters Matrix

Account Type	Num Of Metered Accounts	Num Of Metered Accounts Read	Num Of Metered Accounts Billed By Volume	Billing Frequency	Estimated Bills Per Year	Meter Readings Per Year
Single-Family	44509	44509	44509	Monthly		
Commercial	800	800	800	Monthly		
Institutional	2167	2167	2167	Monthly		
Dedicated Irrigation	1229	1229	1229	Monthly		
Recycled	699	699	699	Monthly		

Number of CII Accounts with Mixed-use Meters: 993

Number of CII Accounts with Mixed-use Meters Retrofitted with Dedicated Irrigation Meters during Reporting Period: 0

Feasibility Study

Has your agency conducted a feasibility study to assess the merits of a program to provide incentives to switch mixed-use accounts to dedicated landscape meters? Yes

If YES, please fill in the following information:

A. When was the Feasibility Study conducted 11/30/2012

Describe, upload or provide an electronic link to the Feasibility Study Upload File

MUM Final Report Nov 2012.docx

At Least As effective As Yes

BMP 1.3 Metering With Commodity 2012

Refer to 2010 GPCD on track report below

Exemption	No	0

Comments:

BMP 1.4 Retail Conservation Pricing 2011

Reporting unit name: Otay Water District

Reporting unit number: 179

Implementation (Water Rate Structure)

Enter the Water Rate Structures that are assigned to the majority of your customers, by customer class

Customer Class	Water Rate Type	Total Revenue Comodity Charges	Total Revenue Fixed Carges
Other	Increasing Block	33877300	10163190
		33877300	**10163190**

Implementation (Conservation Pricing Option)

Enter the Water Rate Structures that are assigned to the majority of your customers, by customer class

- [ ] Use Annual Revenue As Reported
- [ ] Use Canadian Water Wastewater (CWWA) Association Rate Design Model
- [ ] Use 3 years average instead of most recent year

If CWWA is selected, please upload spreadsheet here.

Canadian Water and Wastewater Association

Retail Waste Water (Sewer) Rate Structure by Customer Class

Agency Provide Sewer Service: Yes

Select the Retail Waste Water (Sewer) Rate Structure assigned to the majority of your customers within a specific customer class.

Sewer Rate Name	Customer Class Name	Sewer Total Revenue Commodity Charges	Sewer Total Revenue Customer Meter/Service (Fixed Charges)
Increasing Block	Other	1994000.00	598200.00

At Least As effective As Yes

District GPCD graph illustrates on target to meet target standard

Exemption No 0

Comments:

BMP 1.4 Retail Conservation Pricing 2012

Reporting unit name: Otay Water District

Reporting unit number: 179

Implementation (Water Rate Structure)

Enter the Water Rate Structures that are assigned to the majority of your customers, by customer class

Customer Class	Water Rate Type	Total Revenue Comodity Charges	Total Revenue Fixed Carges
Other	Increasing Block	39385200	18523600
		39385200	**18523600**

Implementation (Conservation Pricing Option)

Enter the Water Rate Structures that are assigned to the majority of your customers, by customer class

- [ ] Use Annual Revenue As Reported
- [ ] Use Canadian Water Wastewater (CWWA) Association Rate Design Model
- [ ] Use 3 years average instead of most recent year

If CWWA is selected, please upload spreadsheet here.

Canadian Water and Wastewater Association

Retail Waste Water (Sewer) Rate Structure by Customer Class

Agency Provide Sewer Service: Yes

Select the Retail Waste Water (Sewer) Rate Structure assigned to the majority of your customers within a specific customer class.

Sewer Rate Name	Customer Class Name	Sewer Total Revenue Commodity Charges	Sewer Total Revenue Customer Meter/Service (Fixed Charges)
Increasing Block	Other	2336000.00	628600.00

At Least As effective As Yes

Review 2012 GPCD historical progress report

Exemption No 0

Comments:

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach 2011

Reporting unit name | Reporting unit # 179

Otay Water District / Retail

Does your agency perform Public Outreach programs? Yes

The list of wholesale agencies performing public outreach which can be counted to help the agency comply with the BMP

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

Public Information Programs List

Did at least one contact take place duringeach quarter of the reporting year? Yes

Number of Public Contacts	Public Information Programs Name
200000	Newsletter articles on conservation
250000	Flyers and/or brochures (total copies), bill stuffers, messages printed on bill, information
12000	Website
2400	Landscape water conservation media campaigns

Contact with the Media Yes

The list of wholesale agencies performing public outreach which can be counted to help the agency comply with the BMP

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

Did at least one contact take place during each quarter of the reporting year? Yes

Number of Media Contacts	Public Outreach Media Contact Name List
4	Articles or stories resulting from outreach
2	Television contacts

Wholesale Agency Website Updates

The list of wholesale agencies performing public outreach which can be counted to help the agency comply with the BMP No

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

IAgency Website Updates

Enter your agency's URL (website address): www:otaywater.gov

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach 2011

Describe a minimum of four water conservationrelated updates to your agency's website thattook place during the year:

Rebate list for OWD, MWD & CWA
Free conservation services
Conservation class schedules
Education program updates

Did at least one Website Update take place duringeach quarter of the reporting year? Yes

Public Information Programs Annual Budget

Enter budget for public outreach programs. You may enter total budget in a single line or brake the budget into discretecategories by entering many rows. Please indicate if personnel costs are included in the entry.

Annual Budget Category	Annual Budget Amount	Personal Cost Included?	Comments
Public Programs	13000		

Public Information Expenses

Enter expenses for public outreach programs. Please include the same kind of expenses you included in the question relatedto your budget (Section 2.1.7, above). For example, if you included personnel costs in the budget entered above, be sure to include them here as well.

Public Outreach Expense Category	Expense Amount	Personal Cost Included?
multi agency fund	1000	
school giveaways	800	
water con outreach items	11000	
tour handouts	200	

Additional Public Information Program

Please report additional public information contacts. List these additional contacts in order of howyour agency views their importance / effectiveness with respect to conserving water, with the mostimportant/ effective listed first (where 1 = most important).

Were there additional Public Outreach efforts? Yes

Public Outreach Additional Information

Public Information Additional Programs	Importance
Bill stuffers	1
Newsletter	2
Otay provided residential & commercial surveys	3

Social Marketing Programs

Branding Does your agency have a water conservation"brand," "theme" or mascot? No

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach 2011

Describe the brand, theme or mascot.

Market Research

Have you sponsored or participated inmarket research to refine your message? No

Market Research Topic

Brand Message

Brand Mission Statement

Community Committees

Do you have a community conservation committee? No

Enter the names of the community committees:

Training

Training Type	Number of Trainings	Number of Attendees	Description of Other
3	3	83	

Social Marketing Expenditures

Public Outreach Social Marketing Expenses

Partnering Programs

Name	Type of Program
[ ] CLCA?	
[ ] Green Building Programs?	
[ ] Master Gardeners?	
[ ] Cooperative Extension?	
[ ] Local Colleges?	
[V] Other	Joint water agency workshops & outreach events
[ ] Retail and wholesale outlet; name(s) and type(s) of programs:	

Partnering Programs - Newsletters

Number of newsletters per year: 4

Number of customers per year: 40000

Partnering with Other Utilities

Describe other utilities your agency partners with, including electrical utilities: San Diego Gas & Electric provides showeheads and aerators for distribution by Otay WD

Conservation Gardens

Describe water conservation gardens at your agency or other high traffic areas or new homes: Otay is founding and supporting water agency member of the Water Conservation Garden at Cuyamaca College located in El Cajon, CA.

Landscape contests or awards

Describe water wise landscape contest or awards program conducted by your agency: Annual Best In District Landscape Contest for residential site removing grass to DT plants

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach **2011**

Additional Programs supported by Agency
but not mentioned above:

At Least As effective As	No

Exemption	No	0

Comments

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach 2012

Reporting unit name | Reporting unit #

Otay Water District / Retail (179)

Does your agency perform Public Outreach programs? Yes

The list of wholesale agencies performing public outreach which can be counted to help the agency comply with the BMP

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

Public Information Programs List

Did at least one contact take place duringeach quarter of the reporting year? Yes

Number of Public Contacts	Public Information Programs Name
200000	Newsletter articles on conservation
250000	Flyers and/or brochures (total copies), bill stuffers, messages printed on bill, information
20000	Website
5000	Landscape water conservation media campaigns

Contact with the Media Yes

The list of wholesale agencies performing public outreach which can be counted to help the agency comply with the BMP

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

Did at least one contact take place during each quarter of the reporting year? Yes

Number of Media Contacts	Public Outreach Media Contact Name List
12	News releases
2	Articles or stories resulting from outreach

Wholesale Agency Website Updates

The list of wholesale agencies performing public outreach which can be counted to help the agency comply with the BMP No

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

IAgency Website Updates

Enter your agency's URL (website address): otaywater.gov

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach 2012

Describe a minimum of four water conservationrelated updates to your agency's website thattook place during the year:

Water conservation themed videos added as produced
Rebate updates
District sponsored seminars
Promoted the Water Conservation Garden's educational programs

Did at least one Website Update take place duringeach quarter of the reporting year? Yes

Public Information Programs Annual Budget

Enter budget for public outreach programs. You may enter total budget in a single line or brake the budget into discretecategories by entering many rows. Please indicate if personnel costs are included in the entry.

Annual Budget Category	Annual Budget Amount	Personal Cost Included?	Comments
Total Budget	40000		

Public Information Expenses

Enter expenses for public outreach programs. Please include the same kind of expenses you included in the question relatedto your budget (Section 2.1.7, above). For example, if you included personnel costs in the budget entered above, be sure to include them here as well.

Public Outreach Expense Category	Expense Amount	Personal Cost Included?
water con outreach items	11000	

Additional Public Information Program

Please report additional public information contacts. List these additional contacts in order of howyour agency views their importance / effectiveness with respect to conserving water, with the mostimportant/ effective listed first (where 1 = most important).

Were there additional Public Outreach efforts? Yes

Public Outreach Additional Information

Public Information Additional Programs	Importance
Bill stuffers	1
Newsletter	2
Otay provided residential& commercial surveys	3
Website	4

Social Marketing Programs

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach 2012

Branding Does your agency have a water conservation"brand," "theme" or mascot? No

Describe the brand, theme or mascot.

Market Research Have you sponsored or participated inmarket research to refine your message? No

Market Research Topic

Brand Message

Brand Mission Statement

Community Committees

Do you have a community conservation committee? Yes

Enter the names of the community committees: San Diego County Conservation Coordinators, Conservation Action Committee

Training

Training Type	Number of Trainings	Number of Attendees	Description of Other
3	2	65	

Social Marketing Expenditures

Public Outreach Social Marketing Expenses

Expense Category	Expense Amount	Description

Partnering Programs

Name **Type of Program**

- [ ] CLCA?
- [ ] Green Building Programs?
- [ ] Master Gardeners?
- [ ] Cooperative Extension?
- [ ] Local Colleges?
- [ ] Other
- [x] Retail and wholesale outlet; name(s) and type(s) of programs:

Home Depot WaterSmart Plant Fairs

Partnering Programs - Newsletters

Number of newsletters per year 4

Number of customers per year 120000

Partnering with Other Utilities

San Diego Gas & Electric provides low flow showerheads and aerators

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach 2012

Describe other utilities your agency partners with, including electrical utilities

Conservation Gardens

Describe water conservation gardens at your agency or other high traffic areas or new homes	Otay is founding and supporting water agency member of the Water Conservation Garden at Cuyamaca College in El Cajon, CA.

Landscape contests or awards

Describe water wise landscape contest or awards program conducted by your agency	Landscape Contest identifies Otay's best residential site conversion removing grass to DT plants.
Additional Programs supported by Agency but not mentioned above:	

At Least As effective As No

Exemption No 0

Comments

WMP 2.2 School Education Programs 2011

Reporting unit name: Otay Water District

Reporting unit #: 179

/ Retail

Does your agency perform Public Outreach programs? No

The list of wholesale agencies performing school education programs which can be counted to help the agency comply with the BMP

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

V Materials meet state education framework requirements? Description: Splash and Wet outdoor education modules

V Materials distributed to K-6 Students? Description: 2 children's activity books provided. Water books available for lending to teachers. Water experiential teaching resource box available for check out by teachers.

Number of students reached: 4500

V Materials distributed to 7-12 Students? (optional) Description: National Geographic water conservation themed booklets provided

Annual budget for school education program: 34300.00

Description of all other water supplier educationprograms: Water conservation themed workshops & seminars provided for residential & commercial customers.

School Programs Activities

Classroom Presentation:

Number of presentation: 32 Number of attendees: 1376

Describe the topics covered in your classroom presentations: Class would get bus and Water Conservation Garden's Ms. Smarty Plant's guided tour of Water Conservation Garden's water conserving flora.

Large group assemblies:

Number of presentation: Number of attendees:

Children's water festivals or other events:

Number of presentation: 4 Number of attendees: 725

Cooperative efforts with existing science/water education programs (various workshops, science fair awardsor judging) and follow-up:

Number of presentation: 3 Number of attendees: 175

Other methods of disseminating information (i.e. themed age-appropriate classroom loaner kits):

Description: Number distributed:

Staffing children's booths at events & festivals:

Number of booths: 1 Number of attendees: 250

Water conservation contests such as poster and photo:

Description: Poster & photo contest Number of participants: 60

Offer monetary awards/funding or scholarships to students:

WMP 2.2 School Education Programs 2011

Number offered	7	Total funding	2800.00

Teacher training workshops:

Number of presentation		Number of attendees	

Fund and/or staff student field trips to treatment facilities, recycling facilities, water conservation gardens,etc.:

Number of tours or fieldtrips	33	Number of participants	1565

College internships in water conservation offered:

Number of internship	2	Total funding	0.00

Career Fairs / Workshops:

Number of presentation	0	Number of attendees	

Additional program(s) supported by agency but not mentioned above:

Description	Number of events	Number of participants

Comments

At Least As effective As No

Exemption No 0

WMP 2.2 School Education Programs 2012

Reporting unit name: Otay Water District / Retail

Reporting unit #: 179

Does your agency perform Public Outreach programs? Yes

The list of wholesale agencies performing school education programs which can be counted to help the agency comply with the BMP

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

V	Materials meet state education framework requirements?	Description	SPLASH and WET outdoor education modules
V	Materials distributed to K-6 Students?	Description	2 -3 children's water conservation activity books provided. Teacher water resource activity boxes provided.
	Number of students reached	6400	
V	Materials distributed to 7-12 Students? (optional)	Description	National Geographic water conservation themed booklets provided.
	Annual budget for school education program	25600.00	

Description of all other water supplier educationprograms: Splash Lab or Green Machine funding. Water conservation classes for residential or commercial customers.

School Programs Activities

Classroom Presentation:

Number of presentation: 33 Number of attendees: 1268

Describe the topics covered in your classroom presentations:

Large group assemblies:

Number of presentation: 0 Number of attendees:

Children's water festivals or other events:

Number of presentation: 2 Number of attendees: 400

Cooperative efforts with existing science/water education programs (various workshops, science fair awardsor judging) and follow-up:

Number of presentation: 2 Number of attendees: 125

Other methods of disseminating information (i.e. themed age-appropriate classroom loaner kits):

Description: Splash Lab, Green Lab & Ms Smarty Plants are offered to schools and funded by the district Number distributed:

Staffing children's booths at events & festivals:

Number of booths: 2 Number of attendees: 300

Water conservation contests such as poster and photo:

Description: Poster, Photo and Video contest Number of participants: 90

Offer monetary awards/funding or scholarships to students:

Number offered: 7 Total funding: 2700.00

WMP 2.2 School Education Programs 2012

Teacher training workshops:

Number of presentation: 0

Number of attendees:

Fund and/or staff student field trips to treatment facilities, recycling facilities, water conservation gardens,etc.:

Number of tours or fieldtrips: 33

Number of participants: 1518

College internships in water conservation offered:

Number of internship: 2

Total funding: 0.00

Career Fairs / Workshops:

Number of presentation: 0

Number of attendees:

Additional program(s) supported by agency but not mentioned above:

Description	Number of events	Number of participants

Comments

At Least As effective As Yes

Refer to GPCD progress that illustrates the District is on track

Exemption No 0

Reporting Unit Name

Otay Water District

Retail Only

Reporting Unit ID#:

179

2011 Non Potable Water Sources

Service Area Population: 206000

	Imported	AF / Year	Water Supply Type	Water Supply Description
	South Bay Reclamation	2692.00	Other	Purchased from city of San Diego plant
		2692.00		

	Local Watershed	AF / Year	Water Supply Type	Water Supply Description
	Chapman Recycled	1154.00	Recycled Non Potable	District recycled plant
		1154.00		

Reporting Unit Name

Otay Water District

Retail Only

Reporting Unit ID#:

179

2012 Non Potable Water Sources

Service Area Population: 212844

	Imported	AF / Year	Water Supply Type	Water Supply Description
	South Bay Reclamation	3360.40	Other	Purchased from city of San Diego
		3360.40		

	Local Watershed	AF / Year	Water Supply Type	Water Supply Description
	Chapman Recycled	1124.20	Recycled Non Potable	District Recycled
		1124.20		

Reporting Unit Name

Otay Water District

Retail Only

Reporting Unit ID#:

179

2011 Potable Water Sources

Service Area Population: 206000

	Imported	AF / Year	Water Supply Type	Water Supply Description
	Wholesaler Supply	14039448.00	Raw Water	CWA & MWD Supply
		14039448.00		

Reporting Unit Name

Otay Water District

Retail Only

Reporting Unit ID#:

179

2012 Potable Water Sources

Service Area Population: 212844

	Imported	AF / Year	Water Supply Type	Water Supply Description
	Wholesaler Supply	29651.80	Raw Water	CWA & MWD
		29651.80		

Reporting Unit Name

Otay Water District

Retail Only

Reporting Unit ID#:

179

2011 Non Potable Water Uses

Billed:

	CustomerType	Metered Accounts	Metered Water Delivered AF/Year	Un-Metered Accounts	Un-Metered Water Delivered AF/Year	Description
	Dedicated Irrigation	685	5.14			
		685	**5.14**			

Un-Billed:

Reporting Unit Name

Otay Water District

Retail Only

Reporting Unit ID#:

179

2012 Non Potable Water Uses

Billed:

	CustomerType	Metered Accounts	Metered Water Delivered AF/Year	Un-Metered Accounts	Un-Metered Water Delivered AF/Year	Description
	Dedicated Irrigation	696	5.10	0	0.00	Recycled water for irrigaiton
		696	**5.10**	**0**	**0.00**	

Un-Billed:

Reporting Unit Name

Otay Water District

Retail Only

Reporting Unit ID#:

179

2011 Potable Water Uses

Billed:

CustomerType	Metered Accounts	Metered Water Delivered AF/Year	Un-Metered Accounts	Un-Metered Water Delivered AF/Year	Description
Single-Family	43903	22.90			
Commercial	2231	9.70			
Dedicated Irrigation	3454	4.71			
Recycled	685	5.14			
Other	123	0.55			
	50396	**43.00**			

Un-Billed:

Reporting Unit Name

Otay Water District

Retail Only

Reporting Unit ID#:

179

2012 Potable Water Uses

Billed:

CustomerType	Metered Accounts	Metered Water Delivered AF/Year	Un-Metered Accounts	Un-Metered Water Delivered AF/Year	Description
Single-Family	44396	23.00	0	0.00	
Commercial	2232	10.00	0	0.00	
Dedicated Irrigation	1227	4.80	0	0.00	
Recycled	696	5.10	0	0.00	
Other	105	0.60	0	0.00	Fire/Temp
	48656	**43.50**	**0**	**0.00**	

Un-Billed:

CUWCC BMP Retail Coverage Report **2013**

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 1.1 Operation Practices

179 Otay Water District

1. Conservation Coordinator provided with necessary resources to implement BMPs?

Name: Richard Namba

Title: Senior Water Conservation Specialist

Email: richard.namba@otaywater.gov

On Track

2. Water Waste Prevention Documents

WW Document Name	WWP File Name	WW Prevention URL	WW Prevention Ordinance Terms Description
Option A Describe the ordinances or terms of service adopted by your agency to meet the water waste prevention requirements of this BMP.		http://www.otaywater.gov/code-of-ordinances/	SECTION 72.03 CERTAIN SPECIFIC OPERATIONAL VIOLATIONS B. Water waste. No customer shall knowingly permit leaks or other wastes of water, including, but not limited to, allowing runoff on any portion of his or her property ...
Option B Describe any water waste prevention ordinances or requirements adopted by your local jurisdiction or regulatory agencies within your service area.			
Option C Describe any documentation of support for legislation or regulations that prohibit water waste.			
Option D Describe your agency efforts to cooperate with other entities in the adoption or enforcement of local requirements consistent with this BMP.			
Option E Describe your agency support positions with respect to adoption of legislation or regulations that are consistent with this BMP.			
Option F Describe your agency efforts to support local ordinances that establish permits requirements for water efficient design in new development.			

CUWCC BMP Retail Coverage Report **2013**

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 1.1 Operation Practices

On Track

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2013

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 1.2 Water Loss Control

179 Otay Water District

Completed Standard Water Audit Using AWWA Software?	**Yes**	**On Track**
AWWA File provided to CUWCC?	**No**	

Otay Water District BMP1.2 FY13

AWWA Water Audit Validity Score?

Complete Training in AWWA Audit Method

Complete Training in Component Analysis Process?

CompComponent Analysis?

Repaired all leaks and breaks to the extent cost effective?

Locate and Repar unreported leaks to the extent cost effective?

Maintain a record keeping system for the repair of reported leaks, including time of report, leak location, type of leaking pipe segment or fitting, and leak running time from report to repair.

Provided 7 Types of Water Loss Control Info

Leaks Repars	Value Real Losses	Value Apparent Losses	Miles Surveyed	Press Reduction	Cost Of Interventions	Water Saved (AF)
123	119786		167			81

On Track

At Least As Effective As

In lieau of an active leak detection program, the City has opted to replace 1% of distribution system lines each year. Lines are replaced based on age and other asset management factors. Attached documentation shows the reduction in main breaks due to 179 Otay Water District BMP 1.2 Results from Main Replacement Program.

We encourage them every year to join.

BMP 1.2 Water Loss Control

2013

Agency name: Otay Water District

Reporting unit number: 179

Reporting unit name (District name): Otay Water District

AWWA Water Audit

Agency to complete a Water Audit & Balance Using The AWWA Software: Yes

Water Audit Validity Score from AWWA spreadsheet: 78

Email to office@cuwcc.org - Worksheets (AWWA Water Audit). Enter the name of the file below:

Agency Completed Training In The AWWA Water Audit Method: Yes

Agency Completed Training In The Component Analysis Process: Yes

Completed/Updated the Component Analysis (at least every 4 years)? Yes

Component Analysis Completed/Updated Date:

Water Loss Performance

Agency Repaired All Reported Leaks & Breaks To The Extent Cost Effective: No

Recording Keeping Requirements:

- Date/Time Leak Reported
- Type of Leaking Pipe Segment or Fitting
- Leak Volume Estimate
- Leak Location
- Leak Running Time From Report to Repair
- Cost of Repair

Agency Located and Repaired Unreported Leaks to the Extent Cost Effective: Yes

Type of Program Activities Used to Detect Unreported Leaks

In pipe video camera equipment used to check pipe integrity

Does your agency maintain in-house records of audit results or the completed AWWA worksheet for the completed audit which could be forwarded to CUWCC? No

Does your agency keeps records of each component analysis performed, and incorporates results into future annual standard water balances? Yes

Annual Summary Information

Complete the following table with annual summary information (required for reporting years 2-5 only)

Total Leak Repaired	Economic Value Of Real Loss	Economic Value Of Apparent Loss	Miles Of System Surveyed For Leaks	Pressure Reduction Undertaken For Loss Reduction	Cost Of Interventions	Water Saved (AF/Year)
123	119786		167			81

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2013

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 1.3 Metering With Commodity

179	Otay Water District

Numbered Unmetered Accounts	No	**On Track**
Metered Accounts billed by volume of use	Yes	**On Track**
Number of CII Accounts with Mixed Use Meters	911	
Conducted a feasibility study to assess merits of a program to provide incentives to switch mixed-use accounts to dedicated landscape meters?	No	**Not On Track**
Feasibility Study provided to CUWCC?	Yes	**On Track**
Completed a written plan, policy or program to test, repair and replace meters	Yes	**On Track**

At Least As Effective As **No**

BMP 1.3 Metering With Commodity

2013

Agency name: Otay Water District

Reporting unit number: 179

Reporting unit name (District name): Otay Water District

Implementation

Does your agency have any unmetered service connections? No

If YES, has your agency completed a meter retrofit plan? No

Enter the number of previously unmetered accounts fitted with meters during reporting year:

Are all new service connections being metered? Yes

Are all new service connections being billed volumetrically? Yes

Has your agency completed and submitted electronically to the Council a written plan, policy or program to test, repair and replace meters? Yes

Meters Matrix

Error: Subreport could not be shown.

Number of CII Accounts with Mixed-use Meters: 911

Number of CII Accounts with Mixed-use Meters Retrofitted with Dedicated Irrigation Meters during Reporting Period: 0

Feasibility Study

Has your agency conducted a feasibility study to assess the merits of a program to provide incentives to switch mixed-use accounts to dedicated landscape meters? No

If YES, please fill in the following information:

A. When was the Feasibility Study conducted: 11/30/2012 12:00:00 AM

B. Describe,

upload or provide an electronic link to the Feasibility Study Upload File

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2013

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 1.4 Retail Consrvation Pricing

179 Otay Water District

Implementation (Water Rate Structure)

Customer Class	Water Rate Type	Conserving Rate?
Other	Increasing Block	Yes

On Track

	Customer Class	Water Rate Type	(V) Total Revenue Comodity Charges	(M) Total Revenue Fixed Carges
	Other	Increasing Block	40845629	20063176
			40845629	**20063176**

Calculate: V / (V + M) **67 %**

Implementation Option: Use Annual Revenue As Reported

Agency Provide Sewer Service: Yes

Customer Class	Rate Type	Conserving Rate?
Single-Family	Increasing Block Seasonal	Yes

On Track

At Least As Effective As **No**

BMP 1.4 Retail Conservation Pricing

2013

Agency name: Otay Water District

Reporting unit number: 179

Reporting unit name (District name): Otay Water District

Implementation (Water Rate Structure)

Enter the Water Rate Structures that are assigned to the majority of your customers, by customer class

Water Rate Name	Customer Class Name	Total Revenue Commodity Charges	Total Revenue Customer Meter/Service (Fixed Charges)	
Increasing Block	Other	40845629	20063176	

Implementation (Conservation Pricing Option)

- [V] Use Annual Revenue As Reported
- [ ] Use CWWA Rate Design Model
- [V] Use 3 years average instead of most recent year

Retail Waste Water (Sewer) Rate Structure by Customer Class

Agency Provide Sewer Service: Yes

Select the Retail Waste Water (Sewer) Rate Structure assigned to the majority of your customers within a specific customer class.

Sewer Rate Name	Customer Class Name	Sewer Total Revenue Commodity Charges	Sewer Total Revenue Customer Meter/Service (Fixed Charges)	
Increasing Block Seasonal	Single-Family	2618291.00		

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2013

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

179 Otay Water District Retail Only

Does a wholesale Agency implement Public Outreach Programs? Yes

List of wholesale Agencies

	Agency Name	ID number
	San Diego County Water Authority	196

	Public Outreach Program List	Number
	Newsletter articles on conservation	200000
	Email Messages	50000
	Website	40000
	Flyers and/or brochures (total copies), bill stuffers, messages printed on bill, information packets	731000
	General water conservation information	70000
	Total	**1091000**
		On Track

	Number Media Contacts	Number
	News releases	30
	Total	**30**
		On Track

An actively maintained website that is updated regularly (minimum = 4 times per year, i.e., at least quarterly) Yes

	Annual Budget Category	Annual Budget Amount
	Public Information	155000
	Total Amount:	**155000**
		On Track

Description of all other Public Outreach programs

Surveys provided by District, Commercial Irrigation Upgrade Rebates provided, Residential rebates revised, Landscape design assistance provided

On Track

Public Outreah Additional Programs
Speaker's Bureau
Community Environmental Fairs

At Least As Effective As **No**

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2013

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

2013

Agency name: Otay Water District

Reporting unit # 179

Reporting unit name (District name): Otay Water District / Retail Only

Does a wholesale Agency implement Public Outreach Programs? Yes

List of wholesale Agencies

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

Home Depot

Is your agency performing public outreach?

Report a minimum of 4 water conservation related contacts your agency had with the public during the year.

Did at least one contact take place duringeach quarter of the reporting year? Yes

Public Information Programs List

Number of Public Contacts	Public Information Programs Name	
200000	Newsletter articles on conservation	
50000	Email Messages	
40000	Website	
731000	Flyers and/or brochures (total copies), bill stuffers, messages printed on bill, information packets	
70000	General water conservation information	

Contact with the Media

Does a wholesale Agency implement Public Outreach Programs? Yes

List of wholesale Agencies

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

Home Depot

OR Retail Agency (Contacts with the Media)

Did at least one contact take place during each quarter of the reporting year? No

Media Contacts List

Number of Media Contacts	Public Outreach Media Contact Name List	
30	News releases	

Does a wholesale Agency implement Public Outreach Programs? No

List of wholesale Agencies

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

Home Depot

Is Your Agency Performing Website Updates?

Enter your agency's URL (website address): http://www.otaywater.gov/

Describe a minimum of four water conservationrelated updates to your agency's website thattook place during the year: Surveys provided by District, Commercial Irrigation Upgrade Rebates provided, Residential rebates revised, Landscape design assistance provided

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

2013

Did at least one Website Update take place duringeach quarter of the reporting year? Yes

Public Outreach Annual Budget

Enter budget for public outreach programs. You may enter total budget in a single line or brake the budget into discretecategories by entering many rows. Please indicate if personnel costs are included in the entry.

Annual Budget Category	Annual Budget Amount	Personal Cost Included?	Comments	
Public Information	155000			

Public Outreach Expenses

Enter expenses for public outreach programs. Please include the same kind of expenses you included in the question relatedto your budget (Section 2.1.7, above). For example, if you included personnel costs in the budget entered above, be sure to include them here as well.

Public Outreach Expense Category	Expense Amount	Personal Cost Included?	
Annual Newsletter			
Outreach documents	40000		
Water Conservation Garden	95000		

Additional Public Information Program

Please report additional public information contacts. List these additional contacts in order of howyour agency views their importance / effectiveness with respect to conserving water, with the mostimportant/ effective listed first (where 1 = most important).

Were there additional Public Outreach efforts? Yes

Public Outreach Additional Information

Public Information Additional Programs	Importance	
Speaker's Bureau	1	
Community Environmental Fairs	2	

Social Marketing Programs

Branding

Does your agency have a water conservation"brand," "theme" or mascot? No

Describe the brand, theme or mascot.

Market Research

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

2013

Have you sponsored or participated inmarket research to refine your message? Yes

Market Research Topic: Otay Water District Customer Satisfaction Survey

Brand Message:

Brand Mission Statement:

Community Committees

Do you have a community conservationcommittee? No

Enter the names of the community committees:

Training

Training Type	Number of Trainings	Number of Attendees	Description of Other
3	1	25	Water Smart Landscape Class

Social Marketing Expenditures

Public Outreach Social Marketing Expenses

Expense Categary	Expense Amount	Description
Outreach	8000	Community event suppies

Partnering Programs - Partners

	Name	Type of Program
	CLCA?	
	Green Building Programs?	
	Master Gardeners?	
	Cooperative Extension?	
V	Local Colleges?	Class speaker, workshop leader
V	Other	

V Retail and wholesale outlet; name(s) and type(s) of programs:

Drought Tolerant Plant Fair

Partnering Programs - Newsletters

Number of newsletters per year: 4

Number of customers per year: 200000

Partnering with Other Utilities

Describe other utilities your agency partners with, including electrical utilities: San Diego Gas and Electric

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

2013

Conservation Gardens

Describe water conservation gardens at your agency or other high traffic areas or new homes	Water Conservation Garden at Cuyamaca College, South Bay Botanical Garden at Southwestern College

Landscape contests or awards

Describe water wise landscape contest or awards program conducted by your agency	OWD sponsors Best In District Landscape Contest & founding member of regional Landscape Contest
Additional Programs supported by Agency but not mentioned above:	

Comments

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2013

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 2.2 School Education Programs

179 Otay Water District — Retail Only

Does a wholesale Agency implement School Education Programs? Yes

List of wholesale Agencies

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

	Agencies Name	ID number
	Metropolitan Water District of SC	161
	San Diego County Water Authority	196

Materials meet state education framework requirements and are grade-level appropriate? Yes

Curriculum materials developed and/or provided by Agency:

SPLASH and WET outdoor education modules

Materials Distributed to K-6? Yes

Describe K-6 Materials

2 children's Project Wet water conservation activity books provided. Teacher water resource activity boxes provided. Community events provided K-6 curricular outreach material.

Materials distributed to 7-12 students? Yes (Info Only)

Annual budget for school education program: 28000.00

Description of all other water supplier education programs

SPLASH and WET outdoor education modules 2 children's Project Wet water conservation activity books provided. Teacher water resource activity boxes provided. Community events provided K-6 curricular outreach material. Splash Lab and Green Machine funding. Water conservation classes for residential or commercial customers. Funded Water Conservation Garden Ms Smarty Plants led tours. Splash Lab, Green Lab & Ms Smarty Plants garden tours are offered to schools and funded by the district Poster Splash and Project Green Mobile Labs provided by San Diego County Department of Education but funded by Otay Water District

On Track

At Least As Effective As **No**

WMP 2.2 School Education Programs
2013

School Education Programs

179 Otay Water District

Does a wholesale Agency implement School Education Programs? Yes

List of wholesale Agencies

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

	Agencies Name	ID number
	Metropolitan Water District of SC	161
	San Diego County Water Authority	196

V Materials meet state education framework requirements? Description: SPLASH and WET outdoor education modules

V Materials distributed to K-6 Students? Description: 2 children's Project Wet water conservation activity books provided. Teacher water resource activity boxes provided. Community events provided K-6 curricular outreach material.

Number of students reached: 2250

V Materials distributed to 7-12 Students? (optional) Description: National Geographic water conservation themed booklets provided.

Annual budget for school education program: 28000.00

Description of all other water supplier educationprograms: Splash Lab and Green Machine funding. Water conservation classes for residential or commercial customers. Funded Water Conservation Garden Ms Smarty Plants led tours.

School Programs Activities

Classroom Presentation:

Number of presentation: 33 Number of attendees: 1268

Describe the topics covered in your classroom presentations:

Large group assemblies:

Number of presentation: 0 Number of attendees:

Children's water festivals or other events:

Number of presentation: 2 Number of attendees: 300

Cooperative efforts with existing science/water education programs (various workshops, science fair awardsor judging) and follow-up:

Number of presentation: 2 Number of attendees: 125

Other methods of disseminating information (i.e. themed age-appropriate classroom loaner kits):

Description: 2

Staffing children's booths at events & festivals:

WMP 2.2 School Education Programs

2013

Retail Only

Please provide the name of Agency
if not FORTECH Group1 members

Number distributed 125

WMP 2.2 School Education Programs
2013

Number of booths: 2

Number of attendees: 300

Water conservation contests such as poster and photo:

Description: 2

Number of participants: 125

Offer monetary awards/funding or scholarships to students:

Number offered: 2

Total funding: 400.00

Teacher training workshops:

Number of presentation: 0

Number of attendees:

Fund and/or staff student field trips to treatment facilities, recycling facilities, water conservation gardens,etc.:

Number of tours or fieldtrips: 30

Number of participants: 1234

College internships in water conservation offered:

Number of internship: 0

Total funding: 0.00

Career Fairs / Workshops:

Number of presentation: 0

Number of attendees:

Additional program(s) supported by agency but not mentioned above:

Description	Number of events	Number of participants
Splash and Project Green Mobile Labs provided by San Diego County Department of Education but funded by Otay Water District	4	300

Comments

CUWCC BMP Retail Coverage Report **2014**

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 1.1 Operation Practices

179 Otay Water District

1. Conservation Coordinator provided with necessary resources to implement BMPs?

Name:	Richard Namba
Title:	Senior Water Conservation Specialist
Email:	richard.namba@otaywater.gov

On Track

2. Water Waste Prevention Documents

WW Document Name	WWP File Name	WW Prevention URL	WW Prevention Ordinance Terms Description
Option A Describe the ordinances or terms of service adopted by your agency to meet the water waste prevention requirements of this BMP.			
Option B Describe any water waste prevention ordinances or requirements adopted by your local jurisdiction or regulatory agencies within your service area.		http://www.otaywater.gov/code-of-ordinances/	SECTION 72.03 CERTAIN SPECIFIC OPERATIONAL VIOLATIONS B. Water waste. No customer shall knowingly permit leaks or other wastes of water, including, but not limited to, allowing runoff on any portion of his or her property ..
Option C Describe any documentation of support for legislation or regulations that prohibit water waste.			
Option D Describe your agency efforts to cooperate with other entities in the adoption or enforcement of local requirements consistent with this BMP.			
Option E Describe your agency support positions with respect to adoption of legislation or regulations that are consistent with this BMP.			
Option F Describe your agency efforts to support local ordinances that establish permits requirements for water efficient design in new development.			

On Track

CUWCC BMP Retail Coverage Report **2014**

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 1.1 Operation Practices

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2014

Foundational Best Management Practices For Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 1.2 Water Loss Control

179 Otay Water District

Completed Standard Water Audit Using AWWA Software?	**Yes**	**On Track**
AWWA File provided to CUWCC?	**No**	

Otay Water District BMP1.2 FY14

AWWA Water Audit Validity Score?

Complete Training in AWWA Audit Method

Complete Training in Component Analysis Process?

CompComponent Analysis?

Repaired all leaks and breaks to the extent cost effective?

Locate and Repar unreported leaks to the extent cost effective?

Maintain a record keeping system for the repair of reported leaks, including time of report, leak location, type of leaking pipe segment or fitting, and leak running time from report to repair.

Provided 7 Types of Water Loss Control Info

Leaks Repars	Value Real Losses	Value Apparent Losses	Miles Surveyed	Press Reduction	Cost Of Interventions	Water Saved (AF)
137	119786.5		167			81.1

On Track

At Least As Effective As

In lieau of an active leak detection program, the City has opted to replace 1% of distribution system lines each year. Lines are replaced based on age and other asset management factors. Attached documentation shows the reduction in main breaks due to 179 Otay Water District BMP 1.2 Results from Main Replacement Program.

We encourage them every year to join.

BMP 1.2 Water Loss Control

2014

Agency name: Otay Water District

Reporting unit number: 179

Reporting unit name (District name): Otay Water District

AWWA Water Audit

Agency to complete a Water Audit & Balance Using The AWWA Software: Yes

Water Audit Validity Score from AWWA spreadsheet: 76

Email to office@cuwcc.org - Worksheets (AWWA Water Audit). Enter the name of the file below:

Agency Completed Training In The AWWA Water Audit Method: Yes

Agency Completed Training In The Component Analysis Process: Yes

Completed/Updated the Component Analysis (at least every 4 years)? No

Component Analysis Completed/Updated Date:

Water Loss Performance

Agency Repaired All Reported Leaks & Breaks To The Extent Cost Effective: No

Recording Keeping Requirements:

- Date/Time Leak Reported
- Type of Leaking Pipe Segment or Fitting
- Leak Volume Estimate
- Leak Location
- Leak Running Time From Report to Repair
- Cost of Repair

Agency Located and Repaired Unreported Leaks to the Extent Cost Effective: No

Type of Program Activities Used to Detect Unreported Leaks

Pipeline video camera capability

Does your agency maintain in-house records of audit results or the completed AWWA worksheet for the completed audit which could be forwarded to CUWCC? No

Does your agency keeps records of each component analysis performed, and incorporates results into future annual standard water balances? No

Annual Summary Information

Complete the following table with annual summary information (required for reporting years 2-5 only)

Total Leak Repaired	Economic Value Of Real Loss	Economic Value Of Apparent Loss	Miles Of System Surveyed For Leaks	Pressure Reduction Undertaken For Loss Reduction	Cost Of Interventions	Water Saved (AF/Year)
137	119786.5		167	V		81.1

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2014

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 1.3 Metering With Commodity

179	Otay Water District

Numbered Unmetered Accounts	No	**On Track**
Metered Accounts billed by volume of use	No	**Not On Track**
Number of CII Accounts with Mixed Use Meters		
Conducted a feasibility study to assess merits of a program to provide incentives to switch mixed-use accounts to dedicated landscape meters?	No	**Not On Track**
Feasibility Study provided to CUWCC?	No	**Not On Track**
Completed a written plan, policy or program to test, repair and replace meters	No	**Not On Track**

At Least As Effective As **No**

BMP 1.3 Metering With Commodity

2014

Agency name: Otay Water District

Reporting unit number: 179

Reporting unit name (District name): Otay Water District

Implementation

Does your agency have any unmetered service connections? No

If YES, has your agency completed a meter retrofit plan? No

Enter the number of previously unmetered accounts fitted with meters during reporting year:

Are all new service connections being metered? No

Are all new service connections being billed volumetrically? No

Has your agency completed and submitted electronically to the Council a written plan, policy or program to test, repair and replace meters? No

Meters Matrix

Error: Subreport could not be shown.

Number of CII Accounts with Mixed-use Meters

Number of CII Accounts with Mixed-use Meters Retrofitted with Dedicated Irrigation Meters during Reporting Period

Feasibility Study

Has your agency conducted a feasibility study to assess the merits of a program to provide incentives to switch mixed-use accounts to dedicated landscape meters? No

If YES, please fill in the following information:

A. When was the Feasibility Study conducted

B. Describe,

upload or provide an electronic link to the Feasibility Study Upload File

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2014

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 1.4 Retail Consrvation Pricing

179 Otay Water District

Implementation (Water Rate Structure)

Customer Class	Water Rate Type	Conserving Rate?
Other	Increasing Block	Yes

On Track

	Customer Class	Water Rate Type	(V) Total Revenue Comodity Charges	(M) Total Revenue Fixed Carges
	Other	Increasing Block	46856253	21462274
			46856253	**21462274**

Calculate: V / (V + M) **69 %**

Implementation Option: Use Annual Revenue As Reported

Agency Provide Sewer Service: Yes

Customer Class	Rate Type	Conserving Rate?
Single-Family	Increasing Block Seasonal	Yes

On Track

At Least As Effective As **No**

BMP 1.4 Retail Conservation Pricing

2014

Agency name: Otay Water District

Reporting unit number: 179

Reporting unit name (District name): Otay Water District

Implementation (Water Rate Structure)

Enter the Water Rate Structures that are assigned to the majority of your customers, by customer class

Water Rate Name	Customer Class Name	Total Revenue Commodity Charges	Total Revenue Customer Meter/Service (Fixed Charges)	
Increasing Block	Other	46856253	21462274	

Implementation (Conservation Pricing Option)

- [x] Use Annual Revenue As Reported
- [ ] Use CWWA Rate Design Model
- [ ] Use 3 years average instead of most recent year

Retail Waste Water (Sewer) Rate Structure by Customer Class

Agency Provide Sewer Service: Yes

Select the Retail Waste Water (Sewer) Rate Structure assigned to the majority of your customers within a specific customer class.

Sewer Rate Name	Customer Class Name	Sewer Total Revenue Commodity Charges	Sewer Total Revenue Customer Meter/Service (Fixed Charges)	
Increasing Block Seasonal	Single-Family	2757867.00		

Comments:

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2014

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

179 Otay Water District Retail Only

Does a wholesale Agency implement Public Outreach Programs? Yes

List of wholesale Agencies

	Agency Name	ID number
	San Diego County Water Authority	196

	Public Outreach Program List	Number
	Newsletter articles on conservation	192000
	Flyers and/or brochures (total copies), bill stuffers, messages printed on bill, information packets	675000
	Website	38000
	Flyers and/or brochures (total copies), bill stuffers, messages printed on bill, information packets	650000
	General water conservation information	55000
	Total	**1610000**
		On Track

	Number Media Contacts	Number
	Radio contacts	2
	News releases	18
	News releases	3
	Total	**23**
		On Track

An actively maintained website that is updated regularly (minimum = 4 times per year, i.e., at least quarterly) Yes

	Annual Budget Category	Annual Budget Amount
	Public Information annual budget	168000
	Total Amount:	**168000**
		On Track

Description of all other Public Outreach programs

Landscape contest; Water Smart Landscape Workshops; Rebate updates; Water conservation garden classes

On Track

Public Outreah Additional Programs
Community Events

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2014

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

Public Outreah Additional Programs
Conservation Speakers Bureau

At Least As Effective As **No**

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

2014

Agency name: Otay Water District

Reporting unit # 179

Reporting unit name (District name): Otay Water District / Retail Only

Does a wholesale Agency implement Public Outreach Programs? Yes

List of wholesale Agencies

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

Home Depot

Is your agency performing public outreach?

Report a minimum of 4 water conservation related contacts your agency had with the public during the year.

Did at least one contact take place duringeach quarter of the reporting year? Yes

Public Information Programs List

Number of Public Contacts	Public Information Programs Name	
192000	Newsletter articles on conservation	
675000	Flyers and/or brochures (total copies), bill stuffers, messages printed on bill, information packets	
38000	Website	
650000	Flyers and/or brochures (total copies), bill stuffers, messages printed on bill, information packets	
55000	General water conservation information	

Contact with the Media

Does a wholesale Agency implement Public Outreach Programs? Yes

List of wholesale Agencies

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

Home Depot

OR Retail Agency (Contacts with the Media)

Did at least one contact take place during each quarter of the reporting year? No

Media Contacts List

Number of Media Contacts	Public Outreach Media Contact Name List	
2	Radio contacts	
18	News releases	
3	News releases	

Does a wholesale Agency implement Public Outreach Programs? No

List of wholesale Agencies

Please provide the name of Agency if not CUWCC Group1 members

Home Depot

Is Your Agency Performing Website Updates?

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

2014

Enter your agency's URL (website address):	http://www.otaywater.gov/
Describe a minimum of four water conservationrelated updates to your agency's website thattook place during the year:	Landscape contest; Water Smart Landscape Workshops; Rebate updates; Water conservation garden classes

Did at least one Website Update take place duringeach quarter of the reporting year? Yes

Public Outreach Annual Budget

Enter budget for public outreach programs. You may enter total budget in a single line or brake the budget into discretecategories by entering many rows. Please indicate if personnel costs are included in the entry.

Annual Budget Category	Annual Budget Amount	Personal Cost Included?	Comments	
Public Information annual budget	168000			

Public Outreach Expenses

Enter expenses for public outreach programs. Please include the same kind of expenses you included in the question relatedto your budget (Section 2.1.7, above). For example, if you included personnel costs in the budget entered above, be sure to include them here as well.

Public Outreach Expense Category	Expense Amount	Personal Cost Included?	
Outreach items	40000		
Water Conservation Garden	96000		

Additional Public Information Program

Please report additional public information contacts. List these additional contacts in order of howyour agency views their importance / effectiveness with respect to conserving water, with the mostimportant/ effective listed first (where 1 = most important).

Were there additional Public Outreach efforts? Yes

Public Outreach Additional Information

Public Information Additional Programs	Importance	
Community Events	2	
Conservation Speakers Bureau	1	

Social Marketing Programs

Branding

Does your agency have a water conservation"brand," "theme" or mascot? No

Describe the brand, theme or mascot.

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

2014

Market Research

Have you sponsored or participated inmarket research to refine your message? Yes

Market Research Topic: Otay Water District Customer Satisfaction Survey

Brand Message:

Brand Mission Statement:

Community Committees

Do you have a community conservationcommittee? Yes

Enter the names of the community committees: Conservation Action Committee & CAC Planning Committee Member

Training

Training Type	Number of Trainings	Number of Attendees	Description of Other
3	1	30	
4		50	CLEAN Business Partners

Social Marketing Expenditures

Public Outreach Social Marketing Expenses

Expense Category	Expense Amount	Description
Outreach	8000	Provide community event outreach supplies

Partnering Programs - Partners

	Name	Type of Program
	CLCA?	
	Green Building Programs?	
V	Master Gardeners?	Chula Vista Harborfest
V	Cooperative Extension?	
V	Local Colleges?	Cuyamaca Community College, Southwestern Community College
V	Other	Chula Vista CLEAN Business Partners

V Retail and wholesale outlet; name(s) and type(s) of programs:

Water Efficient Plant Fairs

Partnering Programs - Newsletters

Number of newsletters per year: 4

BMP 2.1 Public Outreach

2014

Number of customers per year	200000

Partnering with Other Utilities

Describe other utilities your agency partners with, including electrical utilities	San Diego Gas & Electric; City of Chula Vista Conservation section

Conservation Gardens

Describe water conservation gardens at your agency or other high traffic areas or new homes	Water Conservation Garden at Cuyamaca College; South Bay Botanical Garden at Southwestern College

Landscape contests or awards

Describe water wise landscape contest or awards program conducted by your agency	Annual Best In District Landscape Contest sponsor &l Regional Landscape Contest Awards Sponsor
Additional Programs supported by Agency but not mentioned above:	

Comments

CUWCC BMP Coverage Report 2014

Foundational Best Manegemant Practices for Urban Water Efficiency

Foundational BMPs

BMP 2.2 School Education Programs

179 Otay Water District — Retail Only

Does a wholesale Agency implement School Education Programs? Yes

List of wholesale Agencies

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

Materials meet state education framework requirements and are grade-level appropriate? Yes

Curriculum materials developed and/or provided by Agency:

SPLASH and WET outdoor education modules

Materials Distributed to K-6? Yes

Describe K-6 Materials

2 children's Project Wet water conservation activity books provided. Teacher water resource activity boxes provided. Community events provided K-6 curricular outreach material.

Materials distributed to 7-12 students? Yes (Info Only)

Annual budget for school education program: 28000.00

Description of all other water supplier education programs

SPLASH and WET outdoor education modules 2 children's Project Wet water conservation activity books provided. Teacher water resource activity boxes provided. Community events provided K-6 curricular outreach material. Splash Lab and Green Machine funding. Water conservation classes for residential or commercial customers. Funded Water Conservation Garden Ms Smarty Plants led tours. Splash Lab, Green Lab & Ms Smarty Plants garden tours are offered to schools and funded by the district Poster Splash and Project Green Mobile Labs provided by San Diego County Department of Education but funded by Otay Water District

On Track

At Least As Effective As **No**

WMP 2.2 School Education Programs
2014

School Education Programs

179 Otay Water District

Retail Only

Does a wholesale Agency implement School Education Programs? Yes

List of wholesale Agencies

Metropolitan Water District of SC,San Diego County Water Authority

Please provide the name of Agency if not FORTECH Group1 members

V Materials meet state education framework requirements? Description: SPLASH and WET outdoor education modules

V Materials distributed to K-6 Students? Description: 2 children's Project Wet water conservation activity books provided. Teacher water resource activity boxes provided. Community events provided K-6 curricular outreach material.

Number of students reached: 2250

V Materials distributed to 7-12 Students? (optional) Description: National Geographic water conservation themed booklets provided.

Annual budget for school education program: 28000.00

Description of all other water supplier educationprograms: Splash Lab and Green Machine funding. Water conservation classes for residential or commercial customers. Funded Water Conservation Garden Ms Smarty Plants led tours.

School Programs Activities

Classroom Presentation:

Number of presentation: 33 Number of attendees: 1268

Describe the topics covered in your classroom presentations:

Large group assemblies:

Number of presentation: 0 Number of attendees:

Children's water festivals or other events:

Number of presentation: 2 Number of attendees: 300

Cooperative efforts with existing science/water education programs (various workshops, science fair awardsor judging) and follow-up:

Number of presentation: 2 Number of attendees: 125

Other methods of disseminating information (i.e. themed age-appropriate classroom loaner kits):

Description: 2 Number distributed: 125

Staffing children's booths at events & festivals:

Number of booths: 2 Number of attendees: 300

Water conservation contests such as poster and photo:

Description: 2 Number of participants: 125

Offer monetary awards/funding or scholarships to students:

WMP 2.2 School Education Programs

2014

Number offered	2	Total funding	400.00

Teacher training workshops:

Number of presentation	0	Number of attendees	

Fund and/or staff student field trips to treatment facilities, recycling facilities, water conservation gardens,etc.:

Number of tours or fieldtrips	30	Number of participants	1234

College internships in water conservation offered:

Number of internship	0	Total funding	0.00

Career Fairs / Workshops:

Number of presentation	0	Number of attendees	

Additional program(s) supported by agency but not mentioned above:

Description	Number of events	Number of participants
Splash and Project Green Mobile Labs provided by San Diego County Department of Education but funded by Otay Water District	4	300

Comments

AGENDA ITEM 4

STAFF REPORT

TYPE MEETING:	Regular Board	MEETING DATE:	June 1, 2016
SUBMITTED BY:	Jeff Marchioro Senior Civil Engineer Bob Kennedy Engineering Manager	PROJECT:	Various DIV. NO. ALL
APPROVED BY:	☒ Rod Posada, Chief, Engineering ☒ German Alvarez, Assistant General Manager ☒ Mark Watton, General Manager		
SUBJECT:	Award of Two (2) As-Needed Engineering Design Services Contracts for Fiscal Years 2017 and 2018		

GENERAL MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That the Otay Water District (District) Board of Directors (Board) award two (2) professional As-Needed Engineering Design Services contracts and to authorize the General Manager to execute two agreements with Psomas and Rick Engineering, each in an amount not-to-exceed $500,000. The total amount of the two contracts will not exceed $500,000 during Fiscal Years 2017 and 2018 (ending June 30, 2018).

COMMITTEE ACTION:

Please see Attachment A.

PURPOSE:

To obtain Board authorization for the General Manager to enter into two (2) professional As-Needed Engineering Design Services contracts with Psomas and Rick Engineering, with each contract in an amount not-to-exceed $500,000 for Fiscal Years 2017 and 2018. The total amount of the two contracts will not exceed $500,000 during Fiscal Years 2017 and 2018.

ANALYSIS:

The District will require the services of two professional engineering design consultants on an as-needed basis in support of Capital Improvement Program (CIP) projects for Fiscal Years 2017 and 2018. It is more efficient and cost effective to issue as-needed contracts for engineering design which will provide the District with the ability to obtain consulting services in a timely and efficient manner. This concept has also been used in the past for other disciplines, such as construction management, geotechnical, electrical, and environmental services.

The District staff will identify tasks and request cost proposals from the two consultants during the contract period. Each consultant will prepare a detailed scope of work, schedule, and fee for each task order, with the District evaluating the proposals based upon qualifications and cost. The District will enter into negotiations with the consultants, selecting the proposal that has the best value for the District. Upon written task order authorization from the District, the selected consultant shall then proceed with the project as described in the scope of work.

The CIP projects that are estimated to require engineering design services for Fiscal Years 2017 and 2018, at this time, are listed below:

CIP	DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED COST
P2453	SR-11 Utility Relocations	$75,000
P2405/ P2554	624/340 PRSs at Energy Way/Nirvana Avenue and Heritage Road/Hard Rock Road	$25,000
P2559	Pressure Vessel Repair and Replacement Program	$25,000
P2573	Hillsdale Road Pipeline Replacement	$150,000
R2110	944-1 Recycled Water Pump Station Optimization and Pressure Zone Modifications	$50,000
R2116	14-inch Recycled Force Main Assessment and Repair	$75,000
S2033	Sewer System Rehabilitation	$75,000
	TOTAL:	**$475,000**

Staff believes that a $500,000 cap on each of the As-Needed Engineering Design Services contracts is adequate, while still providing a buffer.

Fees for professional services will be charged to the CIP projects or to the Fiscal Year Operations budget.

The As-Needed Engineering Design Services contracts do not commit the District to any expenditure until a task order is approved to perform the work. The District does not guarantee work to the consultants, nor does the District guarantee to the consultants that it will expend all of the funds authorized by the contract on professional services.

The District solicited engineering design services by placing an advertisement on the Otay Water District's website on January 22, 2016 and with various other publications including the San Diego Union Tribune. Fourteen (14) firms submitted a Letter of Interest and a Statement of Qualifications. The Request for Proposal (RFP) for Engineering Design Services was sent to all fourteen (14) firms resulting in ten (10) proposals received on March 2, 2016. They are as follows:

- Arcadis (Carlsbad, CA)
- Brady (San Diego, CA)
- Carollo (San Diego, CA)
- Hazen and Sawyer (San Diego, CA)
- HDR (San Diego, CA)
- KEH (San Diego, CA)
- Michael Baker International (San Diego, CA)
- Psomas (San Diego, CA)
- Rick Engineering (San Diego, CA)
- West Coast Civil (San Diego, CA)

Firms that submitted Letters of Interest, but did not propose, were AECOM (San Diego, CA), CDM Smith (Carlsbad, CA), CH2M (San Diego, CA), and NV5 (San Diego, CA).

In accordance with the District's Policy 21, Staff evaluated and scored all written proposals and interviewed the top seven (7) firms on April 12 and April 13, 2016. Psomas and Rick Engineering received the highest scores based on their experience, understanding of the scope of work, proposed method to accomplish the work, and their composite hourly rate. Psomas and Rick Engineering were the most qualified consultants with the best overall proposal. Both consultants currently hold professional engineering agreements with

the District, including Psomas' current (FY 2015 and FY 2016) as-needed engineering design agreement and Rick Engineering's Campo Road Sewer design agreement, and both consultants have performed well. Both consultants provide similar services to other local agencies and are readily available to provide the services required. A summary of the complete evaluation is shown in Attachment B.

Psomas and Rick Engineering submitted the Company Background Questionnaire, as required by the RFP, and staff did not find any significant issues. In addition, staff checked their references and performed an internet search on the company. Staff found the references to be excellent and did not find any outstanding issues with the internet search.

FISCAL IMPACT: ☒ Joe Beachem, Chief Financial Officer

The funds for these contracts will be expended on a variety of projects, as previously noted above. These contracts are for as-needed professional services based on the District's need and schedule, and expenditures will not be made until a task order is approved by the District for the consultant's services on a specific CIP project.

Based on a review of the financial budget, the Project Manager anticipates that the budgets will be sufficient to support the professional as-needed consulting services required for the CIP projects noted above.

The Finance Department has determined that the funds to cover these contracts will be available as budgeted for these projects.

STRATEGIC GOAL:

This Project supports the District's Mission statement, "To provide high value water and wastewater services to the customers of the Otay Water District in a professional, effective, and efficient manner" and the General Manager's Vision, "A District that is at the forefront in innovations to provide water services at affordable rates, with a reputation for outstanding customer service."

LEGAL IMPACT:

None.

JM/BK:jf

P:\WORKING\As Needed Services\Engineering Design\FY 2017-2018\Staff Report\BD_06-01-16_Staff Report_Award of As-Needed Engineering Design Services (JM-BK).docx

Attachments: Attachment A – Committee Action
Attachment B – Summary of Proposal Rankings

ATTACHMENT A

SUBJECT/PROJECT:	Award of Two (2) As-Needed Engineering Design Services Contracts for Fiscal Years 2017 and 2018
Various	

COMMITTEE ACTION:

The Engineering, Operations, and Water Resources Committee (Committee) reviewed this item at a meeting held on May 17, 2016. The Committee supported Staff's recommendation.

NOTE:

The "Committee Action" is written in anticipation of the Committee moving the item forward for Board approval. This report will be sent to the Board as a Committee approved item, or modified to reflect any discussion or changes as directed from the Committee prior to presentation to the full Board.

ATTACHMENT B
SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL RANKINGS
As-Needed Engineering Design - Fiscal Years 2017 and 2018

		WRITTEN								ORAL							REFERENCES
		Qualifications of Team	Responsiveness and Project Understanding	Technical and Management Approach	**INDIVIDUAL SUBTOTAL - WRITTEN**	**AVERAGE SUBTOTAL - WRITTEN**	Proposed Rates*	Consultant's Commitment to DBE	**TOTAL - WRITTEN**	Additional Creativity and Insight	Strength of Project Manager	Presentation and Communication Skills	Responses to Questions	INDIVIDUAL TOTAL - ORAL	AVERAGE TOTAL ORAL	**TOTAL SCORE**	
MAXIMUM POINTS		**30**	**25**	**30**	**85**	**85**	**15**	**Y/N**	**100**	**15**	**15**	**10**	**10**	**50**	**50**	**150**	**Poor/Good/ Excellent**
Arcadis	*Bob Kennedy*	25	15	23	63	70	1		71	NOT INTERVIEWED							
	Dan Martin	24	22	25	71												
	Steve Beppler	26	21	23	70												
	Kevin Cameron	26	22	24	72												
	Charles Mederos	25	23	24	72												
Brady	*Bob Kennedy*	25	20	25	70	71	13	Y	84	10	9	6	6	31	33	117	
	Dan Martin	24	23	26	73					10	10	6	6	32			
	Steve Beppler	24	21	24	69					12	11	6	6	35			
	Kevin Cameron	25	22	25	72					12	11	6	6	35			
	Charles Mederos	23	23	24	70					10	10	6	7	33			
Carollo	*Bob Kennedy*	27	22	27	76	76	2		78	12	12	8	8	40	41	119	
	Dan Martin	26	23	26	75					13	13	8	8	42			
	Steve Beppler	25	22	27	74					12	12	8	7	39			
	Kevin Cameron	26	23	26	75					14	14	8	8	44			
	Charles Mederos	28	24	27	79					13	13	8	8	42			
Hazen and Sawyer	*Bob Kennedy*	25	20	25	70	69	3	Y	72	NOT INTERVIEWED							
	Dan Martin	24	20	24	68												
	Steve Beppler	23	21	23	67												
	Kevin Cameron	23	20	22	65												
	Charles Mederos	25	22	26	73												
HDR	*Bob Kennedy*	25	20	25	70	72	2	Y	74	NOT INTERVIEWED							
	Dan Martin	25	23	25	73												
	Steve Beppler	25	20	26	71												
	Kevin Cameron	26	23	25	74												
	Charles Mederos	25	24	25	74												
KEH	*Bob Kennedy*	25	20	25	70	72	7	Y	79	11	10	7	7	35	38	117	
	Dan Martin	25	22	25	72					12	11	7	8	38			
	Steve Beppler	23	21	26	70					12	11	7	8	38			
	Kevin Cameron	26	22	26	74					13	12	7	8	40			
	Charles Mederos	25	25	26	76					13	11	8	7	39			
Michael Baker International	*Bob Kennedy*	27	22	27	76	75	6		81	13	12	9	9	43	43	124	
	Dan Martin	26	23	26	75					13	14	8	9	44			
	Steve Beppler	25	23	26	74					14	13	8	8	43			
	Kevin Cameron	26	23	25	74					13	14	9	8	44			
	Charles Mederos	24	25	25	74					12	14	9	8	43			
Psomas	*Bob Kennedy*	27	22	27	76	76	9	Y	85	13	12	9	9	43	45	130	Excellent
	Dan Martin	27	24	28	79					13	15	9	9	46			
	Steve Beppler	25	23	26	74					14	14	9	9	46			
	Kevin Cameron	26	24	28	78					14	14	10	9	47			
	Charles Mederos	23	25	24	72					14	14	9	8	45			
Rick Engineering	*Bob Kennedy*	27	22	27	76	75	15		90	12	12	9	8	41	43	133	Excellent
	Dan Martin	27	24	28	79					13	13	8	8	42			
	Steve Beppler	25	21	25	71					13	13	9	8	43			
	Kevin Cameron	26	22	26	74					14	14	9	9	46			
	Charles Mederos	26	25	26	77					14	12	8	7	41			
West Coast Civil	*Bob Kennedy*	25	23	20	68	69	12	Y	81	11	10	7	7	35	38	119	
	Dan Martin	24	25	25	74					13	12	8	7	40			
	Steve Beppler	21	22	22	65					13	12	7	6	38			
	Kevin Cameron	22	21	22	65					13	11	7	6	37			
	Charles Mederos	25	24	24	73					12	12	7	7	38			

RATES SCORING CHART

Consultant	Rate	Score	Consultant	Rate	Score
Arcadis	$1,153	1	KEH	$1,030	7
Brady	$925	13	Michael Baker Internat	$1,060	6
Carollo	$1,125	2	Psomas	$1,000	9
Hazen and Sawyer	$1,117	3	Rick Engineering	$880	15
HDR	$1,130	2	West Coast Civil	$935	12

**The fees were evaluated by comparing rates for seven positions. The sum of these rates are noted on the table to the left.*
Note: Review Panel does not see or consider rates when scoring other categories. Rates are scored by the PM, who is not on Review Panel.

AGENDA ITEM 5

STAFF REPORT

TYPE MEETING:	Regular Board	MEETING DATE:	June 1, 2016
SUBMITTED BY:	Dan Martin Engineering Manager	PROJECT: Various	DIV. NO. ALL
APPROVED BY:	☒ Rod Posada, Chief of Engineering ☒ German Alvarez, Assistant General Manager ☒ Mark Watton, General Manager		
SUBJECT:	Adopt Ordinance No. 554 Amending Section 0 Definitions and Miscellaneous Provisions of the District's Code of Ordinances; and Adopt Resolution No. 4307 Amending Policy No. 26 District Administration of Reimbursement Agreements of the District's Code of Ordinances		

GENERAL MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:

That the Otay Water District (District) Board of Directors (Board) adopt:

1. Ordinance No. 554 amending Section 0 Definitions and Miscellaneous Provisions of the District's Code of Ordinances;

2. Resolution No. 4307 Amending Policy No. 26 District Administration of Reimbursement Agreements of the District's Code of Ordinances.

COMMITTEE ACTION:

Please see Attachment A.

PURPOSE:

The purpose of the proposed amendments outlined in this staff report is as follows:

- Provide specific definitions to the word “Development” and “Regional Facilities” as they are used in the Code of Ordinances including the proposed Policy No. 26 that is part of this staff report.

- Update Policy No. 26 to clarify the process used to evaluate non-regional versus regional facilities for the purposes of reimbursement.

ANALYSIS:

Section 26 of the District’s Code of Ordinances establishes the guidelines for the District’s administration of reimbursement agreements for Master Plan and Non-Master Plan facilities.

The District’s Water Facility Master Plan (Master Plan) is the District’s planning document that outlines all regional facilities needed to provide potable and recycled water service throughout the District. The Master Plan is developed using the planning level documentation available at the time of Master Plan development. It is recognized that as new development plans such as Sub Area Master Plans are approved subsequent to the Master Plan adoption that some of the proposed Capital Improvement Program projects, designated as regional facilities in the adopted Master Plan, may need to be re-evaluated. The information presented in a new Sub Area Master Plan may change the alignment or scope of a Regional Facility.

The proposed amendments included in Section 0 Definitions and Miscellaneous Provisions and Policy No. 26 District Administration of Reimbursement Agreements of the District’s Code of Ordinances are intended to clarify the process used to evaluate non-regional versus regional facilities for the purposes of reimbursement as new Sub Area Master Plans are brought forward for the District’s consideration.

FISCAL IMPACT: ☒ Joe Beachem, Chief Financial Officer

None.

STRATEGIC GOAL:

Adoption of Ordinance No. 554 and Resolution No. 4307 supports the District’s Mission statement, “To provide high value water and wastewater services to the customers of the Otay Water District in a professional, effective, and efficient manner” and the General Manager’s Vision, “A District that is at the forefront in innovations to provide water services at affordable rates, with a reputation for outstanding customer service.”

LEGAL IMPACT:

None.

DM/RP:jf
P:\Public-s\STAFF REPORTS\2016\BD 06-01-16\BD 06-01-16, Staff Report Code Section 00 and Policy 26 Proposed Changes Report (DM-RP).docx

Attachments:
- Attachment A – Committee Action
- Attachment B - Ordinance No. 554
  - Exhibit 1 – Strike-through Section 0
- Attachment C – Resolution No. 4307
  - Exhibit 1 – Strike-through Policy No. 26
- Attachment D – Proposed Section 0
- Attachment E – Proposed Policy No. 26

ATTACHMENT A

SUBJECT/PROJECT:	
VARIOUS	Adopt Ordinance No. 554 Amending Section 0 Definitions and Miscellaneous Provisions of the District's Code of Ordinances; and Adopt Resolution No. 4307 Amending Policy No. 26 District Administration of Reimbursement Agreements of the District's Code of Ordinances

COMMITTEE ACTION:

The Engineering, Operations, and Water Resources Committee (Committee) reviewed this item at a Committee Meeting held on May 17, 2016. The Committee supported Staff's recommendation.

NOTE:

The "Committee Action" is written in anticipation of the Committee moving the item forward for Board approval. This report will be sent to the Board as a Committee approved item, or modified to reflect any discussion or changes as directed from the Committee prior to presentation to the full Board.

Attachment B

ORDINANCE NO. 554

AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE OTAY WATER DISTRICT AMENDING SECTION 0 DEFINITIONS AND MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS OF THE DISTRICT'S CODE OF ORDINANCES

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Directors of Otay Water District that the District's Code of Ordinances, Section 0 Definitions and Miscellaneous Provisions be amended as per Exhibits 1 (attached).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the new proposed Section 0 (Attachment D) of the Code of Ordinances shall become effective June 1, 2016.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of the Otay Water District at a regular meeting duly held this 6th day of April, 2016, by the following roll call vote:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:
ABSTAIN:

_____
President

ATTEST:

_____
District Secretary

ATTACHMENT B - EXHIBIT 1

DIVISION I DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION

CHAPTER 1 GENERAL PROVISIONS

SECTION 0 DEFINITIONS AND MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

0.01 TITLE - REFERENCE TO CODE

This Code shall be known as the "Otay Water District Code of Ordinances." References herein to the "Code" or the "Ordinances" shall be deemed to mean said Code of Ordinances.

0.02 DEFINITIONS

~~A.~~ The following words as used in this Code shall have the meanings set forth below unless otherwise apparent in the context in which they are used:

"Board of Directors" or "Board" means the Board of Directors of the District.

"Board Member" or "Member of the Board" means a Director of the Board of Directors.

"Central Area Service Zone" means all land within the boundaries of the area identified as the Central Area Service Zone as shown on the map on file in the Office of the District Secretary.

"County" means the County of San Diego.

"Customer" means any person, firm, association, partnership, corporation or governmental agency supplied or entitled to be supplied with water or sewer service by the District for compensation.

"Developer" means any person, firm, association, partnership, joint venture or corporation who applies for acceptance by the District of an addition or change to its water distribution system or its sewer collection system.

''Development'' ~~for the purposes of defining Regional Facilities~~ means the improvement of a parcel or a group of ~~adjacent~~ parcels that are owned in whole or in part by the same or affiliated parent entity, subsidiary, or Developer.

"District" means the Otay Water District (formerly Otay Municipal Water District).

"Equivalent Dwelling Unit" or "EDU" means a unit of measurement for water service or sewer service. For water

service, one EDU shall be considered to be equivalent to a ¾-inch meter, and is also typically considered to be equivalent to 650 gallons per day (gpd) for planning purposes. For sewer service, one EDU shall be 250 gallons per day (gpd) of residential strength wastewater defined as having a maximum concentration of 280 milligrams per liter (mg/l) biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and 234 milligrams per liter (mg/l) suspended solids.

"General Manager" means the General Manager of the District or the designated representative.

"Irrigated area" means the area of land (measured in square feet) which may require supplemental water (potable or recycled) from the District for the support of landscaping, but does not include decks, walkways, patios, tennis courts or any other permanently hard-surfaced areas maintained within or around landscaped areas. Trees shall have an irrigated area equal to the area within the circumference of the canopy (drip line) of the tree at maturity.

"Landscape or landscaping" means the living plant material and organic or inorganic ground covers (mulches) used for aesthetic, erosion control and/or fire control purposes as specified by city or county regulations.

"Line Extension" means a water or sewer main constructed from the termination point of an existing District main to provide service beyond the existing main.

"Non-permanent Irrigation Service" means temporary water service furnished to establish and maintain re-vegetated native plants ~~for a period not to exceed five years~~.

"Off-Site Facilities" means facilities which must be constructed outside the boundaries of a development to bring utility service from the nearest District facility to the boundary of the land being developed.

"On-Site Facilities" means facilities which must be constructed within the boundaries of a development for utility service within the development or other land to be served.

"Parcel Map Development" or "Lot-Split" means a real estate development resulting in a division of land into four or less parcels for which no subdivision map is required but for which a parcel map is required to be filed and approved by the applicable local governmental agency.

"Person" means any individual, firm, co-partnership, joint venture association, corporation, county, city, municipal corporation or other political subdivision, or any other group or combination acting as a unit.

''Regional Facility'' means those facilities that serve a regional need and are not required for a specific Development or Developments as defined in Section ~~00~~0.02 of the District's Code of Ordinances and as demonstrated by the Development's Sub Area Master Plan(s). The District's Water Facilities Master Plan defines which Regional Facilities are eligible for reimbursement.

"Sewer Lateral" means the portion of pipe between the District sewer main and the coupling at the property owner's point of connection.

The word "shall" means mandatory and the word "may" means permissive.

"Staff" means the General Manager and other administrative personnel of the District.

"Subdivision" means a real estate development resulting in a division of land into five or more lots or parcels for which a subdivision map is required to be filed and approved by the applicable local governmental agency.

"Utility" or "Utility System" means a water system and/or sewer system, as applicable.

"Water Lateral" means the portion of pipe between the District water main and the water meter location.

"Water Meter" means an instrument used for automatic recording of the quantity of water delivered to a customer and the term shall include the fittings, valves and equipment required for operation of the water meter.

"Water Service" means the furnishing of water to a customer through a District-owned water meter or meters.

0.03 EFFECT OF HEADING

The title, division or section headings contained in this Code shall not be deemed to govern, limit or modify in any manner the scope, meaning or intent of the provisions of any section or subsection of this Code.

0.04 NOTICES

A. Whenever a notice is required to be given under this Code, unless different provisions are specifically made herein, such notice may be made either by personal delivery thereof to the person to be notified or by deposit in the U.S. Mail in a sealed envelope, postage prepaid, addressed to such person at his last known business or residence address as the name appears in public records or other records pertaining to the matter to which the notice is directed. Service by mail shall be deemed to have been completed at the time of deposit in the post office.

B. Proof of giving any notice may be made by the certificate of any officer or employee of the District or by affidavit of any person over the age of eighteen years, which shows service in conformity with this Code or other provisions of law applicable to the subject matter concerned.

0.05 VALIDITY OF CODE

If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or portion of this Code is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the decision of any court, such decision shall not affect the remaining portions of this Code. The Board of Directors hereby declares that it would have adopted this Code and each section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or portion thereof, irrespective that any one or more sections, subsections, clauses, phrases or portions be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

0.06 TIME LIMIT FOR SEEKING REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS

Judicial review of any administrative decision of the District may be had pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.5 only if the petition for writ of mandate is filed not later than the ninetieth day following the date on which the decision become final.

Attachment C

RESOLUTION NO. 4307

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE OTAY WATER DISTRICT AMENDING POLICY 26 DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION OF REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT'S CODE OF ORDINANCES

WHEREAS, the Otay Water District Board of Directors has been presented with an amended Policy No. 26 of the District's Code of Ordinances for the management of the Otay Water District; and

WHEREAS, the amended Policy No. 26 has been reviewed and considered by the Board, and it is in the interest of the District to adopt the amended policy; and

WHEREAS, the strike-through copy of the proposed policy is attached as Exhibit 1 to this resolution; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, DETERMINED, AND ORDERED by the Board of Directors of the Otay Water District that the amended Policy No. 26, incorporated herein as Attachment E, is hereby adopted.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of Otay Water District at a board meeting held this 1ST day of June 2016, by the following vote:

Ayes:
Noes:
Abstain:
Absent:

_____
President

ATTEST:

_____
District Secretary

ATTACHMENT C - EXHIBIT 1

OTAY WATER DISTRICT **BOARD OF DIRECTORS POLICY**			
Subject	Policy Number	Date Adopted	Date Revised
DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION OF REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENTS	26	2/10/93	6~~7~~/01~~65~~/1~~0~~6

PURPOSE

This policy establishes guidelines for how the District will administer reimbursement agreements for facilities, both Master Plan and Non-Master Plan. It also describes when and how the District will participate in the cost of such Regional F~~f~~acilities.

BACKGROUND

Policy 26 requires that a development[JB1] which creates the need for new facilities must bear all costs to construct and finance the on-site, in-tract and off-site water, wastewater, and recycled water systems.

"On-site" facilities are defined as those pipelines, pump stations and reservoirs required within a ~~D~~development's ~~developer's~~ project boundaries. "Off-site" facilities are those facilities located outside a project's boundary that are required to serve the project. "In-tract" facilities are defined as those non-regional facilities that serve only the project being constructed. ~~These facilities are typically 6 inch through 12 inch pipelines.~~ In-tract facilities are the sole responsibility of the developer/property owner until the facilities and all required property easements are dedicated to, and accepted by, the District pursuant to authority granted by the Board to the General Manager.

The District's Master Plan includes all Regional Facilities ~~regional on-site and off-site facilities~~ anticipated to be necessary to provide service throughout the District. The District's capacity fees have been calculated to pay for the cost of all the R~~r~~egional F~~f~~acilities identified in the Water Facilities Master Plan including the developer/property owner's portion of such facilities. The District does not subsidize development but it does undertake responsibility to ~~insure~~ ensure that those ~~r~~Regional ~~f~~Facilities located within a development ~~necessary to serve a particular development~~ are constructed and that the costs associated with the construction of said facilities is fairly distributed among all users.

POLICY

A. Master Plan Regional Facilities-Reimbursement by the District: The District may reimburse the developer/property owner[JB2] for

construction and design costs on~~For~~ both on-site and off-site Regional F~~f~~acilities identified in the Master Plan, ~~both on-site and off-site, the District may reimburse the developer for construction and design costs~~ if the project meets the following guidelines:

1. The project must be in the District's approved ~~five~~six-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) at the time of the request, and the construction and design costs shall not exceed the CIP budget amount without prior Board approval.

2. The District has approved a Sub-Area Master Plan (SAMP) that defines all In-tract, Off-Site, and On-Site facilities required to serve the Development and includes ~~and~~ any required fire flow calculations, maps, and modeling upon which the non-regional facilities are clearly described. As part of the SAMP approval, the District will determine whether ~~evaluate if~~ the non-R~~r~~egional F~~f~~acilities need to be upsized to meet a regional need. If needed, the District will require the developer[JB3]/property owner to upsize the non-R~~r~~egional F~~f~~acilities to meet the regional need. These Regional ~~Facilties~~Facilities will be included in the approved SAMP. The incremental increase between the non-R~~r~~egional to R~~r~~egional F~~f~~acilities will be the basis for the reimbursement agreement. The District will ~~reserves the right to~~ amend ~~those Regional Facilites included in~~ the ~~approved~~ Water Facilities Master Plan based upon the facilities included in the Development's SAMP.

3. The developer[JB4]/property owner makes an irrevocable offer to dedicate to the District the facilities and any easements required for the operation and maintenance of the facilities~~ to the District~~, which offer is accepted by the General Manager, and all applicable language and documentation for~~of~~ the dedication(s) is prepared and recorded, all in the manner authorized by the Board.

4. The developer/property owner[JB5] enters into an Agreement for Construction of a Water System or Sewer System with the District.

5. The developer/property owner[JB6] obtains three (3) bids from qualified contractors and provides copies of the bids to the District. The developer/property owner[JB7] is responsible for selecting the lowest responsive responsible bidder. The developer/property owner [JB8]will be reimbursed only for the Regional Facility CIP portions of the project based on the unit prices submitted with the lowest responsive responsible [JB9]bid.

6. The cost of addressing environmental issues, such as burying a reservoir, shall not be reimbursable unless they are ~~currently~~ addressed in the District's Master Environmental Impact Report and CIP.

7. All soft costs, such as engineering, inspection, bonds, etc., will be included in the reimbursement cost at five percent of the construction costs.

8. Except as provided below, the District will pay 100 percent of the reimbursement cost after the General Manager accepts the project.

9. The District may elect to finance the facilities by borrowing if, after analysis by the Finance Department, it is determined that the borrowing fits into the District's financial plan as outlined in Policy 25.

10. If, for any reason, reimbursement funds are not ~~vailable~~available at the time the project is operationally complete, the District may elect to defer or a portion of the reimbursement the District determines is due to the developer[JB10]/property owner until the General Manager accepts the dedication of the project and until all liens, claims and/or bonds, as applicable, have been released in the manner provided under the Agreement for Construction of a Water System.

11. Funds for reimbursement shall be carried as a CIP until the reimbursement is made.

12. Each ~~r~~Reimbursement A~~a~~greement requires approval by the Board. A Staff Report will be prepared and reviewed with the Finance Department prior to presentation to the Board for approval.

13. This type of R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement ends five (5) years after Board's original approval. The reimbursement agreement may be terminated prior to said (5) year term by the General Manager upon a determination that the developer[JB11]/property owner has failed to comply with its obligations under the Reimbursement Agreement or the Agreement for Construction of a Water System or~~f~~ Sewer System.

14. If the R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement expires prior to the acceptance of the facilities by the District or prior to payment of reimbursement, the ~~D~~developer[JB12]/property owner shall no longer

be entitled to reimbursement. The Developer may submit new documentation ~~andrequest~~and request that the District enter into a new R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement. If the District agrees to enter into a new R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement for the facilities, however, the District may revise the terms and amounts of reimbursement at its discretion based on information available at the time of the request.

15. All reimbursement requests shall be submitted to the Board for consideration and shall not be processed without prior Board approval.

B. Non-Master Plan Facilities-Reimbursement to Developer by Future Users: Occasionally, a developer/property owner requests that the District ~~to~~ administer a reimbursement agreement to collect money from future customers who connect to the facility built by the developer/property owner. If the District agrees, the District collects the reimbursement amount from each customer connecting to the facility, together with any other District connection fees. The reimbursement portion of the customer's payment is forwarded by the District to the developer/property owner as reimbursement.

The District may administer this type of reimbursement agreement if the developer/property owner's project meets the following criteria and guidelines:

1. The developer/property owner demonstrates that the facilities to be constructed have adequate capacity to serve future customers.

2. The developer/property owner requests and executes a R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement, which is presented to the Board for approval in conjunction with the presentation of an A~~a~~greement T~~t~~o C~~c~~onstruct.

3. The developer/property owner deposits with the District the estimated cost for District staff to prepare a nexus study and obtain Board approval for the R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement. District staff will provide a written estimate of the required deposit to the developer/property owner within 15 days of the developer/property owner's request.

4. The developer/property owner provides three (3) bids from qualified contractors for the purpose of establishing the cost of the facilities and the portion of the reimbursement amount which is to be allocated to future connections.

5. A nexus study shall be performed by District staff to identify ~~those who~~ which property owners may benefit from the construction of the proposed facility and the amount the District~~they~~ will~~shall~~ reimburse the developer/property owner~~ who constructed the facility~~.

6. ~~Prior to the public notice being sent to those property owners affected by the reimbursement agreement, a~~An informational staff report will be presented to the Board before the public notice is sent to those property owners affected by the Reimbursement Agreement,.

7. The District shall give notice to all~~ those~~ property owners who~~that~~ will be subject to the reimbursement charge. These property owners will then be responsible to pay their fair share of the cost of the facilities from the ~~at such~~ time ~~as~~ they connect to the system. The fair share will be based on their Assigned Service Unit/Equivalent Dwelling Unit (ASU/EDU) contribution to the total projected ASU/EDU to use the system. The reimbursement charge will be in addition to any other fees a property owner would pay to the District to obtain service.

8. Each R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement requires approval by the Board. Prior to presenting a R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement to the Board, staff must obtain two original Reimbursement Agreements signed by an authorized representative of the developer/property owner. In addition, a~~A~~ Staff Report must ~~then~~ be prepared and reviewed with the Finance Department prior to presentation to the Board for approval.

9. This type of R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement shall be valid for 10 years from the date of Board approval. After the 10 year period has lapsed the collection of the reimbursement amount by the District shall cease.

10. Concurrently with submission of a signed R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement, the developer/property owner shall ~~must~~ pay an administrative fee to the District, to defray costs related to the review of the request and the negotiation and execution of the R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement. The amount of the administrative fee will be calculated at the staff rate existing at the time of said submission.

11. In addition, concurrently with payment of the fee described above, developer must pay a fee to defray costs estimated to be

incurred for~~per~~ each connection to be established during the term of the R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement. The amount of this fee will be calculated based on an estimated 5~~6~~ man hours of District Permit Technician work per connection. The staff rate in existence at the time the R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement is executed will be used as a base and it will be projected to change each year to account for changes in the COLA, as determined by the District's F~~f~~inance D~~d~~epartment.

12. The District will not distribute any reimbursement funds to the developer/property owner until the project has been accepted by the Board. The distribution of reimbursement funds will occur as the District collects the funds from new customers who connect to the facility, but not more frequently than once per year.

13. District staff shall collect the reimbursement amount due at the same time the standard District capacity fees for the new service are collected.

14. If the R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement expires prior to acceptance of the facilities by the District or prior to payment of reimbursement, the d~~D~~eveloper/property owner shall no longer be entitled to reimbursement. The d~~D~~eveloper/property owner may submit new documentation ~~andrequest~~and request that the District enter into a new R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement. If the District agrees to enter into a new R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement for the facilities, however, the District may revise the terms and amounts of reimbursement at its discretion based on information available at the time of the request.

15. All reimbursement requests shall be submitted to the Board for consideration and shall not be processed without prior Board approval.

C. Non-Master Plan Facilities-Reimbursement to Developer[JB13]/Property Owner by the District: Normally the District would not participate in the cost of facilities which are not identified in the Master Plan. These facilities are of benefit only to the adjoining property and should ordinarily be financed solely by the developer/property owner proposing the new facility. Nonetheless, there may be circumstances where the General Manager determines that it is appropriate for the District to participate in the cost of a non-Master Plan facility. Typical reasons would be in order to accommodate future growth or betterment of the system. In these instances, the District may establish special fees to recover the

reimbursement costs from benefiting property owners as they connect to the system.

The District may reimburse the developer/property owner for construction costs if the project meets the following criteria and guidelines:

1. The General Manager has determined that it is appropriate for the District's customers to participate in the construction of the project.

2. The developer/property owner shall obtain three (3) bids from qualified contractors and provide copies of the initial bids to the District. The developer/property owner is responsible for selecting the lowest responsive bidder. The developer/property owner will be reimbursed for the CIP portions of the project based on the unit prices submitted with the lowest responsive bid.

3. A nexus study will be performed by the District to identify ~~those~~ property owners who may benefit from the construction of the proposed facility.

4. Prior to the public notice being sent to the~~ose~~ property owners affected by the R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement, an informational Staff Report shall be presented to the Board.

5. The District shall provide notice to all ~~those~~ property owners which will be subject to the reimbursement charge. These properties will then be responsible to pay their fair share of the cost of the facilities, plus interest, at such time as they connect to the system.

6. The developer/property owner shall request and execute the R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement with the District prior to awarding any contracts for construction.

7. Each R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement requires approval by the Board. A Staff Report shall be prepared and reviewed with the Finance Department prior to presentation to the Board for approval.

8. Except as provided below, the District will pay 100 percent of the reimbursement cost after the General Manager accepts the project.

9. The District may elect to finance the facilities by borrowing, if it is determined that borrowing is in the best interest of the District's customers.

10. If for any reason reimbursement funds are not available at the time the project is operationally complete, the District may elect to defer the reimbursement until the General Manager determines that it is appropriate to make payments.

11. Funds for reimbursement shall be carried as a CIP until the reimbursement has been made.

12. This type of R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement contains no end date for the collection by the District of its contributed share of the cost, and shall be the responsibility of all current and subsequent property owners.

13. District staff shall collect the reimbursement amount due at the same time the standard District capacity fees for the new service are collected.

14. If the R~~r~~eimbursement A~~a~~greement expires prior to acceptance of the facilities by the District or prior to payment of reimbursement, the ~~D~~developer/property owner[JB14] shall no longer be entitled to reimbursement. The ~~D~~developer/property owner[JB15] may submit new documentation ~~andrequest~~and request that the District enter into a new reimbursement agreement. If the District agrees to enter into a new reimbursement agreement for the facilities, however, the District may revise the terms and amounts of reimbursement at its discretion based on information available at the time of the request.

15. All reimbursement requests shall be submitted to the Board for consideration and shall not be processed without prior Board approval.

DIVISION I DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION

CHAPTER 1 GENERAL PROVISIONS

SECTION 0 DEFINITIONS AND MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

0.01 TITLE - REFERENCE TO CODE

This Code shall be known as the "Otay Water District Code of Ordinances." References herein to the "Code" or the "Ordinances" shall be deemed to mean said Code of Ordinances.

0.02 DEFINITIONS

The following words as used in this Code shall have the meanings set forth below unless otherwise apparent in the context in which they are used:

"Board of Directors" or "Board" means the Board of Directors of the District.

"Board Member" or "Member of the Board" means a Director of the Board of Directors.

"Central Area Service Zone" means all land within the boundaries of the area identified as the Central Area Service Zone as shown on the map on file in the Office of the District Secretary.

"County" means the County of San Diego.

"Customer" means any person, firm, association, partnership, corporation or governmental agency supplied or entitled to be supplied with water or sewer service by the District for compensation.

"Developer" means any person, firm, association, partnership, joint venture or corporation who applies for acceptance by the District of an addition or change to its water distribution system or its sewer collection system.

"Development" means the improvement of a parcel or a group of parcels that are owned in whole or in part by the same or affiliated parent entity, subsidiary, or Developer.

"District" means the Otay Water District (formerly Otay Municipal Water District).

"Equivalent Dwelling Unit" or "EDU" means a unit of measurement for water service or sewer service. For water service, one EDU shall be considered to be equivalent to

a ¾-inch meter, and is also typically considered to be equivalent to 650 gallons per day (gpd) for planning purposes. For sewer service, one EDU shall be 250 gallons per day (gpd) of residential strength wastewater defined as having a maximum concentration of 280 milligrams per liter (mg/l) biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and 234 milligrams per liter (mg/l) suspended solids.

"General Manager" means the General Manager of the District or the designated representative.

"Irrigated area" means the area of land (measured in square feet) which may require supplemental water (potable or recycled) from the District for the support of landscaping, but does not include decks, walkways, patios, tennis courts or any other permanently hard-surfaced areas maintained within or around landscaped areas. Trees shall have an irrigated area equal to the area within the circumference of the canopy (drip line) of the tree at maturity.

"Landscape or landscaping" means the living plant material and organic or inorganic ground covers (mulches) used for aesthetic, erosion control and/or fire control purposes as specified by city or county regulations.

"Line Extension" means a water or sewer main constructed from the termination point of an existing District main to provide service beyond the existing main.

"Non-permanent Irrigation Service" means temporary water service furnished to establish and maintain re-vegetated native plants.

"Off-Site Facilities" means facilities which must be constructed outside the boundaries of a development to bring utility service from the nearest District facility to the boundary of the land being developed.

"On-Site Facilities" means facilities which must be constructed within the boundaries of a development for utility service within the development or other land to be served.

"Parcel Map Development" or "Lot-Split" means a real estate development resulting in a division of land into four or less parcels for which no subdivision map is required but for which a parcel map is required to be filed and approved by the applicable local governmental agency.

"Person" means any individual, firm, co-partnership, joint venture association, corporation, county, city,

municipal corporation or other political subdivision, or any other group or combination acting as a unit.

"Regional Facility" means those facilities that serve a regional need and are not required for a specific Development or Developments as defined in Section 0.02 of the District's Code of Ordinances and as demonstrated by the Development's Sub Area Master Plan(s). The District's Water Facilities Master Plan defines which Regional Facilities are eligible for reimbursement.

"Sewer Lateral" means the portion of pipe between the District sewer main and the coupling at the property owner's point of connection.

The word "shall" means mandatory and the word "may" means permissive.

"Staff" means the General Manager and other administrative personnel of the District.

"Subdivision" means a real estate development resulting in a division of land into five or more lots or parcels for which a subdivision map is required to be filed and approved by the applicable local governmental agency.

"Utility" or "Utility System" means a water system and/or sewer system, as applicable.

"Water Lateral" means the portion of pipe between the District water main and the water meter location.

"Water Meter" means an instrument used for automatic recording of the quantity of water delivered to a customer and the term shall include the fittings, valves and equipment required for operation of the water meter.

"Water Service" means the furnishing of water to a customer through a District-owned water meter or meters.

0.03 EFFECT OF HEADING

The title, division or section headings contained in this Code shall not be deemed to govern, limit or modify in any manner the scope, meaning or intent of the provisions of any section or subsection of this Code.

0.04 NOTICES

A. Whenever a notice is required to be given under this Code, unless different provisions are specifically made herein, such notice may be made either by personal delivery thereof to the person to be notified or by deposit in the U.S.

Mail in a sealed envelope, postage prepaid, addressed to such person at his last known business or residence address as the name appears in public records or other records pertaining to the matter to which the notice is directed. Service by mail shall be deemed to have been completed at the time of deposit in the post office.

B. Proof of giving any notice may be made by the certificate of any officer or employee of the District or by affidavit of any person over the age of eighteen years, which shows service in conformity with this Code or other provisions of law applicable to the subject matter concerned.

0.05 VALIDITY OF CODE

If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or portion of this Code is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the decision of any court, such decision shall not affect the remaining portions of this Code. The Board of Directors hereby declares that it would have adopted this Code and each section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or portion thereof, irrespective that any one or more sections, subsections, clauses, phrases or portions be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

0.06 TIME LIMIT FOR SEEKING REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS

Judicial review of any administrative decision of the District may be had pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.5 only if the petition for writ of mandate is filed not later than the ninetieth day following the date on which the decision become final.

ATTACHMENT E

OTAY WATER DISTRICT **BOARD OF DIRECTORS POLICY**			
Subject	Policy Number	Date Adopted	Date Revised
DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION OF REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENTS	26	2/10/93	6/01/16

PURPOSE

This policy establishes guidelines for how the District will administer reimbursement agreements for facilities, both Master Plan and Non-Master Plan. It also describes when and how the District will participate in the cost of such Regional Facilities.

BACKGROUND

Policy 26 requires that a development which creates the need for new facilities must bear all costs to construct and finance the on-site, in-tract and off-site water, wastewater, and recycled water systems.

“On-site” facilities are defined as those pipelines, pump stations and reservoirs required within a development’s project boundaries. “Off-site” facilities are those facilities located outside a project's boundary that are required to serve the project. “In-tract” facilities are defined as those non-regional facilities that serve only the project being constructed. In-tract facilities are the sole responsibility of the developer/property owner until the facilities and all required property easements are dedicated to, and accepted by, the District pursuant to authority granted by the Board to the General Manager.

The District's Master Plan includes all Regional Facilities anticipated to be necessary to provide service throughout the District. The District's capacity fees have been calculated to pay for the cost of all the Regional Facilities identified in the Water Facilities Master Plan including the developer/property owner’s portion of such facilities. The District does not subsidize development but it does undertake responsibility to ensure that those Regional Facilities located within a development are constructed and that the costs associated with the construction of said facilities is fairly distributed among all users.

POLICY

A. Master Plan Regional Facilities-Reimbursement by the District: The District may reimburse the developer/property owner for construction and design costs on both on-site and off-site Regional Facilities identified in the Master Plan, if the project meets the following guidelines:

1. The project must be in the District's approved five-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) at the time of the request, and the construction and design costs shall not exceed the CIP budget amount without prior Board approval.

2. The District has approved a Sub-Area Master Plan (SAMP) that defines all In-tract, Off-Site, and On-Site facilities required to serve the Development and includes any required fire flow calculations, maps, and modeling upon which the non-regional facilities are clearly described. As part of the SAMP approval, the District will determine whether the non-Regional Facilities need to be upsized to meet a regional need. If needed, the District will require the developer/property owner to upsize the non-Regional Facilities to meet the regional need. These Regional Facilities will be included in the approved SAMP. The incremental increase between the non-Regional to Regional Facilities will be the basis for the reimbursement agreement. The District will amend the Water Facilities Master Plan based upon the facilities included in the Development's SAMP.

3. The developer/property owner makes an irrevocable offer to dedicate to the District the facilities and any easements required for the operation and maintenance of the facilities, which offer is accepted by the General Manager, and all applicable language and documentation for the dedication(s) is prepared and recorded, all in the manner authorized by the Board.

4. The developer/property owner enters into an Agreement for Construction of a Water System or Sewer System with the District.

5. The developer/property owner obtains three (3) bids from qualified contractors and provides copies of the bids to the District. The developer/property owner is responsible for selecting the lowest responsive responsible bidder. The developer/property owner will be reimbursed only for the Regional Facility CIP portions of the project based on the unit prices submitted with the lowest responsive responsible bid.

6. The cost of addressing environmental issues, such as burying a reservoir, shall not be reimbursable unless they are addressed in the District's Master Environmental Impact Report and CIP.

7. All soft costs, such as engineering, inspection, bonds, etc., will be included in the reimbursement cost at five percent of the construction costs.

8. Except as provided below, the District will pay 100 percent of the reimbursement cost after the General Manager accepts the project.

9. The District may elect to finance the facilities by borrowing if, after analysis by the Finance Department, it is determined that the borrowing fits into the District's financial plan as outlined in Policy 25.

10. If, for any reason, reimbursement funds are not available at the time the project is operationally complete, the District may elect to defer or a portion of the reimbursement the District determines is due to the developer/property owner until the General Manager accepts the dedication of the project and until all liens, claims and/or bonds, as applicable, have been released in the manner provided under the Agreement for Construction of a Water System.

11. Funds for reimbursement shall be carried as a CIP until the reimbursement is made.

12. Each Reimbursement Agreement requires approval by the Board. A Staff Report will be prepared and reviewed with the Finance Department prior to presentation to the Board for approval.

13. This type of Reimbursement Agreement ends five (5) years after Board’s original approval. The reimbursement agreement may be terminated prior to said (5) year term by the General Manager upon a determination that the developer/property owner has failed to comply with its obligations under the Reimbursement Agreement or the Agreement for Construction of a Water System or Sewer System.

14. If the Reimbursement Agreement expires prior to the acceptance of the facilities by the District or prior to payment of reimbursement, the developer/property owner shall no longer be entitled to reimbursement. The Developer may submit new documentation and request that the District enter into a new Reimbursement Agreement. If the District agrees to enter into a new Reimbursement Agreement for the facilities, however, the District may revise the terms and amounts of reimbursement at its discretion based on information available at the time of the request.

15. All reimbursement requests shall be submitted to the Board for consideration and shall not be processed without prior Board approval.

B. Non-Master Plan Facilities-Reimbursement to Developer by Future Users: Occasionally, a developer/property owner requests that the District administer a reimbursement agreement to collect money from future customers who connect to the facility built by the developer/property owner. If the District agrees, the District collects the reimbursement amount from each customer connecting to the facility, together with any other District connection fees. The reimbursement portion of the customer's payment is forwarded by the District to the developer/property owner as reimbursement.

The District may administer this type of reimbursement agreement if the developer/property owner's project meets the following criteria and guidelines:

1. The developer/property owner demonstrates that the facilities to be constructed have adequate capacity to serve future customers.

2. The developer/property owner requests and executes a Reimbursement Agreement, which is presented to the Board for approval in conjunction with the presentation of an Agreement To Construct.

3. The developer/property owner deposits with the District the estimated cost for District staff to prepare a nexus study and obtain Board approval for the Reimbursement Agreement. District staff will provide a written estimate of the required deposit to the developer/property owner within 15 days of the developer/property owner's request.

4. The developer/property owner provides three (3) bids from qualified contractors for the purpose of establishing the cost of the facilities and the portion of the reimbursement amount which is to be allocated to future connections.

5. A nexus study shall be performed by District staff to identify which property owners may benefit from the construction of the proposed facility and the amount the District will reimburse the developer/property owner.

6. An informational staff report will be presented to the Board before the public notice is sent to those property owners affected by the Reimbursement Agreement,.

7. The District shall give notice to all property owners who will be subject to the reimbursement charge. These property owners will then be responsible to pay their fair share of the cost of the facilities from the time they connect to the system. The fair share will be based on their Assigned Service Unit/Equivalent Dwelling Unit (ASU/EDU) contribution to the total projected ASU/EDU to use the system. The reimbursement charge will be in addition to any other fees a property owner would pay to the District to obtain service.

8. Each Reimbursement Agreement requires approval by the Board. Prior to presenting a Reimbursement Agreement to the Board, staff must obtain two original Reimbursement Agreements signed by an authorized representative of the developer/property owner. In addition, a Staff Report must be prepared and reviewed with the Finance Department prior to presentation to the Board for approval.

9. This type of Reimbursement Agreement shall be valid for 10 years from the date of Board approval. After the 10 year period has lapsed the collection of the reimbursement amount by the District shall cease.

10. Concurrently with submission of a signed Reimbursement Agreement, the developer/property owner shall pay an administrative fee to the District, to defray costs related to the review of the request and the negotiation and execution of the Reimbursement Agreement. The amount of the administrative fee will be calculated at the staff rate existing at the time of said submission.

11. In addition, concurrently with payment of the fee described above, developer must pay a fee to defray costs estimated to be incurred for each connection to be established during the term of the Reimbursement Agreement. The amount of this fee will be calculated based on an estimated 5 man hours of District Permit Technician work per connection. The staff rate in existence at the time the Reimbursement Agreement is executed will be used as a base and it will be projected to change each year to account for changes in the COLA, as determined by the District’s Finance Department.

12. The District will not distribute any reimbursement funds to the developer/property owner until the project has been accepted by the Board. The distribution of reimbursement funds will occur as

the District collects the funds from new customers who connect to the facility, but not more frequently than once per year.

13. District staff shall collect the reimbursement amount due at the same time the standard District capacity fees for the new service are collected.

14. If the Reimbursement Agreement expires prior to acceptance of the facilities by the District or prior to payment of reimbursement, the developer/property owner shall no longer be entitled to reimbursement. The developer/property owner may submit new documentation and request that the District enter into a new Reimbursement Agreement. If the District agrees to enter into a new Reimbursement Agreement for the facilities, however, the District may revise the terms and amounts of reimbursement at its discretion based on information available at the time of the request.

15. All reimbursement requests shall be submitted to the Board for consideration and shall not be processed without prior Board approval.

C. Non-Master Plan Facilities-Reimbursement to Developer/Property Owner by the District: Normally the District would not participate in the cost of facilities which are not identified in the Master Plan. These facilities are of benefit only to the adjoining property and should ordinarily be financed solely by the developer/property owner proposing the new facility. Nonetheless, there may be circumstances where the General Manager determines that it is appropriate for the District to participate in the cost of a non-Master Plan facility. Typical reasons would be in order to accommodate future growth or betterment of the system. In these instances, the District may establish special fees to recover the reimbursement costs from benefiting property owners as they connect to the system.

The District may reimburse the developer/property owner for construction costs if the project meets the following criteria and guidelines:

1. The General Manager has determined that it is appropriate for the District's customers to participate in the construction of the project.

2. The developer/property owner shall obtain three (3) bids from qualified contractors and provide copies of the initial bids to the District. The developer/property owner is responsible for

selecting the lowest responsive bidder. The developer/property owner will be reimbursed for the CIP portions of the project based on the unit prices submitted with the lowest responsive bid.

3. A nexus study will be performed by the District to identify property owners who may benefit from the construction of the proposed facility.

4. Prior to the public notice being sent to the property owners affected by the Reimbursement Agreement, an informational Staff Report shall be presented to the Board.

5. The District shall provide notice to all property owners which will be subject to the reimbursement charge. These properties will then be responsible to pay their fair share of the cost of the facilities, plus interest, at such time as they connect to the system.

6. The developer/property owner shall request and execute the Reimbursement Agreement with the District prior to awarding any contracts for construction.

7. Each Reimbursement Agreement requires approval by the Board. A Staff Report shall be prepared and reviewed with the Finance Department prior to presentation to the Board for approval.

8. Except as provided below, the District will pay 100 percent of the reimbursement cost after the General Manager accepts the project.

9. The District may elect to finance the facilities by borrowing, if it is determined that borrowing is in the best interest of the District's customers.

10. If for any reason reimbursement funds are not available at the time the project is operationally complete, the District may elect to defer the reimbursement until the General Manager determines that it is appropriate to make payments.

11. Funds for reimbursement shall be carried as a CIP until the reimbursement has been made.

12. This type of Reimbursement Agreement contains no end date for the collection by the District of its contributed share of the cost,

and shall be the responsibility of all current and subsequent property owners.

13. District staff shall collect the reimbursement amount due at the same time the standard District capacity fees for the new service are collected.

14. If the Reimbursement Agreement expires prior to acceptance of the facilities by the District or prior to payment of reimbursement, the developer/property owner shall no longer be entitled to reimbursement. The developer/property owner may submit new documentation and request that the District enter into a new reimbursement agreement. If the District agrees to enter into a new reimbursement agreement for the facilities, however, the District may revise the terms and amounts of reimbursement at its discretion based on information available at the time of the request.

15. All reimbursement requests shall be submitted to the Board for consideration and shall not be processed without prior Board approval.

AGENDA ITEM 6

STAFF REPORT

TYPE MEETING:	Regular Board	MEETING DATE:	June 1, 2016
SUBMITTED BY:	Dan Martin Engineering Manager	PROJECT:	VARIOUS DIV. NO. ALL
APPROVED BY:	☒ Rod Posada, Chief of Engineering ☒ German Alvarez, Assistant General Manager ☒ Mark Watton, General Manager		
SUBJECT:	Informational Item – Third Quarter Fiscal Year 2016 Capital Improvement Program Report		

GENERAL MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION:

No recommendation. This is an informational item only.

COMMITTEE ACTION:

Please see Attachment A.

PURPOSE:

To update the Board about the status of all CIP project expenditures and to highlight significant issues, progress, and milestones on major projects.

ANALYSIS:

To keep up with growth and to meet our ratepayers' expectations to adequately deliver safe, reliable, cost-effective, and quality water, each year the District staff prepares a Six-Year CIP Plan that identifies the District's infrastructure needs. The CIP is comprised of four categories consisting of backbone capital facilities, replacement/renewal projects, capital purchases, and developer's reimbursement projects.

The Third Quarter Fiscal Year 2016 update is intended to provide a detailed analysis of progress in completing these projects within the allotted time and budget of $11.8 million. Expenditures through the Third Quarter totaled approximately $7.4 million. Approximately 62% of the Fiscal Year 2016 expenditure budget was spent (see Attachment B).

FISCAL IMPACT: ☒ Joe Beachem, Chief Financial Officer

No fiscal impact as this is an informational item only.

STRATEGIC GOAL:

The Capital Improvement Program supports the District's Mission statement, "To provide high value water and wastewater services to the customers of the Otay Water District, in a professional, effective, and efficient manner" and the General Manager's Vision, "A District that is at the forefront in innovations to provide water services at affordable rates, with a reputation for outstanding customer service."

LEGAL IMPACT:

None.

DM/RP:jf
P:\Forms\D-Construction\CIP Quarterly Reports\CIP Qtr Reports\FY 2016\Q3\Staff Report\BD 06-01-16 Staff Report Third Quarter FY 2016 CIP Report (DM-RP).docx

Attachments: Attachment A – Committee Action
Attachment B - Fiscal Year 2016 Third Quarter CIP Expenditure Report
Attachment C – Presentation

ATTACHMENT A

SUBJECT/PROJECT: VARIOUS	Informational Item – Third Quarter Fiscal Year 2016 Capital Improvement Program Report

COMMITTEE ACTION:

The Engineering, Operations, and Water Resources Committee (Committee) reviewed this item at a Committee Meeting held on May 17, 2016. The Committee supported Staff's recommendation.

NOTE:

The "Committee Action" is written in anticipation of the Committee moving the item forward for Board approval. This report will be sent to the Board as a Committee approved item, or modified to reflect any discussion or changes as directed from the Committee prior to presentation to the full Board.

FISCAL YEAR 2016
3rd QUARTER REPORT
(Expenditures through 03/31/16)
($000)

ATTACHMENT B

			FISCAL YEAR-TO-DATE, 03/31/16				LIFE-TO-DATE, 03/31/16				
CIP No.	**Description**	**Project Manager**	**FY 2016 Budget**	**Expenses**	**Balance**	**Expense to Budget %**	**Budget**	**Expenses**	**Balance**	**Expense to Budget %**	**Q3 Comments**
	CAPITAL FACILITY PROJECTS										
P2040	Res - 1655-1 Reservoir 0.5 MG	Cameron	$ 25	$ 1	$ 24	4%	$ 2,200	$ 480	$ 1,720	22%	The review of previous environmental and design efforts will begin in Q4 FY 2016.
P2083	PS - 870-2 Pump Station Replacement	Marchioro	350	196	154	56%	15,000	1,515	13,485	10%	On target. Pace anticipated to accelerate in Q4.
P2267	36-Inch Main Pumpouts and Air/Vacuum Ventilation Installations	Marchioro	50	40	10	80%	735	450	285	61%	On target. Waiting on Caltrans Encroachment Permit.
P2325	PL-10" to 12" Oversize, 1296 Zone, PB Road-Rolling Hills Hydro PS/PB Bndy	Beppler	1	19	(18)	1900%	22	19	3	86%	Developer reimbursement request approved. Request came in earlier than anticipated. Overall project is complete and under budget.
P2451	Otay Mesa Desalination Conveyance and Disinfection System	Kennedy	350	246	104	70%	30,000	3,506	26,494	12%	Projected to spend 75% of Fiscal Year budget or approximately $265K.
P2466	Regional Training Facility	Coburn-Boyd	8	1	7	13%	300	288	12	96%	There will not be any work on this project in FY 2016.
P2469	Information Technology Network and Hardware	Kerr	175	174	1	99%	1,684	2,061	(377)	122%	No further expenditures for this CIP.
P2470	Financial System Enhancements	Kerr	100	35	65	35%	1,765	1,702	63	96%	No expenditures for this quarter.
P2486	Asset Management Plan Condition Assessment and Data Acquisition	Zhao	75	-	75	0%	1,015	859	156	85%	No expenditures during Q3, however, the plan is to spend $30K in Q4.
P2511	Otay Interconnect Pipeline	Marchioro	420	438	(18)	104%	2,601	2,523	78	97%	Purchase of Caltrans parcels was anticipated for FY 2017.
P2537	Operations Yard Property Acquisition Improvements	Beppler	450	253	197	56%	775	419	356	54%	Construction has started and completion date is within this fiscal year. Contract amount of $450,000 plus inspection and construction management costs will exceed FY 2016 budget, but not overall project budget.
P2540	Work Order Management System Replacement	Kerr	60	165	(105)	275%	500	462	38	92%	Requested additional work from consultant - received a quote for $3,500. No further expenditures for this CIP.
P2541	624 Pressure Zone PRSs	Marchioro	525	457	68	87%	750	681	69	91%	Project on track to complete in FY 2016. Overall project within budget.
P2547	District Administration Vehicle Charging Stations	Beppler	1	1	-	100%	60	11	49	18%	Overall budget increased to $125,000 to reflect design cost estimate. Projected to spend $20K this fiscal year. Design accelerated and included with P2555.
P2549	Fuel System Upgrade	Payne	30	13	17	43%	30	13	17	43%	In process to be completed in May 2016.
P2551	Blossom Lane Helix WD and Otay WD Interconnection	Beppler	150	14	136	9%	193	21	172	11%	Construction has started and completion date is within this fiscal year. Billing from Helix WD should come in by the end of the fiscal year (estimated at $170K) which would exceed the fiscal year budget, but remain under the overall project budget.
P2552	South Barcelona Helix WD and Otay WD Interconnection	Beppler	150	8	142	5%	200	15	185	8%	Construction has started and completion date is within this fiscal year. Billing from Helix WD should come in by the end of the fiscal year (estimated at $170K) which would exceed the fiscal budget, but remain under the overall project budget.
P2554	640/340 PRS at Energy Way and Nirvana Avenue	Marchioro	1	1	-	100%	400	1	399	0%	No further progress anticipated in FY 2016.
P2555	Administration and Operations Parking Lot Improvements	Cameron	10	20	(10)	200%	500	20	480	4%	Project has been accelerated. Design began in Q3.
P2561	Res - 711-3 Reservoir Cover/Liner Replacement	Marchioro	5	-	5	0%	1,800	-	1,800	0%	No progress anticipated in FY 2016.
P2562	Res - 571-1 Reservoir Cover/Liner Replacement	Marchioro	1	-	1	0%	2,600	-	2,600	0%	No progress anticipated in FY 2016.
P2563	Res - 870-1 Reservoir Cover/Liner Replacement	Marchioro	1	-	1	0%	1,000	-	1,000	0%	No progress anticipated in FY 2016.
P2568	Technology Business Processes Improvement	Kerr	40	25	15	63%	115	25	90	22%	On target. Expenditures on schedule.
P2569	Metro Ethernet Implementation/ District Facilities - Pilot	Kerr	100	58	42	58%	100	58	42	58%	On target. Expenditures on schedule.
P2570	SCADA Equipment & Infrastructure Enhancement	Kerr	-	-	-	0%	300	-	300	0%	No expenditures anticipated in FY 2016.
P2571	Datacenter Network Enhancement & Replacement of Infrastructure Componets	Kerr	-	-	-	0%	200	-	200	0%	No expenditures anticipated in FY 2016.
P2572	Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Replacement	Kerr	-	-	-	0%	250	-	250	0%	No expenditures anticipated in FY 2016.
R2077	RecPL - 24-Inch, 860 Zone, Alta Road - Alta Gate/Airway	Beppler	5	1	4	20%	2,850	2,811	39	99%	Little activity scheduled this fiscal year.

FISCAL YEAR 2016
3rd QUARTER REPORT
(Expenditures through 03/31/16)
($000)

ATTACHMENT B

			FISCAL YEAR-TO-DATE, 03/31/16				LIFE-TO-DATE, 03/31/16				
CIP No.	**Description**	**Project Manager**	**FY 2016 Budget**	**Expenses**	**Balance**	**Expense to Budget %**	**Budget**	**Expenses**	**Balance**	**Expense to Budget %**	**Q3 Comments**
R2107	RWCWRF Screening Compactor and Chlorine Injectors Enclosure	Vaclavek	7	3	4	43%	215	180	35	84%	Project is complete. No further expenses.
R2108	Res - 927-1 Reservoir Cover Replacement	Marchioro	10	1	9	10%	1,090	1,083	7	99%	Final warranty inspection completed April 2016. Project will be closed out.
R2110	RecPS - 944-1 Optimization and Pressure Zone Modifications	Marchioro	25	42	(17)	168%	200	125	75	63%	Project on track to complete in FY 2016. Overall project within budget.
R2114	Large Recycle Pump Replacement at the RWCWRF 927-1 Pump Station	Anderson	40	-	40	0%	120	89	31	74%	No additional funds will be spent this fiscal year. This account will be closed.
R2117	RWCWRF Disinfection System Improvements	Beppler	110	7	103	6%	2,500	111	2,389	4%	Waiting on results of IPR/DPR study and force main condition assessment before determining the next step. No additional billing expected this fiscal year.
R2118	Steele Canyon Sewer PS Chopper Pump	Beppler	40	5	35	13%	40	5	35	13%	Projecting to spend $7K this fiscal year. Overall budget revised to $75K to reflect scope of work changes.
R2119	Treatment Plant Automation & Security Upgrades	Beppler	50	16	34	32%	200	16	184	8%	Master plan of treatment plant in progress, spending in this fiscal year is projected to be close to budget; has potential to run over by $25K depending upon invoice timing.
R2121	Res - 944-1 Reservoir Cover/Liner Replacement	Marchioro	25	19	6	76%	1,400	19	1,381	1%	On target.
R2122	Emergency Recycled Water Fire Hydrant Installations	Cameron	75	17	58	23%	75	17	58	23%	Project is on schedule. Construction to be completed in Q4.
S2043	RWCWRF Sludge Handling System	Beppler	1	-	1	0%	47	40	7	85%	No billing is expected this fiscal year.
	Total Capital Facility Projects	Total:	3,466	2,276	1,190	**66%**	73,832	19,625	54,207	**27%**	
	REPLACEMENT/RENEWAL PROJECTS										
P2382	Safety and Security Improvements	Payne	300	237	63	79%	2,667	2,495	172	94%	$112K in District-wide facility security system upgrades were completed by the end of Q2 FY 2016. Project phases will continue into FY 2016. It is anticipated that the remaining balance of $125K will be expensed in phase projects during the remainder of FY 2016.
P2453	SR-11 Utility Relocations	Marchioro	5	170	(165)	3400%	2,250	1,589	661	71%	FY 2016 expense projected at $180K, rather than $5K. Construction contract not completed in FY 2015 as anticipated. Overall project within budget.
P2485	SCADA Communication System and Software Replacement	Kerr	75	217	(142)	289%	2,014	1,627	387	81%	Project on schedule for FY 2016. Accelerating project increased spending for FY 2016.
P2493	624-2 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating	Cameron	55	6	49	11%	1,675	1,543	132	92%	Project is in the warranty period; expenditures planned for Q4.
P2494	Multiple Species Conservation Plan	Coburn-Boyd	87	7	80	8%	950	853	97	90%	Will probably use about 50% of FY 2016, project was delayed waiting for information from WFMP.
P2495	San Miguel Habitat Management/Mitigation Area	Coburn-Boyd	120	63	57	53%	2,100	1,203	897	57%	On track, expect to use all of the budget.
P2496	Otay Lakes Road Utility Relocations	Martin	20	1	19	5%	325	283	42	87%	Contract Acceptance pending As-builts from City of Chula Vista.
P2504	Regulatory Site Access Road and Pipeline Relocation	Cameron	50	-	50	0%	900	330	570	37%	Project is driven by County Fire.
P2507	East Palomar Street Utility Relocation	Cameron	25	20	5	80%	940	715	225	76%	Awaiting Punch List items to be completed. Request for reimbursement to follow.
P2508	Pipeline Cathodic Protection Replacement Program	Marchioro	150	17	133	11%	725	201	524	28%	Pace slowed. Limited activity for the remainder of FY 2016.
P2515	870-1 Reservoir Paving	Beppler	15	4	11	27%	510	510	-	100%	Project warranty inspection performed in February.
P2518	803-3 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating	Cameron	20	2	18	10%	700	640	60	91%	Project is in the warranty period, dive inspection performed in 3rd QTR. Warranty repairs to be executed in FY 2017.
P2519	832-2 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating	Cameron	20	3	17	15%	750	665	85	89%	Project is in the warranty period, dive inspection performed in 3rd QTR. Warranty repairs to be executed in FY 2017.

FISCAL YEAR 2016
3rd QUARTER REPORT
(Expenditures through 03/31/16)
($000)

ATTACHMENT B

			FISCAL YEAR-TO-DATE, 03/31/16				LIFE-TO-DATE, 03/31/16				
CIP No.	**Description**	**Project Manager**	**FY 2016 Budget**	**Expenses**	**Balance**	**Expense to Budget %**	**Budget**	**Expenses**	**Balance**	**Expense to Budget %**	**Q3 Comments**
P2520	Motorola Mobile Radio Upgrade	Martinez	30	-	30	0%	135	77	58	57%	Anticipate spending in the 4th Qtr. and completion in FY 2017.
P2529	711-2 Reservoir Interior & Exterior Coating	Cameron	600	71	529	12%	790	86	704	11%	Project construction began in Q2. Project on schedule.
P2530	711-1 Reservoir Interior & Exterior Coating	Cameron	800	739	61	92%	1,040	755	285	73%	Construction to be completed in Q4. Project on schedule
P2531	944-1 Reservoir Interior & Exterior Coating	Cameron	205	293	(88)	143%	390	304	86	78%	Project reached substantial completion in December 2015. Contractor has been assessed liquidated damages for late completion.
P2532	944-2 Reservoir Interior & Exterior Coating	Cameron	101	50	51	50%	946	937	9	99%	Project reached substantial completion in December 2015. Contractor has been assessed liquidated damages for late completion.
P2533	1200-1 Reservoir Interior & Exterior Coating	Cameron	5	-	5	0%	565	-	565	0%	Project rescheduled for FY 2018.
P2534	978-1 Reservoir Interior & Exterior Coating	Cameron	-	-	-	0%	325	-	325	0%	No expenditures for FY 2016.
P2535	458-2 Reservoir Interior & Exterior Coating & Upgrades	Cameron	294	397	(103)	135%	839	770	69	92%	Project reached substantial completion in December 2015. Contractor has been assessed liquidated damages for late completion.
P2538	Administration and Operations Building Fire Sprinkler Replacement Program	Cameron	5	3	2	60%	110	67	43	61%	Project is on schedule.
P2539	South Bay Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Utility Relocations	Cameron	100	21	79	21%	940	851	89	91%	SANDAG driven project. SADAG has notified the District that they are behind schedule and expenditures are not expected until FY 2017.
P2542	850-3 Reservoir Interior Coating	Cameron	230	382	(152)	166%	530	527	3	99%	Construction Contract accepted in Q2. Project is in the 2 yr. warranty period.
P2543	850-1 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating	Cameron	-	-	-	0%	575	-	575	0%	No expenditures for FY 2016.
P2544	850-2 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Reservoir Coating	Cameron	5	-	5	0%	940	-	940	0%	Project is on schedule, expenditures planned for the 4th Qtr.
P2545	980-1 Reservoir Interior Exterior Coating	Cameron	950	327	623	34%	1,495	327	1,168	22%	Project is on schedule. Construction began in the 3rd Qtr.
P2546	980-2 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating	Cameron	-	-	-	0%	1,450	-	1,450	0%	No expenditures for FY 2016.
P2550	Fuel Island Upgrade	Payne	75	-	75	0%	75	-	75	0%	Final quotes received pending Board approval in June.
P2553	Heritage Road Bridge Replacement and Utility Relocation	Cameron	10	5	5	50%	1,200	5	1,195	0%	City of Chula Vista driven project.
P2557	520 Res Recirculation Pipeline Chemical Supply and Analyzer Feed Replacement Project	Beppler	1	7	(6)	700%	100	7	93	7%	Design and construction activities will be perfomed next fiscal year. Minimal additional spending this fiscal year.
P2558	Additional Pump Station Fuel Storage	Rahders	25	-	25	0%	25	-	25	0%	Will be expensed by end of 4th Qtr.
P2559	Pressure Vessel Repair and Replacement Program	Marchioro	50	28	22	56%	300	28	272	9%	Current FY 2016 issue solved with smaller expense than anticipated. Limited activity for the remainder of FY 2016.
P2564	Administration Carpet Replacement Program	Payne	65	-	65	0%	215	-	215	0%	Full budget delayed until FY 2017-18.
P2565	803-2 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating & Upgrades	Cameron	-	-	-	0%	725	-	725	0%	No expenditures for FY 2016.
P2566	520-2 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating & Upgrades	Cameron	-	-	-	0%	1,790	-	1,790	0%	No expenditures for FY 2016.
P2567	1004-2 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating & Upgrades	Cameron	-	-	-	0%	565	-	565	0%	No expenditures for FY 2016.
R2109	Sweetwater River Wooden Trestle Improvement for the Recycled Water Forcemain	Beppler	400	233	167	58%	516	353	163	68%	Project construction is complete. Minimal additional spending this fiscal year.
R2111	RWCWRF - RAS Pump Replacement	Beppler	250	405	(155)	162%	600	555	45	93%	Project construction is complete. Minimal additional spending this fiscal year.
R2112	450-1 Disinfection Facility Rehabilitation	Cameron	40	62	(22)	155%	265	213	52	80%	Project is in the warranty period.
R2116	RecPL - 14-Inch, 927 Zone, Forcemain Assessment and Repair	Marchioro	225	200	25	89%	1,750	566	1,184	32%	On target.
R2120	RWCWRF Filtered Water Storage Tank Improvements	Beppler	10	-	10	0%	500	-	500	0%	No activity to date. initiation of design in Q4 is possible.
S2012	San Diego County Sanitation District Outfall and RSD Outfall Replacement	Beppler	50	-	50	0%	1,935	1,020	915	53%	District will receive invoice from the County late in the 4th Qtr.
S2024	Campo Road Sewer Main Replacement	Beppler	500	449	51	90%	5,500	1,053	4,447	19%	Completion of final design, public outreach, and easement work still to be billed in Q4. Budget should be adequate for this work. If easement offers are executed this fiscal year, will need an additional $150K.

FISCAL YEAR 2016
3rd QUARTER REPORT
(Expenditures through 03/31/16)
($000)

ATTACHMENT B

			FISCAL YEAR-TO-DATE, 03/31/16				LIFE-TO-DATE, 03/31/16				
CIP No.	**Description**	**Project Manager**	**FY 2016 Budget**	**Expenses**	**Balance**	**Expense to Budget %**	**Budget**	**Expenses**	**Balance**	**Expense to Budget %**	**Q3 Comments**
S2027	Rancho San Diego Pump Station Rehabilitation	Beppler	320	9	311	3%	3,500	82	3,418	2%	Project design is complete. Expect to see invoices for design only from County this Fiscal Year.
S2033	Sewer System Rehabilitation	Beppler	900	172	728	19%	6,000	1,742	4,258	29%	RSD Phase 1 is in construction and will carry over into the next fiscal year. Unclear on how much work will be completed and invoiced by the end of the fiscal year. This project has the potential to exceed the FY budget, but not the overall project budget.
	Total Replacement/Renewal Projects	Total:	7,188	4,600	2,588	**64%**	53,137	22,979	30,158	**43%**	
	CAPITAL PURCHASE PROJECTS										
P2282	Vehicle Capital Purchases	Rahders	556	403	153	72%	5,191	3,508	1,683	68%	Vactor truck received. $11K expensed for month of March. No further activity for the rest of FY 2016.
P2285	Office Equipment and Furniture Capital Purchases	Payne	15	-	15	0%	589	536	53	91%	Copier systems replacement for May delivery.
P2286	Field Equipment Capital Purchases	Rahders	50	28	22	56%	1,808	1,331	477	74%	$27,941 encumbered. No further activity for the rest of FY 2016.
P2366	APCD Engine Replacements and Retrofits	Rahders	535	16	519	3%	3,835	2,551	1,284	67%	$339,350 encumbered against this account. No further activity for the rest of FY 2016.
				-							
	Total Capital Purchase Projects	Total:	1,156	447	709	**39%**	11,423	7,926	3,497	**69%**	
	DEVELOPER REIMBURSEMENT PROJECTS										
P2556	HWY 94 Upsized Utility Relocations at Melody Lane	Beppler	1	-	1	0%	250	-	250	0%	No activity in Q3.
	Total Developer Reimbursement Projects	Total:	1	-	1	**0%**	250	-	250	**0%**	
89	**GRAND TOTAL**		**$ 11,811**	**$ 7,323**	**$ 4,488**	**62%**	**$ 138,642**	**$ 50,530**	**$ 88,112**	**36%**	

Attachment C

Otay Water District
Capital Improvement Program

Fiscal Year 2016
Third Quarter
(through March 31, 2016)

711-1 Reservoir (3.1 MG) – Exterior Wrapping

Background

The approved CIP Budget for Fiscal Year 2016 consists of 80 projects that total $11.8 million. These projects are broken down into four categories.

1.	Capital Facilities	$ 3.5 million
2.	Replacement/Renewal	$ 7.2 million
3.	Capital Purchases	$ 1.1 million
4.	Developer Reimbursement	$ 1.0 thousand

Overall expenditures through the Third Quarter of Fiscal Year 2016 totaled $7.4 million, which is approximately 62% of the Fiscal Year budget.

Total CIP Budget Expenditures for FY 2016 are projected to be approximately 80-85%.

Fiscal Year 2016
Third Quarter Update
($1,000)

CIP CAT	Description	**FY 2016 Budget**	**FY 2016 Expenditures**	**% FY 2016 Budget Spent**	Total Life-to-Date Budget	Total Life-to-Date Expenditures	% Life-to-Date Budget Spent
1	**Capital Facilities**	$3,466	$2,276	66%	$73,832	$19,625	27%
2	**Replacement/ Renewal**	$7,188	$4,600	64%	$53,137	$22,979	43%
3	**Capital Purchases**	$1,156	$447	39%	$11,423	$7,926	69%
4	**Developer Reimbursement**	$1	$0	0%	$250	$0	0%
	Total:	$11,811	$7,369	62%	$138,642	$50,576	36%

Fiscal Year 2016
Third Quarter
CIP Budget Forecast vs. Expenditures



MAJOR CIP PROJECTS

Fiscal Year 2016 - 3rd Quarter



PLANNING - 2

- (A) P2504 - Regulatory Site Access Road & Pipeline Relocation
- (B) R2117 - RWCWRF Contact Basin Expansion

DESIGN - 6

- (C) P2083 - 870-2 Pump Station Replacement
- (D) P2267 - 36-Inch Main Pumpouts and Air/Vac Installations
- (E) P2451 - Otay Mesa Conveyance and Disinfection System
- (F) P2547 - District Administration Vehicle Charging Stations
- (G) R2116 - 14-Inch Forcemain Assessment and Repair
- (H) S2024 - Campo Road Sewer Main Replacement

CONSTRUCTION - 8

- (I) P2453 - SR-11 Utility Relocations
- (J) P2530/P2529 - 711-1 & 711-2 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating
- (K) P2537 - Operations Yard Property Acquisition Improvements
- (L) P2539 - South Bay Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Utility Relocations
- (M) P2541 - 624 PZ Pressure Reducing Stations
- (N) P2545 - 980-1 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating
- (O) R2110 - 944-1 Optimization and Pressure Zone Modifications
- (P) S2033 - Rancho San Diego Basin Sewer Rehab - Phase 1

COMPLETED - 8

- (Q) P2507 - East Palomar Street Utility Relocation
- (R) P2531/P2532 - 944-1 & 944-2 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating
- (S) P2535 - 458-2 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating
- (T) P2542 - 850-3 Reservoir Interior Coating
- (U) R2109 - Sweetwater River Trestle Improvements
- (V) R2111 - RAS Pump Replacement
- (W) R2112 - 450-1 Disinfection Facility Rehabilitation
- (X) S2033 - Calavo Basin Sewer Rehab - Phase 1

CIP Projects in Construction

- 980-1 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating & Upgrades (P2545)
- Remove and Replace Deteriorating Reservoir Coatings.
- Structural Modifications to Increase Service Life.
- $1.50M Budget
- Start: February 2016
- Estimated Completion: August 2016



Division No. 5

Location: North End of Salt Creek Golf Course, Hunte Parkway, Chula Vista

980-1 Reservoir (5.0 MG) – Interior Rafter Replacement

CIP Projects in Construction

- 711-1 & 711-2 Reservoirs Interior/Exterior Coating & Upgrades (P2530, P2529)
- Remove and Replace Deteriorating Reservoir Coatings.
- Structural Modifications to Increase Service Life.
- $1.88M Budget
- Start: November 2015
- Estimated Completion: September 2016



Division No. 1

Location: Park Meadows Road, Chula Vista. Adjacent to East Lake County Club Golf Course

711-1 Reservoir (3.1 MG) – Completed Interior

CIP Projects in Construction

- Operations Yard Property Acquisition Improvements (P2537)
- Provide parking to separate employee vehicles from District equipment.
- Will serve as Emergency staging area.
- $0.78M Budget
- Start: January 2016
- Estimated Completion: May 2016



Division No. 3

Location: Sweetwater Springs Boulevard, Spring Valley. Adjacent to District Operations Yard

Operations Yard Property Acquisition Improvements – Installation of new curb and gutter

CIP Projects in Construction

- 624 Zone PRSs & 944-1R PRS Improvements (P2541/R2110)
- Install two new potable pressure reducing stations (PRSs). Upgrade existing recycled PRS.
- $0.96M Budget
- Start: September 2015
- Estimated Completion: April 2016



Division Nos. 1 & 2

Locations:

1. Terra Nova Drive, Chula Vista
2. Sequoia Street, Chula Vista
3. Otay Lakes Road, Chula Vista

944-1R PRS No. 3 (Otay Lakes Road) – New Sump Pit Construction

Construction Contract Status

FY 2016 CIP CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

CIP NO.	PROJECT TITLE	CONTRACTOR	BASE BID AMOUNT	CONTRACT AMOUNT W/ ALLOWANCES	NET CHANGE ORDERS LTD*		CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT	TOTAL EARNED TO DATE	% CHANGE ORDERS W/ ALLOWANCE CREDIT**	% COMPLETE	EST. COMP. DATE
					PROJECT TOTAL	%					
P2453-002103	SR-11 Potable Water Utility Relocations - Sequence 1	Coffman Specialties, Inc.	$947,380	$992,380	$39,480	4.2%	$986,860	$976,860	-0.6%	99.0%	**May 2016**
P2531 P2532 P2535	944-1, 944-2, & 458-2 Reservoirs Interior/Exterior Coating & Upgrades	Olympus and Associates Inc.	$1,146,008	$1,206,008	$88,738	7.7%	$1,294,746	$1,286,355	7.4%	99.4%	**Substantial completion December 2015**
R2111	RWCWRF RAS Pumps Replacement	Cora Constructors Inc.	$295,315	$315,315	$0	0.0%	$300,087	$300,087	-4.8%	100.0%	**Completed February 2016**
R2112	450-1 Disinfection Facility Rehabilitation	Fordyce Construction, Inc.	$108,350	$128,350	($360)	-0.3%	$107,990	$107,990	-15.9%	100.0%	**Completed August 2015**
S2033	Calavo Basin Sewer Rehabilitation Phase 1	Arrieta Construction Inc.	$521,890	$529,490	($34,531)	-6.6%	$494,959	$494,959	-6.5%	100.0%	**Completed August 2015**
P2542	850-3 Reservoir Interior Coating	Abhe & Svoboda Inc.	$336,720	$366,720	$22,533	6.7%	$389,253	$389,253	6.1%	100.0%	**Completed November 2015**

Construction Contract Status

FY 2016 CIP CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

CIP NO.	PROJECT TITLE	CONTRACTOR	BASE BID AMOUNT	CONTRACT AMOUNT W/ ALLOWANCES	NET CHANGE ORDERS LTD*		CURRENT CONTRACT AMOUNT	TOTAL EARNED TO DATE	% CHANGE ORDERS W/ ALLOWANCE CREDIT**	% COMPLETE	EST. COMP. DATE
					PROJECT TOTAL	%					
R2109	Sweetwater River Trestle Improvements	Fordyce Construction, Inc.	$153,740	$173,740	$0	0.0%	$157,047	$157,047	-9.6%	100.0%	**Completed January 2016**
P2537	Operations Yard Property Acquisition Improvements	Montgomery Construction Services, Inc.	$401,456	$449,611	$0	0.0%	$401,456	$168,869	-10.7%	42.1%	**May 2016**
P2529 P2530	711-1 &711-2 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating & Upgrades	Advanced Industrial Services, Inc.	$1,103,715	$1,195,695	$0	0.0%	$1,111,735	$591,750	-7.0%	53.2%	**September 2016**
P2545	980-1 Reservoir Interior/Exterior Coating & Upgrades	Advanced Industrial Services, Inc.	$769,000	$876,500	$0	0.0%	$874,600	$241,150	-0.2%	27.6%	**August 2016**
S2033	Rancho San Diego Basin Sewer Rehabilitation - Phase 1	Transtar	$951,470	$970,970	$0	0.0%	$951,470	$11,900	-2.0%	1.3%	**August 2016**
P2541 R2110	624 Zone PRSs & 944-R PRS Improvements	CCL Contracting Inc.	$445,209	$455,209	($3,246)	-0.7%	$441,963	$417,963	-2.9%	94.6%	**April 2016**
		TOTALS:	***$7,180,253***	***$7,659,988***	***$112,615***	**1.6%**	***$7,512,167***	***$5,144,183***	**-1.9%**		

*NET CHANGE ORDERS DO NOT INCLUDE ALLOWANCE ITEM CREDITS. IT'S A TRUE CHANGE ORDER PERCENTAGE FOR THE PROJECT

**THIS CHANGE ORDER RATE INCLUDES THE CREDIT FOR UNUSED ALLOWANCES

Consultant Contract Status

Consultant	CIP No.	Project Title	Original Contract Amount	Total Change Orders	Revised Contract Amount	Approved Payment To Date	% Change Orders	% Project Complete	Date of Signed Contract	End Date of Contract
PLANNING										
ATKINS	Varies	2015 WATER FACILITIES MASTER PLAN UPDATE	$ 434,731.00	$ -	$ 434,731.00	$ 292,761.21	0.0%	67.3%	1/28/2014	12/31/2016
CAROLLO ENGINEERS, INC.	VARIES	2015 INTEGRATED WATER RESOURCES PLAN UPDATE	$ 99,993.00	$ 6,300.00	$ 106,293.00	$ 48,600.37	6.3%	45.7%	11/17/2014	6/30/2016
CH2M HILL ENGINNERS INC	Varies	2015 URBAN WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN UPDATE	$ 49,839.00		$ 49,839.00	$ 11,137.51	0.0%	22.3%	9/10/2015	6/30/2018
WATER SYSTEMS CONSULTING INC	VARIES	AS-NEEDED HYDRAULIC MODELING FY15/16	$ 175,000.00	$ -	$ 175,000.00	$ 75,539.00	0.0%	43.2%	7/15/2014	6/30/2016
DESIGN										
AECOM	P2451	OTAY MESA CONVEYANCE AND DISINFECTION SYSTEM (DESIGN ENGINEER)	$ 3,910,297.00	$ (109,434.00)	$ 3,800,863.00	$ 1,322,762.75	-2.8%	34.8%	1/6/2011	6/30/2018
ARCADIS U.S., INC.	P2434, P2511	VALUE ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTIBILITY REVIEW	$ 153,628.00	$ -	$ 153,628.00	$ 70,208.73	0.0%	45.7%	1/24/2012	6/30/2016
ARCADIS U.S. INC	VARIES	AS-NEEDED DESIGN FY 15-16	$ 300,000.00	$ -	$ 300,000.00	$ 142,928.45	0.0%	47.6%	9/11/2014	6/30/2016
ATKINS	Varies	AS-NEEDED ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES FY12-13	$ 175,000.00	$ -	$ 175,000.00	$ 158,136.56	0.0%	90.4%	10/26/2011	6/30/2016
BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK	P2451	OTAY MESA CONVEYANCE AND DISINFECTION SYSTEM (BINATIONAL WATER AND RELATED ISSUES)	$ 100,000.00	$ -	$ 100,000.00	$ 17,677.00	0.0%	17.7%	7/1/2015	6/30/2017
BSE ENGINEERING INC	Varies	AS-NEEDED ELECTRICAL SERVICES	$ 100,000.00	$ -	$ 100,000.00	$ 33,435.62	0.0%	33.4%	7/1/2012	6/30/2016
CAROLLO ENGINEERS INC	P2083	DESIGN/CONSTRUCTION FOR 870-2 PS	$ 624,910.00	$ 135,500.00	$ 760,410.00	$ 404,584.24	21.7%	53.2%	10/11/2013	12/31/2017
HDR ENGINEERING INC	Varies	CORROSION SERVICES FY14-FY16	$ 684,750.00	$ 45,000.00	$ 729,750.00	$ 621,840.13	6.6%	85.2%	11/22/2013	12/31/2016
LEE & RO INC	P2511	OTAY INTERCONNECT PIPELINE	$ 2,769,119.00	$ -	$ 2,769,119.00	$ 1,112,174.73	0.0%	40.2%	11/4/2010	12/31/2015 COMPLETED
MICHAEL D.KEAGY REAL ESTATE	Varies	AS-NEEDED APPRAISAL SERVICES FY13-14	$ 45,000.00	$ -	$ 45,000.00	$ 39,500.00	0.0%	87.8%	9/17/2012	6/30/2016

Consultant Contract Status

Consultant	CIP No.	Project Title	Original Contract Amount	Total Change Orders	Revised Contract Amount	Approved Payment To Date	% Change Orders	% Project Complete	Date of Signed Contract	End Date of Contract
NINYO & MOORE	Varies	GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES	$ 175,000.00	$ -	$ 175,000.00	$ 59,837.75	0.0%	34.2%	4/9/2015	6/30/2018
PIPELINE INSPECTION & CONDITION ANALYSIS CORPORATION	R2116	INSPECTION AND CONDITION ASSESSMENT OF THE RALPH W. CHAPMAN WATER RECYCLING FACILITY 14-INCH FORCE MAIN	$ 302,092.00	$ -	$ 302,092.00	$ 87,500.00	0.0%	29.0%	12/18/2014	4/30/2017
PSOMAS	VARIES	AS-NEEDED DESIGN FY 15-16	$ 300,000.00	$ -	$ 300,000.00	$ 32,851.25	0.0%	11.0%	9/11/2014	6/30/2016
RFYEAGER	Varies	AS-NEEDED CORROSION ENGINEERING AND RESERVOIR COATING INSPECTION	$ 175,000.00	$ -	$ 175,000.00	$ 147,824.00	0.0%	84.5%	2/9/2015	12/31/2016
RICK ENGINEERING COMPANY	S2024	CAMPO ROAD SEWER MAIN REPLACEMENT PROJECT	$ 805,705.00	$ -	$ 805,705.00	$ 653,546.15	0.0%	81.1%	5/27/2014	12/31/2017
RICK ENGINEERING COMPANY	Varies	TRAFFIC ENGINEERING SERVICES FY 16-18	$ 175,000.00	$ -	$ 175,000.00	$ 5,878.88	0.0%	3.4%	7/1/2015	6/30/2018
SILVA SILVA CONSULTING	P2451	OTAY MESA CONVEYANCE AND DISINFECTION SYSTEM (BINATIONAL WATER AND RELATED ISSUES)	$ 115,000.00	$ -	$ 115,000.00	$ 72,289.05	0.0%	62.9%	5/1/2014	6/30/2016
CONSTRUCTION SERVICES										
AIRX UTILITY SURVEYORS	Varies	AS-NEEDED SURVEYING SERVICES FY 14-15	$ 175,000.00	$ 45,000.00	$ 220,000.00	$ 219,933.00	25.7%	100.0%	9/18/2013	6/30/2016
AIRX UTILITY SURVEYORS	Varies	UTILITY LOCATING SERVICES FY 16-18	$ 350,000.00		$ 350,000.00	$ 1,332.00	0.0%	0.4%	10/12/2015	6/30/2018
ALYSON CONSULTING	Varies	CONSTRUCTION MGMT/INSPECTION FY 13-15	$ 350,000.00	$ 41,820.00	$ 391,820.00	$ 389,971.25	11.9%	99.5%	10/24/2012	6/30/2016
ALYSON CONSULTING	Varies	CONSTRUCTION MGMT/INSPECTION FY 16-17	$ 350,000.00	$ (6,820.00)	$ 343,180.00	$ 86,760.00	-1.9%	25.3%	7/1/2015	6/30/2017
HUNSAKER & ASSOCIATES	Varies	LAND SURVEYING FY16-18	$ 175,000.00	$ -	$ 175,000.00	$ 10,050.00	0.0%	5.7%	1/20/2016	6/3/2018
MICHAEL BAKER INT'L INC	P2083	870-2 PS CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AND INSPECTION SERVICES	$ 853,457.00	$ -	$ 853,457.00	$ 27,220.50	0.0%	3.2%	7/30/2014	12/31/2017

Consultant Contract Status

Consultant	CIP No.	Project Title	Original Contract Amount	Total Change Orders	Revised Contract Amount	Approved Payment To Date	% Change Orders	% Project Complete	Date of Signed Contract	End Date of Contract
ENVIRONMENTAL										
HELIX ENVIRONMENTAL	VARIES	MAINTENANCE AND MONITORING OF THE SAN MIGUEL HABITAT MANAGEMENT AREA AND CIP ASSOCIATED MITIGATION PROJECTS	$ 476,173.00	$ -	$ 476,173.00	$ 140,083.33	0.0%	29.4%	12/19/2014	12/31/2017
ICF INTERNATIONAL JONES & STOKES INC	VARIES	AS-NEEDED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FY 15/16/17	$ 375,000.00	$ -	$ 375,000.00	$ 155,674.14	0.0%	41.5%	7/18/2014	6/30/2017
RECON	P2494	PREPARATION OF THE SUBAREA PLAN	$ 270,853.00	$ -	$ 270,853.00	$ 220,133.36	0.0%	81.3%	3/28/2008	6/30/2018
WATER RESOURCES										
MICHAEL R. WELCH	Varies	ENGINEERING PLANNING SVCS.	$ 100,000.00	$ -	$ 100,000.00	$ 15,900.00	0.0%	15.9%	4/9/2014	6/30/2019
PUBLIC SERVICES										
AEGIS	Varies	AS-NEEDED DEVELOPER PROJECTS FY 15-16	$ 400,000.00	$ -	$ 400,000.00	$ 140,085.43	0.0%	35.0%	2/12/2015	6/30/2017
		TOTALS:	$ 15,545,547.00	$ 157,366.00	$ 15,702,913.00	$ 6,818,156.39	1.0%			

QUESTIONS?